Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

Page 1 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD October 29th, 2020 JOHNS MANVILLE, a Delaware) Corporation,) Complainant) PCB 14-3 v.) ENFORCE - ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT) OF LAND TRANSPORTATION,) respondent.)

The report of proceedings had in the hearing of the above-entitled cause before Hearing Officer Bradley Halloran, called by the Pollution Control Board, taken by PAMELA A. MARZULLO, a Notary Public in and for the County of Pinellas and State of Florida, via Zoom, 1230 Gulf Boulevard, Clearwater Beach, Florida, on October 29th, 2020, at the hour of 9:00 o'clock a.m. October 29, 2020

Page 2 1 **PRESENT:** 2 NIJMAN & FRANZETTI BY: MS. KRISTIN GALE & MS. SUSAN BRICE 3 10 South LaSalle Street 4 5 Suite 3600 6 Chicago, Illinois (312) 262-5523 7 k@nijmanfranzetti.com sb@jmanfranzetti.com 8 Appeared on behalf of Midwest Generation; 9 MR. CHRISTOPHER & MS. ELLEN O'LAUGHLIN 10 69 West Washington Street 18th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 11 (312) 814-2087 12 cgrant@atg.state.il.us eolaughlin@atg.state.il.us 13 Appeared on behalf of the of Illinois Department of 14 15 Transportation. ALSO PRESENT: 16 17 MS. MARIE TIPSORD MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE 18 19 20 21 **REPORTED BY:** Pamela A. Marzullo 2.2 23 24 INDEX

			Page	3
1	WITNESS: STEVEN GOBELMAN			
2		PAGE		
3	Cross Examination (Continued) - Ms. Brice	4		
4	Redirect Examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	39		
5	Cross Examination - Ms. Brice	66		
6	Further Redirect examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	78		
7	Further Recross Examination - Ms. Brice	79		
8	Direct Rebuttal Examination - Ms. Brice	81		
9	Cross Rebuttal Examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	130		
10	Redirect Rebuttal Examination - Ms. Brice	145		
11	Recross Rebuttal Examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	148		
12	Further Redirect Rebuttal Examination - Ms. Brice	149		
13				
14				
15	EXHIBITS			
16	Exhibit No. Marked	3		
17	699 91 217 146			
18	217 140			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

Page 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're on 1 2 the record. Good morning. My name is Bradley 3 4 Halloran. This is the fourth day of hearing in 14-3 JM versus IDOT. The date is October 29th, 5 approximately 9:05 a.m. We're in the middle of 6 7 cross-examination by Ms. Brice of Mr. Gobelman. Ι would ask -- I'm sure Mr. Gobelman remembers the 8 hour when he was first sworn. I would ask Pam to 9 swear Mr. Gobelman in again. 10 11 (Mr. Steven Gobelman was duly 12 sworn.) 13 STEVEN GOBELMAN, was adduced as the witness herein; after having 14 15 been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss 17 Brice? MS. BRICE: 18 Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 19 20 BY MS. BRICE: I'm just going to remind everybody we 21 Q. were discussing the Utility ACM soils excavation 22 23 action, and we had talked about how your 24 denominator for this attribution was 5470 linear

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 5 1 feet, to 5470 linear feet, which you had calculated the north side and south side of Site 2 3 6. 4 We're now turning to your numerator. So, 5 if you go to 205-11, please. 6 Α. Okay. 7 You say you calculate by measuring --Q. 8 I'm going back to your numerator on 205-11, and 9 you say you calculated this by measuring the distance of Site 6 halfway between 4S and 5S, 10 11 which said was 197 feet; is that right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And then you divided that get to the Q. 14 3.6 percent? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And you applied these Site 6 test pit borings using scaling off of a pdf, which is 17 205-46, correct? 18 19 Turn to 205-46 just so -- I believe we 20 established this earlier, but just to be sure. Well, that's not what --21 Α. Take a look at 205-7. At the very 22 Q. 23 bottom of that page, that might help you with your 24 recollection, and I'm talking about the Site 6

Page 6 1 soil borings. 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. So, did you use this C-0022J, 4 M004753, which was hearing Exhibit 66-99, which is 5 also I think we established up on the screen yesterday is the same at 205-46, to scale in your 6 7 Site 6 soil borings? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Okay. I would like you to turn to 67 Q. 10 11 one second. Sorry. Back up. 12 This says this was AECOM's Work Plan 13 Revision 2, March 13, 2014 on 205-7. Do you see that? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. So, if you turn 67, please, 67-1. 17 Let me know when you're there. 67-1 is the Final Removal Action Work Plan, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. And if you could turn to 0. 67-536. This is just an excerpt. So, 536 is 21 somewhere in the middle I apologize it's not right 22 23 on top. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What book

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 7 1 is this, Ms. Brice? 2 MS. BRICE: Gobelman testified from 3 this binder yesterday. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. 5 BY MS. BRICE: 6 The same thing from yesterday is 0. 7 going to be the same thing I'm using today. Can you tell me when you get to 67-536? 8 9 Α. Yes, I'm there. Okay. So, 67-536 is -- we said is 10 0. 11 the final plan, and you had access to this 12 document, have you not, since you -- since before 13 you wrote your first expert's report on damages, right? 14 15 I believe so. Α. 16 Q. And this document contains excavation coordinates; in other words, latitudes and 17 18 longitudes for Site 6 construction work, does it 19 not? 20 Α. Yes. And you didn't rely on this document 21 Q. in creating your base map, did you? 22 23 Α. No. 24 So Instead of using this document for Q.

Page 8 1 latitudes and longitudes, you mainly measured in 2 distances off a paper pdf from an earlier version 3 of this report for plotting the Site 6 soil 4 borings; is that accurate? 5 I measured it, yes. Α. So the answer is yes? 6 0. 7 Α. The answer is yes. 8 Q. Okay. I'm going to turn to the North 9 Shore Gas Line. With respect to the North Shore Gas Line on Site 3, a clean corridor was required 10 11 around it, correct, Site 3? 12 Α. Yes. 13 If you could turn to 207-17, Q. Okay. 14 This is part of your expert report, please. 15 correct, your supplemental expert report 207-17? 16 Α. Yes. 17 ο. Okay. And on 207-17 -- Drew, could you please blow that up for us? 18 19 I would like you to tell me which soil 20 borings fall within the green that are on your document? 21 That fall within the green? 22 Α. 23 0. Correct. B3-15 and B3-16. 24 Α.

Page 9 Were those both borings for which 1 Q. 2 IDOT was found liable at the hearing? 3 Α. Yes. 4 I would just like to note on this Q. 5 map, compared to and on 205-22, which is the base map for this map, you don't have all the same 6 7 borings that you had on Exhibit 202, correct? I only put in the borings 8 Α. Correct. that were associated with the Pollution Control 9 Board's ruling and some of the borings that Mr. 10 11 Dorgan put in his report, and then additional 12 boring that I needed to do calculations off of. 13 So, to arrive at your attribution Q. calculated as your denominator, the square footage 14 15 of the North Shore Gas Line work on Site 3, which 16 you said was 10,866 square feet; is that right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And then you calculated your ο. 19 numerator, the square footage of the North Shore 20 Gas Line that you believed ran through parcel 0393, based upon your supplemental map, which you 21 say here is 4,271 square feet; is that right? 22 23 Α. Yes. Q. 24 You then took -- you then Okay.

Page 10 1 divided those numbers and came up with 39.3 2 percent? 3 Α. Yes. 4 If the location of the North Shore Q. 5 Gas Line on your map here, that you're using on 6 Site 3, is inaccurate, then your numerator and 7 your calculations would be inaccurate; is that 8 correct? I wouldn't know. 9 Α. You wouldn't know? 10 0. So, your 11 calculation is based upon the division of a 12 numerator and a denominator, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 So, if your numerator is Q. Okay. 15 different, you're going to go come up with a 16 different percentage, correct? 17 Α. If the numerator is different, yes. 18 So, if the numerator is different, ο. 19 and then you use that numerator to multiply that 20 by the overall cost for a particular area, you're going to come up with a different number, correct? 21 If the calculations were different, 22 Α. 23 yes. 24 Let's talk about the North Q. Okay.

Page 11 1 Shore Gas Line on Site 6. 2 Here you didn't use square footage, did 3 you? 4 Α. No. 5 Rather, you used linear footage, Q. 6 right? 7 Α. Correct. And linear footage, based upon your 8 Q. 9 base map in your expert's report, correct? 10 Α. Correct. 11 0. Okay. If you can go to 207-05. 12 Going to the bottom of that page, and I'm just 13 going to read for the record the sentence, "Mr. Dorgan states the length along the south side of 14 15 Site 6 is approximately 2,005 linear feet." 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. How did you use that 2,005 linear 18 Q. 19 feet in your attribution calculation? 20 I used that in -- that's exactly what Α. the percentage of the gas line is in this Site 6. 21 Was that your denominator, the 2,005 22 Q. linear feet? 23 24 Α. Yes.

Page 12 If you can turn to 204-24, 1 Q. Okay. 2 please. 204-24, which is Mr. Dorgan's report where he talks about the North Shore Gas Line. Are you 3 4 there? 5 Α. I am now. 6 The very last paragraph I'm 0. Okay. 7 going to read into the record, the first sentence, "It's my understanding that a total of 2,005 8 lineal feet of the North Shore Gas Line was 9 removed on Site 6." 10 11 Do you see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So, he's not talking solely about the Q. south side of Site 6 of that measurement, is he? 14 15 Α. No. 16 Q. You defined the portion before of area that is attributable to IDOT based upon your 17 18 base map 207-17, correct? 19 Α. I'm sorry, what? 20 You used the base map to come up with 0. your numerator in your attribution, right? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 207-17, because you did a measurement 0. 24 off of it, correct, the 72 feet?

Page 13 I have to go back. 1 Α. 2 Q. 207-5. 3 Α. What was this again, the measurement? Is 72 linear feet -- I just 4 Q. Sure. want to establish that was measured off of this 5 base map, the base map being 207-17. It's the one 6 7 -- specifically is the one about the North Shore 8 Gas? 9 Α. Yes. So, the numerator here is 72 linear 10 0. 11 feet, and you divided the numerator by the 2,005 linear feet to get to 3.6, correct, percent? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 And, so, these distances would need Ο. 15 to be accurate in order for the 3.6 attribution to 16 be correct; isn't that true? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. Combined Site 3 plus 6 -- all ο. 19 I really want to establish here is that your 20 combined Site 3 plus 6 numbers depend upon the attributions you made to Site 3 for North Shore 21 Gas and Site 6 for North Shore Gas. 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Let's go to the northeast Okay.

Page 14 excavation 207-18 is the map in play, I believe. 1 2 Could you please turn to that? 3 Α. Okay. 4 Okay. Again, you used 205-46, which Q. 5 we were looking at a moment ago up on the screen, 6 to scale in the northeast excavation. 7 And you said the distance from 95 to the eastern edge of the northeast excavation is 8 140 feet. That's on 205-8. 9 10 Is that accurate. Is that what you did? 11 And take your time. 12 Say that again, your question again. Α. 13 Q. Sure. Let me break it down. You used 205-46 to locate the northeast excavation, 14 15 correct, based upon what you say here on 205-8? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Okay. And then how you did that was you hand scaled it in; isn't that true? 18 19 Α. I measured it in, yes. 20 Okay. You measured it in using an 0. engineer's scale map on a computer, correct? 21 I believe in this case, I would have 22 Α. used as the -- the borings were in place. I would 23 24 have CAD measure from 9S to the distance of 140

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 15 1 feet, and then that would create the eastern edge. 2 Q. You don't recall, do you, 3 specifically how you did it? 4 Α. It was measured in. 5 Q. Measured in but whether you did it 6 hand scaling, or whether you did it another way, 7 we can look at your deposition and figure that out 8 at some point. 9 But you don't recall right now exactly how you did it; is that correct? 10 11 Α. No, not really. 12 All right. And then you say the Q. 13 distance from the soil boring location 95, to the eastern edge of the northern location, is about 14 15 140 feet. Do you see that? 16 Α. Where are you reading from? 17 Q. 205. 18 Α. 205? 19 Q. 205-8. 20 Would did you read out? Α. 140 feet. 21 Q. 22 Α. Yes. Okay. But wouldn't it have been 23 0. better to use the final work plan to plot the 24

Page 16 1 northeast excavation instead of the Revision 2? 2 Α. I don't know. 3 Q. Okay. Turning to your deposition, 4 your second deposition, which is page number on 5 the deposition 53; but on the exhibit number, it's 6 going to be 229D-54. 7 Α. Okay. 8 Q. Are you there? 9 Α. Yes. Line 5. Okay, "If you were to go 10 0. 11 back and do it now, what would be the right source 12 to use to locate the northeast excavation, the 13 final report? It would be. I would assume 14 "Answer: 15 that it would be the final report and the work 16 plan that depicts actually how it was laid in, how 17 it was supposed to be measured in." 18 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. The 19 deposition transcript is hearsay. This is not an 20 appropriate use of a deposition transcript. It's not a prior inconsistent statement. 21 Ms. Brice? 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 23 MS. BRICE: I'm impeaching him with 24 the deposition testimony. He said he didn't know;

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 17 1 and in his deposition, he said, "Yes." 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree. 3 Overruled. BY MS. BRICE: 4 5 Your northeast excavation Q. 6 attributions are based upon square footage, right? 7 If you want to turn to 207-18, it might make it easier. 8 9 Α. Okay. I believe you said on direct 10 0. Okay. 11 that the northeast excavation in total is 7500 12 square feet; is that right? 13 You added those two numbers together in the boxes? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. And at the portion of the northeast excavation, that falls within 0393, is 17 1,889 square feet, correct? 18 I don't know if I said that but it 19 Α. 20 probably says that. 21 I think it says 1,889 square feet. Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Is that the portion that --0. 24 Α. Yes.

Page 18 Okay. And you divided 1,899 by 7500 1 Q. 2 and came to 25.12 percent; is that right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 This northeast excavation is Q. Okay. 5 comprised of three grids; isn't that correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 The denominator we just talked Q. Okay. about, the 7500 square feet, is based upon 8 9 measurements you made off of this map 207-18, right? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 The same is true for the 1,889 square Q. 13 feet numerator. It's also measured off of this map 207-18, right? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. You testified -- so, there's three grids here. The grid on the left, you 17 testified that you included that boring B3-50 in 18 19 your IDOT area of liability, correct? 20 Yes, the Board ruled that it was in Α. 21 our liability. And then you said you also included 22 Q. B3-45 in your IDOT area of liability, right? 23 24 Yes, even though it fell in my Α.

Page 19 1 drawing outside. 2 Q. Okay. And we you talked a lot about -- you've talked a lot about the next cleanest 3 boring rule. 4 5 Do you remember that? A little bit, just depending on where 6 Α. 7 we were at. You said that, you know, the USEPA 8 Q. required the contamination to be excavated to the 9 next cleanest boring; do you recall that? 10 11 Α. Are you saying that I said that? 12 Q. Yes. 13 I don't recall saying that. Α. I'm going to hand you -- give 14 Okay. 0. 15 me a second, I'm going to be back, because I think 16 we already went through this. 17 If you would give me one second, I would 18 appreciate it. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. 20 (Pause) BY MS. BRICE: 21 Okay. Let's go to your first 22 Q. 23 deposition of page 28, line 11, please. 24 Α. Yes.

Page 20 1 I'm going to read it into the record? Q. 2 You were deposed. You know we went over 3 this yesterday, but these are both in your 4 depositions, correct? 5 Correct. Α. We've been reading from -- okay. 6 0. 7 If ACM is detected in a boring, how "Question: much of the area around the boring did USEPA 8 assume to be contaminated? 9 "Answer: Well, typically, I think there 10 11 was a number of figures that represented different methodology to determine the extent. But in most 12 13 cases, it went to the next cleanest boring." 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So, here we've got B3-50, and 17 can you pull up the map, please, Drew? 207-18, 18 Thank you. please. 19 Okay. And EPA also required the 20 excavation of an entire grid, if a portion of the grid was contaminated; is that correct? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 But here you did not include the 0. 24 entire grid of B3-50 or B3-45 in your IDOT

Page 21 1 attribution, did you? 2 Α. No, I only included the areas that 3 the Pollution Control Board said IDOT was liable for. 4 5 But, again, the EPA required the Q. 6 whole grid to be excavated, if there was a 7 contaminated boring in the grid, correct? Those were areas that were outside of 8 Α. 9 IDOT's responsibility. Please answer the question that I 10 0. 11 asked. 12 They required it to be excavated. Α. 13 Q. Correct. Okay. And then -- so, just talking about the next cleanest boring. Was B3-46 14 15 a clean boring? 16 Α. I don't know. I don't have it 17 represented. 18 I'll represent to you that Q. Okav. 19 B3-46 was a contaminated boring. 20 And I understand that if you believe you were liable for the neighboring contaminated 21 boring, you counted halfway to the next boring. 22 23 I believe that was your testimony, right? 24 Α. Yes.

Page 22 This concept is not what is 1 Q. Okay. 2 stated in the enforcement action memorandum, is 3 it? 4 I don't know how it was stated in the Α. 5 enforcement memorandum. 6 It's not how EPA treated contaminated 0. areas, is it? 7 I don't know for sure. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Let's go to -- I'm going to go 0. to Exhibit 120. I'm not sure if everybody has a 10 11 copy of this, but I will have Drew pull it up on 12 the board here and go to Exhibit 120, please. It's not in here. 13 Α. 14 I'm going to hand it to you. ο. He's 15 going to pull it up here, 120-3. 16 This is Figure 8. Just keep going back 17 on paragraph 9. Okay. Do you see that? Did you 18 have a copy? I have a copy of it here. 19 Α. 20 Okay, great. I'm just going to read 0. this into the record. For purposes of 21 identification, this is a letter on 120-1 from 22 23 USEPA to Bill Bow at LFR and Dr. Ebihara testified 24 LFR was working on this project at the very

1 beginning.

2	And on 120-3, USEPA says, quote, "To
3	determine the extent of ACM, it appears that it
4	was assumed that ACM was present in the entire
5	grid, and the sample collected within that grid
6	contained ACM."
7	And then further down, it says, "If the
8	current sampling results are to be used to
9	determine extent of ACM that needs to be addressed
10	in this report, then it is recommended that the
11	area containing ACM should be depicted as follows:
12	For the grid that contained ACM, the boundary of
13	ACM-containing material should be extended all the
14	way to the nearest non-detect sample."
15	They did give an example that I don't
16	think I need to read into the record. Then it
17	says, "This approach should be taken for all the
18	sampled locations with ACM detected."
19	Do you see that, sir?
20	A. Yes. Yes.
21	Q. But you didn't count this report
22	B3-46 halfway or all the way, did you?
23	A. I don't have B3-46.
24	Q. B3-46 is in the third grid.

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 Page 23

Page 24 1 Α. Okay. 2 Q. Of site soil excavation. 3 Α. I'll take your word for it. 4 Okay. Just so you don't have to take Q. 5 my word for it. And I have to make sure I am 6 remembering correctly. 7 Let's go to 204-38 super fast. If you could just pull it up on the board, I would 8 appreciate it. Yes, there it is. You see B3-46 9 up there on the board? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Okay, thank you. So, here is the 13 question I have: If the northeast excavation is 14 plotted too far to the east" -- go back to 207-17, 15 please. 16 If it's plotted too far to the east --17 Sorry. 207-18, "Two parts to the east," meaning 18 two parts to the right, "if it happens to be that, 19 if that happens to be the case, then you were 20 counting less of it in your IDOT attribution; is that correct, because it's not falling within" --21 Say that again. I lost it. 22 Α. 23 If it's plotted too far to the 0. Sure. east, you are basically moving everything to the 24

Page 25 east, and less of it falls within what you have as 1 2 depicted as 0393; isn't that right? 3 Α. If I have plotted it too far to the 4 east. 5 Let me put it this way: Q. Yes. The further east, the northeast excavation sits, the 6 7 less amount that falls within 0393; is that right? 8 Α. I'm sorry, you're talking about the actual excavation dimensions? 9 10 0. Correct. 11 Α. If I had lined it up too far east? 12 Then it's less of it is falling Q. Yes. within 0393; is that right? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 I would like to turn to dewatering. ο. 16 You said that you took an approach similar to Mr. Dorgan's, right? 17 18 Yes. Α. 19 0. And like Mr. Dorgan, you agreed that 20 there were four task buckets that drove the need to dewater on Site 3, which were the Nicor Gas 21 Line, the North Shore Gas Line, the Waukegan 22 waterline and the northeast excavation, right? 23 24 I think so. Α.

Page 26 1 Okay. And then you took your Q. 2 attributions for two of the four, correct, the 3 North Shore gas line and the northeast excavation, 4 because you did not consider the Waukegan water 5 line or the Nicor line to be part of the IDOT's 6 liability? 7 Go to 207-7, if that's helpful. Yes, I utilized the cost of the Nicor 8 Α. line -- the North Shore -- I utilized Nicor, North 9 Shore, Waukegan line in the northeast excavation. 10 11 0. And your attributions came with the 12 North Shore gas and the northeast excavation, 13 right? Yes. 14 Α. 15 Okay. You added up these costs and ο. came to 143,265; is that right? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And you then divided this number, ο. 19 which was your numerator, by the total cost to 20 complete those tasks on Site 3, which was 661,565, which became your denominator; is that correct? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 And then you came up with a 0. 24 percentage of 21.7 percent?

Page 27 1 Α. Correct. 2 Q. Okay. On 207-6, one page back, you 3 have one, two, three, four categories of 4 dewatering costs; do you see that? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Okay. And you applied, as I 0. 7 understand it, the same 21.7 percent to all four categories for Site 3 dewatering, so all four of 8 these categories listed on 207-6 under 9 "dewatering." 10 11 I think if you look the on 207-7, it 12 explains it. 13 Α. Yes, I applied 21.7 percent to those four things. 14 15 So, you didn't treat DMP dewatering Q. 16 costs noted here as construction management for 17 dewatering differently, right, obviously? 18 Α. I didn't treat any of those four 19 things any differently. 20 Mr. Dorgan explained these costs were 0. 100 percent related to the North Shore gas work on 21 22 Site 3. 23 Do you recall that? 24 Α. No, I don't.

Page 28 Okay. Do you dispute that? 1 Q. 2 Α. I can't dispute it I don't remember. Okay. And Mr. Dorgan discussed the 3 Q. 4 construction services came into utility work and 5 comprised the category in your charts. 6 Do you dispute the characterization of 7 the work done as set forth in Footnote 19 of 8 Mr. Dorgan's report? I don't remember what his footnote 9 Α. 10 is. 11 Q. Actually, you said here you don't 12 dispute it. 13 I can't dispute something that I Α. don't know what it is. 14 15 Okay. That's fine. Let's move to Q. 16 Site 6, dewatering. This is again on 207-7, and 17 here you returned to linear footage calculations, 18 right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. And you say that the 0. dewatering was required to create a clean bore 21 corridor for 1 nor-- sorry, 1N through 9N and 1S 22 23 through 9S, which you measured as at 838 linear 24 feet off of your map; is that correct?

	Page 29
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And this sum became your denominator;
3	isn't that right?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So, for your attribution to be
6	correct, your denominator needs to be correct,
7	right?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And your numerator here was 1S
10	through 4.5S, which you measured off of your base
11	maps to be 197 linear feet; is that right?
12	A. Yes. I lost a little of that.
13	Q. Sorry. No problem. And just if you
14	go to make it easy, I'm on 207-7, second
15	paragraph of Site 6. I'm going to summarize this.
16	To arrive at your attributions divided as
17	197 by 838 linear feet, to get to 23.5 percent;
18	and then you multiplied that 23.5 percent by the
19	Site 6 dewatering cost total of 160,587; is that
20	right?
21	A. Yes, divided by the total. I
22	multiplied that cost to those two items in Site 6.
23	Q. Yes, correct. Sorry, if I misspoke,
24	I apologize.

Page 30 And the total was 37,738, right? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 Q. Okay. And then, again, on the Site 3 4 and 6 calculations for dewatering, those 5 calculations attributions depend upon how much you attribute to Site 3 work alone for dewatering, and 6 7 Site 6 work alone for dewatering, right? 8 Α. Yes. 9 If you turn to 207-19, please. 0. Okay. 10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. So, I believe the ramp is this area over here on the left that is -- it says 12 13 "ramp," and it has a cross hatched through it, and it's contained in a box, correct? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And what work was done in that area, 17 that hatched area, to your knowledge? 18 Α. It was capped ad then it was too wet. 19 They put gravel on top of it, the cover. 20 And was there ACM found in that area, 0. 21 to your knowledge? I don't recall of the top of my head. 22 Α. 23 But you mean by that an allocation of 0. zero for the ramp, because you thought it was too 24

Page 31 1 far west on parcel 0393, right? 2 Α. Correct, outside of the borings 3 allocated. 4 All right. Let's turn to filling and Q. 5 capping, and I'm going to go to 207-20, the next 6 page. 7 I believe you testified you did this, and this is on 205-15, but I don't think you have to 8 look back. 9 You took 3.1-acres, right, as your total 10 square footage for the entire Site 3, right? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. And that's your denominator Q. 14 for your calculation, correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. Okay. And then you took a measurement of the area that you have with slanted 17 18 hashing going to the -- from the northeast to the 19 southwest as your denominator, and it came to .2 20 acres; is that right? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. And you then got 6.5 percent; is that 23 correct? 24 You want to look back on 205-15, I

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 32 1 believe is where this is? 2 Α. What percentage is that? 3 Q. I said 6.5 percent. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. But just to be clear, you Q. 6 don't include in this area where ACM was found of 7 -- you don't include within this area the ramp, right? 8 9 No, I do not. Α. You don't include the Waukegan water 10 0. 11 line? 12 No, I do not. Α. 13 You don't include the entire grid for Q. B3-50 or B3-45? 14 15 No, I do not. They are outside of Α. 16 the boring liabilities. 17 And you don't include B3-46, correct? Q. Correct, because it's outside the 18 Α. 19 IDOT (inaudible). 20 (Talking over each other) You didn't include all of the AT&T 21 Q. lines that ran through 0393, just a portion of 22 23 that; is that right? 24 Α. Correct.

Page 33 1 Okay. Let's go to filling and Q. 2 capping Site 6, here you say you used the same 3 method you used for ACM soils excavation. So we're back again to that calculation 4 5 of 5,470 feet, which is the entire length of the 6 north side and south side of Site 6 as your 7 denominator; is that right? 8 Α. What page are you on? I'm sorry. I'm thinking -- I don't have it 9 0. marked here, but it's got to be probably 10 11 205-16-ish. 12 Α. Okay. 13 Q. Do you see that? Yes. 14 Α. 15 So, here you've got that 5470 linear Q. 16 feet, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. And that's the measurement of Q. 19 the entire north side and south side of Site 6? 20 Α. Yes. And your -- that's denominator for 21 Q. this calculation, and then your numerator on 22 23 Pardon me. I'll turn back. 207-20 -- I'm sorry. 24 Your numerator on this was the 197 linear

Page 34 1 feet, correct; is that right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. And that's measured off of your base 4 maps, correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Okay. And you didn't take into 0. 7 account volume of filling in any way, shape of form, in determining your Site 6 attributions for 8 filling and capping? 9 10 Α. No. 11 Q. Now, I'm going to -- the combined 12 Site 3 and 6, again, your numbers are dependent 13 upon the allocation numbers you gave as inputs for Site 3 alone and Site 6, correct? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. Okay. So, let's go to the general site/site prep map. Well, there's not a map for 17 this. 18 19 Let's go to 207-8. Tell me when you are 20 there. I'm in. 21 Α. Okay. You stated that you used the 22 Q. 23 same general approach as Mr. Dorgan for general 24 site preparation work.

	Page 35
1	Do you recall that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. But on direct, you couldn't
4	identify which task buckets, or as you said cells,
5	you used to reach your calculations, could you?
6	A. Correct, I didn't remember what cells
7	I used in the spreadsheet. I don't know if it's
8	the same cells that Mr. Dorgan used.
9	Q. Okay. I'm going to pull up
10	demonstrative 245, and I used this with Mr.
11	Dorgan.
12	Did you remember seeing this?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. You had it presented to you I
15	believe IDOT sent it to you ahead of time.
16	You agreed that it was accurate?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So, for general site/Site 3, both you
19	and Mr. Dorgan added up your IDOT attributions for
20	construction services on Site 3, and divided this
21	number by the total amounts of construction
22	services for Site 3, right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And construction service on that, I

Page 36 1 mean in the context are the task buckets noted 2 with the x's; is that right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Those are the ones that you used to Q. 5 come up with your construction services number for 6 Site 3? 7 Α. Yes. The same pieces that he pulled 8 in, yes. 9 Yes. So that's Nicor, Waukegan Water 0. 10 Line, AT&T, Northshore gas, Northeast excavation, 11 dewatering, ramp and filling and capping; is that 12 right? 13 Yes, the same ones as Mr. Dorgan Α. 14 used. 15 And the number that you guys both Q. 16 used as the total amount spent was 1,476,454. 17 So, that was the denominator, right? 18 Yes. Α. 19 Q. Okay. The numerators were different 20 because you had different attributions, right? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. The percentage you came up with was 23 16.8 percent; is that right? 24 Α. Yes.

Page 37 1 Okay. And you applied 16.8 percent Q. 2 to all of the general site/site prep categories on 207-8 related to Site 3; is that correct? 3 4 Yes, I applied all the ones that Α. 5 Mr. Dorgan applied his percentages to. 6 But you didn't treat the O&M 0. Okay. 7 cost bucket differently, did you? This professional O&M bucket, which is 8 noted on 207-8 in the chart, you treated that the 9 same and applied the 60.8 percent, right? 10 11 Α. I applied that percentage in the same 12 manner that Mr. Dorgan applied. So, if he applied 13 his percentage to those pieces, I applied to those 14 pieces as well. 15 Okay. Do you know if Mr. Dorgan ο. 16 applied that attribution to -- let's just do this, 17 let's go to 204-32. I'm almost done. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you 19 have a page number? 20 MS. BRICE: Thirty-two, 204-32. BY MS. BRICE: 21 I'm going to the second paragraph of 22 Q. 23 204-32, and here Mr. Dorgan -- Mr. Dorgan had been 24 applying, if you look at the paragraph above,

Page 38 1 74.2 percent for these task buckets, correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. But on the O&M, he applied the 4 factor of 80 percent, does he not? 5 Α. Correct. Okay. Let's go back to 207-8. We're 6 0. 7 going to talk about Site 6, general site, site 8 prep work. 9 So, once again, same methodology, right, for your numerator and denominator, you used these 10 11 different construction work categories of task 12 buckets, which are listed on Exhibit 2 under the 13 column "Site 6 prep," which are AT&T, North Shore 14 gas, northeast excavation and ACM, utility and 15 filling and capping, right? 16 Α. Yes, I used that that same cells that 17 Mr. Dorgan did that I had attributed cost to. 18 Okay. And this gave you 5.5 percent? Q. 19 Α. For Site 6. 20 For Site 6, correct, on the bottom of 0. 207-8? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. But the attribution that you 0. made for these tasks in 204 -- on 245, excuse me. 24

Page 39 Take just, for example, if the Waukegan water line 1 2 is wrong, then that's going to impact your overall 3 Site 6 prep calculation; is that right? 4 If there were adjustments in the Α. 5 measurements, yes, there would be a marginal increase or decrease in the different numbers. 6 7 The point I'm just trying to get Q. across is that all of these Tasks in Site 3 Prep, 8 9 Site 6 Prep, Site 3 and 6 Prep, Health & Safety, Site 3 oversight and Site 6 oversight and legal, 10 11 all depend upon the allocations, let me say it this way, the attributions that you made to 12 13 various task buckets that we just went through? Yes, I did that. 14 Α. 15 And if I want to find out which task ο. 16 buckets that you used for Site 3 and 6 prep, health and safety, Site 3 oversight, Site 6 17 18 oversight and legal, I just take a look at Exhibit 19 245, and it's the ones that have the X on it; is 20 that correct, underneath -- underneath --Health and safety, is that your 21 Α. 22 question? 23 Overall, with respect to Exhibit 245. 0. So, I'm trying to look at health and safety. 24 I'm

Page 40 1 trying to figure out how you did your calculation. 2 Your calculation was based upon your attribution numbers and the overall numbers for 3 4 AT&T, North Shore Gas, ACM utility and filling and 5 capping, correct? 6 Α. Yes, I used the same methodology that 7 Mr. Dorgan used. I'm just trying to cut through the 8 Q. chase here. So this Exhibit 245 has listed for 9 each one of those columns, right, underneath each 10 11 column, there are Xes; and those Xes denote which 12 construction task buckets were used to form your 13 calculations, with respect to these oversights for services task buckets; is that right? 14 15 That's how Mr. Dorgan did it, Α. Yes. 16 and that's exactly how I did it. One last question for you. Did the 17 0. Board find anyone, other than IDOT, liable in the 18 19 earlier hearing in this matter? 20 I don't understand your question on Α. this. 21 Did the Board find anyone else, other 22 Q. than IDOT, liable in the -- in the hearing order? 23 24 I don't know. Α.

October 29, 2020

Page 41 1 Turn to your first deposition Q. Okay. 2 and page 140, lines 12 through 16. Ellen, did you 3 get that? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. I did not. 4 5 Sorry. 6 MS. BRICE: Page 140. 7 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Of the deposition? 8 MS. BRICE: Yes. HEARING OFFICER HALLARON: 229-B 140. 9 10 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you. 11 BY MS. BRICE: 12 Page 140, lines 12 through 16, and Q. 13 the question is: "Did the Board make any finding that anyone other than IDOT was liable from ACM at 14 15 Site 3? 16 "Answer: I don't believe that was a 17 subject to the ruling." 18 Do you see that? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did you dispute saying that? Q. 21 Α. No. 22 MS. BRICE: Okay. No further 23 questions. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.

October 29, 2020

Page 42 1 O'Laughlin, do you need a few minutes? 2 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Pam, we're 4 taking a ten-minute break. 5 (A Recess was taken.) 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back 7 on the record. Ms. O'Laughlin is doing her redirect of Mr. Gobelman. You made proceed, Ms. 8 9 O'Laughlin. 10 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. 11 Halloran. 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 13 So, Mr. Gobelman, you testified that 14 Q. 15 you used a map from the ELM report to show the 16 soil borings on your created base map; am I 17 correct on that? 18 Yes. Α. 19 0. Okay. Can you turn to Exhibit 57? Do you have it there? 20 In what book? 21 Α. It's Exhibit 57. It would begin in 22 Q. the binder that begins with 06. It's not listed. 23 24 It should be. I direct you to 57.

Page 43 1 And what is this document? It's the surface and subsurface 2 Α. characterization of Site 2 and Site 3 from the 3 4 Johns Manville Manufacturing facility, Volume One, 5 Exhibits A through K. 6 What did this -- what did this report 0. 7 seek to accomplish or convey? It provided the final surface and 8 Α. subsurface characterizations of Site 3, showing 9 the sample locations and boring logs and 10 11 analytical of what they found. 12 Okay. And I note that on the cover Q. page it's marked as a draft? 13 Α. 14 Correct. 15 Q. If you could turn to page Exhibit 16 57-19. 17 Okay. Α. If you could look to paragraph 5.3 in 18 Q. 19 the second paragraph that begins at 50 by 50 grid? 20 Α. Yes. If you could read that, please? 21 Q. "The 50 by 50 grid was established on 22 Α. Site 3, so that random sampling points could be 23 24 created at the intersection of the grid lines.

October 29, 2020

Page 44 1 "Once the grid was established, each 2 sampling point was surveyed as to elevation and 3 location, with respect to site boundaries." 4 Q. Thank you. Then if you could turn to 5 57-536. 6 Α. Yes. 7 536. Q. I'm there. 8 Α. 9 And if you could read the top of this 0. map or document? 10 11 Α. It's Figure 15 soil boring location 12 map for Site 3. And did you use this map in 13 Q. preparation of your report for the second round of 14 15 hearings? 16 Α. Yes. And that's the report that Ms. Brice 17 ο. asked you about yesterday; is that true? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 0. Okay. Just turning randomly to a page -- let's go to 57-287. 21 22 I did. Α. 23 And what is this? Describe this --0. 24 what that page depicts on this report?

Page 45 1 It depicts a boring log for location Α. 2 B3-15 that was conducted by ELM Consultants. Okay. So, is this the company that 3 Q. actually did all the soil borings or reported on 4 all the soil borings? 5 6 Yes, I believe so. Α. 7 So, this is basically a boring --0. soil boring report; is that a fair description and 8 of not please describe it fairly? 9 It's a report that discusses all the 10 Α. 11 sample locations and provides all the backup 12 documents that they used to -- you know, where 13 they took the samples, the boring logs for the description of the geology and the analytical 14 15 results that they found. 16 Q. Okay. And was this report submitted to USEPA, if you know? 17 I don't know off the top of my head. 18 Α. 19 I would assume it was utilized in some way. 20 Okay. Do you know whether the Board 0. relied upon this report in coming to its interim 21 22 opinion and order? 23 I don't recall off the top of my Α. 24 head.

Page 46 Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 1 Q. 2 203. 3 Α. Okay. What page? 4 The third page in. The area below Q. soil sample line at Site 3 and Site 6. 5 6 If you could begin to read the second 7 paragraph that begins, "In 1998, ELM investigated"? 8 "In 1998, ELM investigated Site 3, 9 Α. 10 Exhibit 57 ELM report. ELM visually inspected the 11 site surface and found 74 suspicious ACM 12 fragments." 13 Q. This was all suspected? 14 Α. All suspected, right. "At ID at 23, 15 ELM removed this official ACM from the site ID. 16 ELM described 65 of the suspected ACM fragments as 17 transite pipe and the remaining as concrete felt 18 paper, tar paper, roofing material for 19 installation ID at 177 through 179." 20 Okay. That's good. If you could 0. turn to page two, it would be 203-4. If you could 21 just read the first sentence of the first full 22 23 paragraph on that page. 24 "At Site 3, ELM also collected 48 Α.

Page 47 1 soil core samples drilled at a depth of four 2 feet." 3 So, the Board Order did site Q. Okay. 4 ELM, and apparently the Board relied on this 5 report, in drafting and arriving at its interim 6 opinion and order; is that accurate? 7 I would assume so. Α. If you could turn to Exhibit 20 --8 Q. excuse me, 06, which is Mr. Dorgan's initial 9 report, and this is the first hearing map. 10 11 It's Mr. Dorgan's initial expert report. 12 If you could turn to 06-25. 13 Α. Yes. Do you recall this document? 14 Q. 15 Vaquely, yes. Α. 16 Q. Can you go to the bottom of this 17 document where is says "Legend"? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. And what is the first line? "ELM boring location 1999." 20 Α. Okay. And this is a figure from 21 Q. Mr. Dorgan's initial expert report of March 16, 22 23 2015? 24 Α. Yes. Yes.

Page 48 1 So, apparently Mr. Dorgan used the Q. 2 ELM report in his figure from the first hearing? 3 Α. Yes. 4 MS. BRICE: Objection. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you 6 please restate the question, please? 7 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Exhibit 06-25 -- excuse me, Exhibit 8 Q. 06, what is this document? What is this? 9 10 Α. Dorgan's expert report from March 16 11 of 2015. 12 And this would have been part of the Q. 13 first round of hearings, the first stage of this 14 matter? 15 Correct. Α. 16 Q. His Figure 2, which is 06-25, the 17 same document 25. 18 Yes. Α. 19 Q. The first line of the legend states 20 what? "ELM boring location 1999." 21 Α. And what does having ELM boring 22 Q. location 1999 indicate to you? 23 24 MS. BRICE: Objection, lack of

October 29, 2020

Page 49 1 foundation. He didn't draft this document, and 2 the document appears to be saying how it's 3 denoting B3-XX is an ELM boring. 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No, I'll 5 allow it. He can say, you know, what he thinks 6 You can take it up on recross. this means. 7 MS. BRICE: No problem. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay, 9 thank you. Overruled. THE WITNESS: I would assume that the 10 11 boring locations depicted on this map came from 12 the ELM boring location -- form the ELM report from 1999. 13 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 14 15 Thank you. And that's the same ο. 16 report that you used in creating your base map for 17 the second round? 18 Yes. Α. 19 0. Thank you. I wanted to use --20 yesterday Ms. Brice asked you some questions about a demonstrative exhibit they had regarding the 21 22 construction of Detour Road A. 23 Do you recall that? 24 I'm not sure which demonstrative. Α.

Page 50 I'm not sure which demonstrative 1 Q. 2 either. 3 Off the record. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Off the record real 4 5 quickly. 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure, off 7 the record. (Discussion off the record.) 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 9 Back on the record. 10 11 You may proceed, Ms. O'Laughlin. 12 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 13 Q. Mr. Gobelman, yesterday Ms. Brice 14 asked you some questions about Exhibit 204-41A; do 15 you recall? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And what is this figure for 204-41A? 0. It's setting out the Site 6 18 Α. 19 stationing for Greenwood Avenue and a 20 cross-section of the -- in essence, the geology that was expanding the IDOT plans associated with 21 22 the embankment work to be done on Greenwood 23 Avenue. 24 And you talked about black Q. Okay.

Page 51 1 cinder fill. What does this marking -- you know, the orange marking of block cinder fill. What 2 3 does that mean to you? That was what was the black cinder 4 Α. 5 fill and the peat beneath it was loaded in the information provided to the contractor in a 6 7 cross-section in the as-built bulletin -- well, still in the active plans. It was in the original 8 9 plans. It provided them with the information on 10 11 the -- what was going to be beneath the grade of -- well, some of these grades on Greenwood Avenue, 12 13 so that they would know what needed -- what types of material they would be encountering. 14 15 Okay. And this area 7.0 matches up ο. 16 with the station at Greenwood Avenue up at the 17 top, correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. In the direct testimony, as you did 20 in your first round of hearing, you stated that the as-built plans indicated the road would begin 21 at approximately 7 plus 60? 22 23 Construction on the Greenwood Avenue Α. embankment begins at 7 plus 60. 24

Page 52 1 Q. Okay. 2 Α. No construction on Greenwood Avenue 3 occurs to the east of the station, other than at 4 the end of the project, it was -- the pavement was resurfaced back to 7 plus 00 for a smooth 5 6 (inaudible) for new construction. 7 Q. Okay. So, there was no embankment east of 7? 8 9 No subsurface excavation in the Α. Greenwood Avenue. 10 11 Q. Okay. If you could turn to 21A-72. 12 Twenty-one? Okay. Α. 13 What is shown on this page? Q. The pictures in the binders are 14 Α. 15 upside down. 16 Q. 21A-72. 17 Α. Seventy-two? 18 Yes, 72. Q. 19 MS. BRICE: We don't have that. 20 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 21 As you said, it's upside down? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 In looking at this document, the 0. 24 exhibit number should be on the top left.

Page 53 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. So, what does this page show? 3 It is the cross-section for the Α. 4 Greenwood Avenue, as in the figure that was being 5 used showing the existing grade and future grade 6 of Greenwood Avenue that provides information 7 regarding the geology, and what potential unsuitable material may be lying beneath Greenwood 8 9 Avenue. That figure was just for the contractors information. 10 11 The cross-sections --12 I'm sorry, what document is just for Q. the contractor's information? Is that 21A-24 that 13 14 says, "For information only"; is that what you're 15 referring to? 16 Α. 21A-26. 17 Q. Twenty-six. Where it says, "For information only"? 18 19 Α. For information only. It gives the 20 existing grade, future grade of both Greenwood Avenue and Sands Street. 21 22 Q. Okay. So, you are back to page 72, right? 23 24 Seventy-two. This provides the Α.

Page 54 1 contractor exactly what he needs to remove. As I 2 stated earlier, construction for the embankment 3 does not start until 7 plus 60, and you can see at 4 the bottom cross section, there's a circle on the 5 right that says "7 plus 60." That is the 6 beginning of excavation. 7 If excavation started at 7 plus 00, or 6 plus 00, there would be cross-sections showing 8 those locations because those cross-sections go in 9 every hundred-foot intervals, unless there's 10 11 something in between that 100 foot that the 12 contractor needs to be aware of. 13 Q. Such as this at 7.60, not 7.0? 14 Α. Right, because there was nothing to 15 occur at 7.0. It's the beginning of the 16 excavation. And in here, it says that there was 190 square yards of unsuitable material that needs 17 to be the removed in this area. 18 19 0. Okay. And these are the construction 20 plans for the embankment? 21 Α. Yes. And there are no construction plans 22 Q. for an embankment east of 7 plus 60? 23 24 There is no subsurface excavation Α.

Page 55 1 requirement to the east of 7 plus 60. 2 Q. And this is for the Greenwood Avenue 3 construction? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Okay. Again, just to make the record Q. 6 clear, 7.60 is approximately a little bit more 7 than halfway between 7 and 8, which is approximately west of station -- of 4S that we 8 referred to in this hearing; is that right? 9 I believe so, but I would have to 10 Α. 11 look. Yes, I would say that's -- on this figure 12 that's been shown here it's -- 7 plus 60 would be 13 slightly west of 4S. Correct. Mr. Gobelman, in your 14 0. 15 experience in your work, and your work experience, 16 have you ever been involved with submitting plans 17 to the USEPA? 18 I submitted work plans and final Α. 19 reports to USEPA regarding PCB cleanups. 20 In your experience, does USEPA 0. Okay. independently verify the accuracy of any maps 21 provided in such reports? 22 23 I have not found that they did. Α. 24 Q. They approve the report, or don't

Page 56 approve the report with comments, et cetera, but 1 2 they don't specifically verify the accuracy of a 3 map, in your experience? I haven't found them to do that. 4 Α. 5 Do they typically, in responding to a Q. report, specifically approve every map and verify 6 7 every map within a report? 8 Α. They approve the reports, and what's in it. 9 But they don't specifically 10 0. Okay. 11 verify of the accuracy of every map that's 12 contained within the report? 13 They don't spell it out. Α. Okay. Mr. Gobelman, for this second 14 ο. 15 hearing round, you created a base map? 16 Α. Yes. 17 ο. And you did not use the map that you 18 used in the first hearing round, Exhibit 202, 19 which we've looked at a couple of times? 20 Α. Correct. Okay. So, what was your goal in 21 Q. creating a base map for this second hearing round? 22 Was it to show the utility distribution? 23 24 Α. It was to come up with a map that I

Page 57 1 could, as accurately as possible, assess IDOT's 2 allocation, in regards to how the Board rules, and 3 I needed something that was as accurate as 4 possible. 5 That wasn't just a pdf that was just scanned into an attachment file. 6 7 If you could turn to Exhibit 204, 0. and, Mr. Dorgan's Figure 1, 204-38, the utility 8 information's not -- there is a lot of information 9 on this right here, and it's not -- am I correct 10 11 in assuming it's stating that you wanted to create 12 a number of figures to show each utility, rather 13 than doubling it altogether in one figure? MS. BRICE: Ellen, just for 14 15 clarification where are you? 16 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm sorry. Yes, 17 please stop me. 204-38. 18 Okay. MS. BRICE: Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: 20 Early in the process my thought was Α. to simplify the viewing of figures and try to, in 21 essence, create a map for each utility that is 22 being discussed, so it doesn't get lost in the 23 24 number of other utilities that are marked on the

Page 58 1 map. 2 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 3 Q. Okay. During Johns Manville's cross-examination, I just want to clarify a point 4 5 regarding the Waukegan water line. 6 Α. Okay. 7 Did the location of the Waukegan 0. water line change in your figures from your report 8 for the second round of hearings to your 9 supplemental report? Did the Waukegan line water 10 11 change? 12 The first hearing --Α. 13 Q. I'm sorry, yes. Let me ask it again. 14 So, for this second hearing round, you 15 have a report and a supplemental reporter? 16 Α. Correct. Okay. Does the location of the 17 0. 18 Waukegan water line change from your report to 19 your supplemental report? Just the second 20 hearing. Its location moves. Its location 21 Α. 22 moves in the same layout of this location within 23 0393. 24 Q. What pages are you looking at?

October 29, 2020

Page 59 1 I'm looking at 205-24 and 207-15. Α. 2 Q. Now, in your report, in your 3 supplemental report? 4 Α. Correct. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 205 what, 6 Mr. Gobelman? 7 THE WITNESS: 205 - 24. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. 9 Thank you. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 10 11 Q. Okay. So does the actual location of 12 the Waukegan water line change, or is it just in 13 relation to all the other things you depict? How it plays into 0393 doesn't 14 Α. 15 change. It's just that when the north edge where 16 things were tied into the northern edge, when they dropped ten feet back down to be where the 17 18 right-of-way actually is, the entire water line 19 drops that ten feet as well, but it's still within 20 the same -- it still lays in 0393 the same. Right, and then from the first 21 Q. hearing round, the Waukegan water line -- we 22 23 talked about this on direct. 24 The Waukegan water line was bound to be

Page 60 1 further north than the record reflected in the 2 first hearing round; is that accurate? 3 I just want to clarify that the Waukegan line 4 didn't change in the second hearing round, it 5 changed from the first to the second? 6 MS. BRICE: Objection to the form of 7 the question, vague. THE WITNESS: Yes, could you rephrase 8 9 that, Ms. O'Laughlin? 10 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. I agree. 11 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 12 So, you corrected the location of the Q. 13 Waukegan water line from the first hearing -- from where it was depicted in the first round of 14 15 hearings, to this round of hearing, the second 16 one, if you recall? 17 Α. During the remediation, it was found that the water line was not located where they 18 19 originally thought it was located. The water line 20 had to be adjusted. The location, in essence, is adjusted 50 feet to the north. 21 Thank you. I just wanted the 22 Q. Okay. record to be clear regarding that location. 23 Thank 24 you for that clarification.

Page 61 1 Your bibliography -- your bibliography cites 2 a work plan; is that correct? In your 3 bibliography can be found in Exhibit 205-36? 4 Α. Okay. 5 If you could go to seven. Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. Of the document cited in your bibliography, what is your -- what is it that you 8 sited in number 7? 9 Removal Action Work Plan, 10 Α. AECOM. 11 Revision Two, Southwestern Site Area, Site 3, 4-5 12 and 6, Johns Mansville Site Waukegan, Illinois, March 31, 2014. 13 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. 15 O'Laughlin, is that 205-36. 16 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't see that happen. I'm looking at the book she gave 18 It jumps from 32 to 42. No, 33 to 43. 19 me. 20 (Discussion off the record.) BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 21 And what was this plan? What was the 22 Q. removal action of the plan? 23 24 Α. It was the work plan that was going

October 29, 2020

Page 62 to be used for remediation at those various sites. 1 2 Q. Is it a reliable plan? 3 Α. I would take it as a reliable plan. 4 As far as you know, did AECOM that Q. 5 did the remediation, rely on the work plan? 6 They relied on the work plan, they Α. 7 took the work plan. 8 Q. And you used this work plan as 9 support for your expert report? 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. Mr. Gobelman, I want to ask you about this concept of the next clean boring -- going all 12 13 the way to halfway to the next clean boring. Okay? 14 15 Johns Manville asked you questions about 16 that. And I think that this is a figure which will explain what you did. 17 Just tell me first if before -- it's 18 19 Figure 8. I'm just asking if you if this is the 20 right figure. Does the figure -- would this be able to 21 explain what you did, in terms of boring halfway 22 23 to the next big boring? 24 Again, we'll figure it out. Α.

Page 63 Well, you know what, I'll show that. 1 Q. You testified earlier that in some of 2 your calculations, you did halfway to the next 3 4 clean boring within site -- the next clean boring 5 within site 0393, and the boring locations referenced by the Board; is that correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. Okay. And why did you go halfway to 8 Q. the next clean boring, in that context? 9 In that context, it was -- the 10 Α. 11 Board's ruling only gave the boring locations, and then I applied what this sort of standard 12 13 acceptable practice, and in this process of going halfway between boring as the extent of 14 15 contamination associated with that boring, as it 16 relates to another boring that's been 17 contaminated. 18 Okay. Johns Manville asked you about ο. 19 the concept for a remediation plan, for a 20 remediation project, that you have to remediate to the next clean boring. Do you recall that? 21 22 MS. BRICE: Objection, 23 mischaracterizes his testimony. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you

October 29, 2020

Page 64 rephrase that, please? 1 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 2 3 Q. Sure. Let's go to Exhibit 120-3. If 4 you could turn to 120-3? 5 Okay. Α. 6 And what is the document in 120? 0. 7 Α. It's a letter from USEPA to LRF, 8 stating that they reviewed the engineering 9 evaluation and cost analysis report that was dated -- Revision 1 dated February 6, 2009. 10 11 0. Okay. And turning to paragraph 8 on 120-3, if you can go to that same sentence that 12 13 begins "The current sampling results that are to be used". 14 15 "The current sampling results are to Α. 16 be used to determine the status of ACM that needs 17 to be addressed in this report, that it is 18 recommended that the area containing ACM should be 19 depicted as following." 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. 21 O'Laughlin, I'm not sure I have your exhibit book 22 here, Exhibit 120. 23 I don't see -- I got 120-3 you said 1, 2, 3? 24 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 120-3, yes.

	Page 65			
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And what			
2	date letter we're looking at from USEPA?			
3	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: The beginning of the			
4	document is dated February 3rd, 2010.			
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.			
6	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: This is a document			
7	that Ms. Brice asked Mr. Gobelman about.			
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I			
9	just wasn't finding it. Now Mr. Gobelman is			
10	reading paragraph 8 on Exhibit 120-3.			
11	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Paragraph 9			
12	regarding Figure 8.			
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.			
14	You may proceed.			
15	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:			
16	Q. So, Mr. Gobelman, these are USEPA			
17	comments to the remediation necessary at Johns			
18	Manville's facility in Waukegan; is that right?			
19	A. Yes.			
20	Q. Explain the concept of you have to			
21	remediate until you find a clean boring?			
22	A. In this case, USEPA wanted all of the			
23	asbestos removed within you know, in those set			
24	corridors in those cases in this area to be			

Page 66 1 removed. 2 To do so, you've to go to the next cleanest boring to let you know that you got all 3 4 what's in between the two borings. 5 Right. And in your process to Q. determine IDOT liability, that's a whole different 6 7 consideration? It's like comparing apples to oranges, 8 9 because you just extracted the certain area, not remediation to the next boring? 10 I just want to 11 make it clear. 12 I don't believe the Board's ruling Α. 13 specified. They only specified borings that were 14 liable, not how that's to be interpreted. 15 Irrespective of whether it was clean ο. or not for remediation, it's the location of the 16 17 borings, not the concept of the remediation to the next clean boring? 18 The Board didn't make any 19 Α. 20 determination in regards to that. So much has been made you spent a lot 21 Q. of time in this hearing discussing your math, a 22 23 lot of witnesses, a lot of effort regarding your 24 base map.

Page 67 1 And if you could turn to page -- the 2 figure in your report that shows the different 3 boundary lines of Site 3. I believe that's 205-43. 4 5 Okay. Α. And what is this figure, Exhibit 2, 6 0. 7 I'm sorry, I take that back -- strike 205-43. that. Strike that I was in the wrong place. 8 In Exhibit 207 -- I apologize, 207-29. 9 What is this Exhibit 207-29 from your supplemental 10 11 report? 12 It shows the layout of the various Α. Site 3 locations, one of them being how the final 13 report from AECQM for Site 3 laid out, lays in, 14 15 and the Atwell survey that was provided in Mr. 16 Dorgan's report, and then the layout of what Mr. 17 Dorgan used in his expert witness report Figure 1; 18 and then lays in what, in essence, is the new site 19 base map that I used in the supplemental. 20 Okay. And those are all shown by 0. these all different colored lines surrounding Site 21 22 3? 23 Correct. Α. 24 And yours is the dotted line? Q. Okay.

October 29, 2020

Page 68 1 The black dotted line, yes. Α. 2 Q. Okay. So, what is the economic 3 impact of these different site boundaries for 4 purposes of this? 5 MS. BRICE: Objection. They have no 6 economic impact. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you 8 rephrase that Ms. O'Laughlin? 9 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. 10 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. 11 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 12 The parties here have been discussing Q. 13 how much, per the Board's order, state responsibility you believe IDOT should -- what 14 15 damages IDOT should be allocated. 16 Is that a fair summary? I believe that is what this is all 17 Α. about. 18 19 0. And in terms of figuring out the dollars that IDOT should be allocated, following 20 the Board's interim order, what difference does 21 the different site 3 boundaries, that are depicted 22 23 in this 207-29 map? 24 MS. BRICE: Objection, this is would

Page 69 1 be a new opinion? 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What kind 3 of opinion? MS. BRICE: This would be a new 4 5 opinion. There has been nothing discussed in his 6 reporter about comparing economic impacts of the 7 boundaries. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think he 8 9 can answer. Thank you. THE WITNESS: 10 11 Α. In the approach that I took, I 12 believe it would change the percentages 13 marginally. You know, obviously those changes in those buckets would affect the other big items 14 15 later on how they are adjusted. 16 Depending on which one you use, the 17 percentages could go off or could go down. Ι don't know. 18 19 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 20 So, you were asked about 0. Okay. whether the Board found any other party liable, 21 22 other than IDOT? 23 Correct. Α. 24 Did the Board consider culpability of Q.

October 29, 2020

Page 70 1 any other party or entity in this action? 2 Α. No, if I don't believe so. It just 3 an action against IDOT. And the Administrative Order on 4 ο. Consent is with Johns Manville and Commonwealth 5 6 Edison; is that correct? 7 I believe so. Α. Did this Board consider the source of 8 Q. this asbestos-containing materials? 9 MS. BRICE: Objection, your Honor. 10 11 This is outside of the scope of this witness. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You kind 13 of opened the door, Ms. Brice. So, I can allow a little latitude about third-party I can read the 14 15 deposition, I think. 16 You may proceed, but very limited. 17 THE WITNESS: 18 Can you repeat the question, please? Q. 19 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 20 Did the Board consider the source of 0. this asbestos-containing material? 21 I don't believe they did. 22 Α. 23 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I take just a 24 minute?

October 29, 2020

Page 71 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: One 2 minute. We're off the record. 3 (Recess taken.) 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back 5 on the record, Pam. Thank you. 6 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We have nothing 7 further at this time. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 9 Thank you. Ms. Brice, are you going to need a 10 minute before your recross, or are you ready to 11 go? 12 MS. BRICE: I can qo. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're 14 taking a minute Pam off the record, please. 15 (Recess taken.) 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Pam, we're 17 back on the record. Ms. Brice, you may proceed. 18 MS. BRICE: Yes, thank you. Is the 19 court reporter ready? 20 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. BRICE: 21 Mr. Gobelman, you testified on 22 0. redirect about Exhibit 203, which is the Board's 23 24 order. I believe do you recall that?

			Page	72	
1	A	Yes.			
2	Q. :	Ihere were some questions about			
3	whether Exhibit 57 was mentioned in that order?				
4	A	Yes.			
5	Q. (Okay. But the order did not mention			
б	57-565, which is also 205-45, which is the map you				
7	used to locate your Site 3 borings, does it?				
8	A. 3	I would have to go back and look.			
9	Q. (Okay. The record will reflect what			
10	the Board Order says?				
11	A	Yes.			
12	Q	You don't know; is that a fair			
13	characterizat:	ion?			
14	Α.	I don't remember right now what the			
15	entire language said.				
16	Q	You were asked some questions about			
17	this figure over here, Exhibit 204-41A.				
18	Do you recall that?				
19	A	Yes.			
20	Q. 2	And we talked yesterday a bit about			
21	how there's two and then there's this figure here,				
22	204-40.				
23	Do	you remember this?			
24	A	Yes.			

Page 73 This one, 204-40 -- so, there 1 Q. Okay. 2 were at least two sort of construction projects 3 happening right around the same time, right? 4 You had Detour Road A happening before 5 you could do the embankments, right? So you had 6 two construction projects going on; is that right? 7 Yes, that was discussed in the first Α. hearing there was a sequence of events that had 8 occurred before the embankment could be built. 9 Okay. But they both were happening 10 0. 11 right around the same time, correct? They were both discussed in the same 12 13 as-built plans, correct? 14 They were both in the plans that the Α. 15 contractor bid on, yes. 16 Q. Right. Which is 21A, correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Okay. And as we discussed yesterday, Q. 19 the Detour Road A comes into -- and I believe you 20 said abuts Greenwood Avenue here at Station 14 and Station 15 of Detour Road A, correct, which is in 21 Station 15 plus 50? 22 If you go down below it you, have 7S, 23 which is the 7S location for the soil boring on 24

Page 74 1 Greenwood, correct? 2 Α. I believe that's how you have it 3 depicted. 4 Q. Okay. That's what's on here, 5 correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. I would like to go back to 204-41A, and you had some testimony about how the 8 Greenwood Avenue embankment construction only went 9 to 7-60, correct? 10 11 Α. It starts at 7 plus 60. 12 Yes. And it goes west? Q. 13 Α. Correct. 14 Yes. Okay. But, again, the Detour Q. 15 Road A comes in here east of 7.60, does it not, 16 and abuts Greenwood Avenue east of 7.60 around -it looks like Station 7, 6 and 5.50 on this 17 figure. 18 19 Do you see that? Those are Greenwood Avenue stations? 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Now, you said that these were 23 as-built plans, correct? These figures are based 24 off of, correct, 26 -- 21A-26, correct?

Page 75 Plans that were built were converted 1 Α. 2 to what was considered the as-built's. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. And if there was something that changed in what happened from the proposal of 4 5 the project to the end of the project, that should 6 be denoted on the as-built plans? 7 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, 8 relevance. This goes beyond the parameters of this second round of hearing. 9 We have rehashed this argument throughout 10 11 the first round of hearing, and this is a very specific area, so I object on the basis that it's 12 13 irrelevant to this second round of hearing. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. 14 15 You may answer, if you are able. 16 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that 17 one, please? BY MS. BRICE: 18 19 Q. Yes. You are dealing with as-built 20 plans. Once the as-built plans are provided -are done, if there has been a change in the 21 construction from the original proposed plans, 22 those as-built plans should have that marked on 23 them, if there was a change; isn't that correct? 24

Page 76 Yes, there were changes, yes, they 1 Α. 2 would have been so marked. 3 Q. Okay. And take a look here at 21A, 4 26A-1, and does this document -- which is also 5 21A-26 was just to clarify -- denote any changes 6 with respect to that profile on Greenwood Avenue? 7 In regards for a document that is Α. only going to be utilized for information, that 8 9 only reflects the work proposed for Greenwood Avenue, there appears to be no changes to the 10 11 Board-information-only document. 12 It's a permission-only document that Q. 13 is an as-built plan. This is what happened -- this is 14 15 depicting what was done; is that not the case? 16 Α. In this, it does not depict what was 17 done. 18 It was not depicted what was done? Q. 19 Α. No. 20 But it is an as-built plan? 0. 21 Α. Yes. So you are saying as-built plans do 22 Q. not depict what is done? 23 24 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, it

Page 77 1 mischaracterizes his testimony. 2 He answered her question. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you want to rephrase that? I think he has answered to 4 5 the best of his ability. 6 MS. BRICE: I'm just saying is he 7 saying --HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I heard 8 9 you. You can ask him one more time but that's it. 10 Thank you. 11 BY MS. BRICE: 12 Are you saying as-built plans should Q. 13 depict the work that was actually done? The as-built plans depict the work 14 Α. 15 that is done. This figure has nothing to do with 16 what is being proposed to be done. This figure is in the as-built 17 Q. Okay. 18 plans, correct? 19 Α. Correct. As for information, and the 20 contractor received that information; and it would stay in the as-built plans, because it's part of 21 22 the record that he received the information to be 23 utilized. 24 Were you involved in Greenwood Q. Okay.

Page 78 1 Avenue project in 1970? 2 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, 3 relevance. Goes beyond the --4 MS. BRICE: I'm interpreting the 5 document to mean something and I want to know if 6 he was involved. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may answer, if you're able. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I wasn't there in 1971, but if it involved with my years -- 22 years with 10 11 IDOT dealing with construction plans and know how 12 they're put together. BY MS. BRICE: 13 14 ο. Okay. You weren't working for IDOT 15 in 1971, were you? 16 Α. No. 17 Q. So, you didn't know exactly what was 18 intended, exactly by this document in the as-built 19 plans, do you? 20 Exactly how it's supposed to be Α. interpreted --21 No, I'm talking about you do not know 22 0. 23 about this document, because you were not involved 24 in this project; isn't that correct?

October 29, 2020

Page 79 1 In 1970, no, I was not. Α. 2 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, badgering 3 the witnesses. 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree. 5 He's answered to the best of his ability and you 6 keep going on Ms. Brice. Sustained. 7 Thanks for standing up for him. BY MS. BRICE: 8 Okay. We can back to 204-41A, and I just want 9 0. to go to 7S, and you were asked some questions 10 11 about black cinder fill and peat; right? Correct? 12 In regards that it was in the cross Α. 13 section, yes. Right. And these cross sections are 14 ο. 15 based upon boring logs; isn't that right? 16 Α. They were -- yes, they were based upon technical borings in relationship with what 17 they needed for building the embankment. 18 19 0. Right. And when they do the 20 geotechnical boring logs they show what you're expected to see when you drill down, right? 21 Yes, they give you an idea of what to 22 Α. 23 -- what you expect to encounter, yes. 24 These boring logs were done in Q. Okay.

	Page 80
1	1969, 1970, somewhere around then, correct?
2	A. It could vary, because a lot of times
3	they'll pull if other work was done in that
4	area previously they'll take both geotechnical
5	borings and utilize them and may only spot check,
6	to make sure that the conditions haven't changed.
7	Q. It's generally around the same time
8	as the as-built drawings are put together, or as
9	the proposed drawings are put together?
10	A. No.
11	Q. It's not? So, it could be from 50
12	years before there going to use the geotechincal
13	borings?
14	A. If they had one. But, I mean, it's
15	put together years prior to as part of the
16	development of the plans.
17	There is information that is provided as
18	to how long it took IDOT to put the set plans
19	together.
20	Q. Okay. But you don't know when these
21	geotechnical borings were taken; is that accurate?
22	A. I believe that there was some
23	information in the construction plans that give
24	some borings locations of where some of the

Page 81 geotechincal information was provided, just 1 technical stuff. 2 3 Q. Did they say when they were taken, 4 generally? 5 In those, it would have probably had Α. 6 a date of when they were done. 7 Do you recall when they were? Q. No, I don't, off the top of my head. 8 Α. Where would those be found in 21A-26? 9 0. I believe they should be in the 10 Α. 11 as-built plans which they were part of it. 12 I just want to note, for the record, Q. 13 here under 7S, 6S, 5S and 4S, nowhere below here do you see anything that denotes asbestos being 14 15 located there, do you? 16 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, lack of 17 foundation. It was never established that 18 asbestos was being tested for. MS. BRICE: There's nothing that 19 20 shows that there's anything there. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 21 You can bring that up on your re-redirect. He can answer, 22 23 if he's able. Thank you. BY MS. BRICE: 24

1 Or break shoes or other miscellaneous Q. debris? 2 3 Α. The purpose of the geotechnical 4 boring is to come up with what the geology is and 5 the strength of the different soils that show 6 whether or not an embankment can be placed on it 7 or not. Okay. But how do you see 8 Q. geotechnical soil borings that talk about debris 9 or other things being found within the soil boring 10 11 that is being detected, that's being analyzed? 12 It would only be noted if it would Α. 13 cause a problem in the engineering utilization of that material, whether it had voids in it that 14 15 would have to be removed because of the technical 16 borings are always put for bridge abutments and 17 embankments. Whether or not debris or material that 18 19 is found in the borings is going to cause a 20 problem with settlement, in regards to the road, if it's just a scattered material of things that 21 they would not note it. 22 23 Okay. I move to strike as 0. non-responsive. 24

Page 83 1 I'll move along. 2 Just a question: Is there anything noted 3 that says there's debris or asbestos-containing 4 material, or anything other than black cinder fill 5 and peat? 6 There's nothing depicted that says Α. 7 anything about that. 8 Q. There was a recent question about 9 accuracy of maps with the EPA, looking at map 10 accuracy. 11 I just -- you know, or looks at the maps in general, with respect to reviewing documents 12 13 submitted to them. Do you remember that? 14 There were questions regarding the Α. 15 review of maps. 16 Q. Okay. I would just like to pull up on the Board Exhibit 120. If you could just turn 17 to 120-3. This is the document that is from USEPA 18 19 to Bill Bow providing comments on the EECA. 20 I just want to point out they are making Okay. comments on Figure 8. Keep going down. On 10. 21 They are making comments on Figure 8. Going down, 22 on 11. They are making comments on Figure 9A. 12 23 they are making comments on Exhibit 13. 24 13 they

October 29, 2020

Page 84 1 are making comment on Figure 14. 14 they are 2 making comments on Figure 15. 3 Do you see that? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Thank you. Q. 6 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. Is there 7 a question with regard to this? MS. BRICE: I asked him if he saw it. 8 BY MS. BRICE: 9 I would like to ask you also about 10 0. 11 Exhibit 207-29 that you were asked questions 12 about. 13 Okay. Α. 14 This is a map from your second expert Q. 15 report, correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. And this map is only comparing Q. Site 3 boundaries between different maps, correct? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 It's not comparing Site 3 boring 0. locations, or the location of the northeast 21 excavation, or the location of the North Shore gas 22 23 lines, as depicted in the various maps; is that 24 right?

	P	age	85
1	A. Correct.		
2	MS. BRICE: No further questions.		
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.		
4	Ms. O'Laughlin?		
5	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
6	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:		
7	Q. Mr. Gobelman, just a quick question.		
8	Did the Board find any liability to IDOT in		
9	connection with Detour Road A?		
10	A. No.		
11	Q. And that was looking at 24-40. That		
12	was part of JM's theory that was included in		
13	JM's theory in the first round of hearings; is		
14	that right?		
15	A. That's correct.		
16	Q. And they argued that IDOT should be		
17	responsible for ACM contamination throughout site		
18	3 because of the building of Detour Road A?		
19	A. Correct.		
20	Q. And the Board did not find any		
21	liability for IDOT, in connection with the		
22	building of Detour Road A on Site 3?		
23	A. In my opinion, they did not.		
24	Q. And in Site 6, is that also the case,		

Page 86 no liability to IDOT for Detour Road A that falls 1 within Site 6? 2 3 Α. They did not include those borings. 4 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's all that I 5 have. 6 FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. BRICE: One question. And the Board's ruling 8 Q. on the discussion of Detour Road A, did they look 9 at Exhibit 21A-26 at all? 10 11 Α. I would have to refresh my memory on 12 that. I don't remember. 13 In 21A-26 is the foundation Q. Okay. for what is going on along Detour -- along 14 15 Greenwood Avenue; is that not the case? 16 Α. Say that again? 21A-26 is the document that was used 17 ο. 18 to generate this figure to show the cross-section 19 of Greenwood Avenue, correct? 20 As far as Greenwood Avenue, in Α. relation to the building of the embankment, yes. 21 Well also in relation to anything 22 Q. that needed to be done along Greenwood Avenue? 23 24 No, you are incorrect. Α.

October 29, 2020

Page 87 1 Okay. Well, that's as your Q. 2 interpretation. The record will reflect --3 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection 4 argumentative? 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained, 6 Mr. Brice. 7 MS. BRICE: That's it. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. 9 You may step down, Mr. Gobelman. Thank you. Ms. O'Laughlin, does IDOT rest their case 10 11 in chief? 12 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Then we'll have rebuttal. I think we'll take a lunch, a 14 15 60-minute lunch. We'll be back at what, 16 12:40-ish. Pam, we are off the record. See you 17 in about an hour. 18 (Recess taken.) 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are going back on 20 the record. We're in the rebuttal phase of the hearing. 21 22 Ms. Brice, for Johns Manville, will be directing Mr. Dorgan, the expert. You may 23 24 proceed.

October 29, 2020

Page 88 1 DIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. BRICE: 3 Q. Mr. Dorgan, could you please state 4 your name for the record again? 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know 6 what, let's just have Pam swear him in. 7 MS. BRICE: I'm sorry. 8 DOUGLAS DORGAN, JR. 9 was adduced as the witness herein; after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 11 _ _ _ _ 12 DIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION 13 - - -BY MS. BRICE: 14 15 Mr. Dorgan, good afternoon. Could Q. 16 you please turn to -- we're going to need the 17 Gobelman binder for this, Exhibit 206, please. 18 Do you have the Gobelman binder in front 19 of you? 20 I do. Α. 21 Q. Thank you. Are you there? 22 Α. Yes, I am. 23 Could you identify this document for 0. 24 me?

Page 89

This is the my expert rebuttal report Α. of Douglas G. Dorgan, Jr., on damages attribute to IDOT, dated October 25th, 2018. And you wrote this report in response Q. to what? Mr. Gobelman's rebuttal report. Α. His initial rebuttal report? Q. Α. That's correct. If you could then also turn to 0. Okay. 208, which I also belief is in the Gobelman binder, and if you could identify this document for me? Α. This is the expert rebuttal supplemental report for Douglas G. Dorgan, Jr., on damages attributable to IDOT, dated April 30th,

16 2019.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17Q.And what did you draft this document18in response to?

19A.This was in response to the20supplemental report that Mr. Gobelman prepared.

Q. Okay. Did you reach your opinions in
this case to a reasonable degree of scientific
certainty?

24 A. Yes, I did.

Page 90 1 Is that true with respect to both Q. 2 these reports and your testimony? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 If you'll go back to 206, please, to Q. 5 your first rebuttal report. I would like to go to 6 page 206-4, please. Let me know when you are 7 there. I'm there. 8 Α. You stated here on the 3rd -- under 9 0. 2.1, if you go down under three paragraphs, you 10 11 say, "Mr. Gobelman does not have a consistent 12 methodology for attributing costs." 13 Is that true with respect to both of his 14 reports? 15 Yes it is. Α. 16 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what you 17 mean by this? He just used different forms of 18 Α. measurement for the different task buckets as he 19 20 was doing his attributions. Can you give us examples? 21 Q. Well the two I provided in the 22 Α. In one instance, he used linear feet for 23 report. 24 certain of utility costs and the ACM soil removal

	Page 91
1	cost. And then as an alternative used square
2	footage when he was doing his attributions for the
3	northeast excavation.
4	Q. Have you seen a similar methodology
5	in a cost allocation context?
6	A. Not necessarily.
7	Q. You say on the bottom of 206-4 that
8	his report fails to consider why certain cleanup
9	activities were required, and how the scope of the
10	cleanup was driven by site conditions, and were
11	visible where ACM was observed.
12	Do you see that?
13	A. I do.
14	Q. Can you explain to me what you mean
15	by this?
16	A. Here, again, it was the attribution
17	was primarily focused on a limited geography. It
18	just didn't consider fact that certain cleanup
19	that was occurring on the site was being driven by
20	the conditions that were encountered at certain
21	locations.
22	Q. And were they just being driven by
23	boring locations where IDOT was found liable in
24	some instances?

October 29, 2020

Page 92 Yes, they were. 1 Α. 2 Q. Can you given me some examples? Yes, the borings, for instance, that 3 Α. 4 were identified on the eastern side of parcel 5 0393. 6 Okay. And how was that driving? 0. 7 An example would be the North Shore Α. Gas Line and the clean corridor that had to be 8 9 created. Can you elaborate? 10 0. 11 Α. Yes. So, the presence of the 12 asbestos that was in the borings in the North 13 Shore Gas Line on parcel 0393 drove the need for the creation of a clean corridor across all of 14 15 Site 3. 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you 17 speak up a little louder? 18 MS. BRICE: Did you hear that? 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, I 20 heard that. Thank you. 21 BY MS. BRICE: 22 23 If you could please to turn to 206-5. 0. 24 And here in the second paragraph, you say that

Page 93 1 "Mr. Gobelman report" -- Excuse me, "The Gobelman 2 report also too narrowly limits IDOT's area of 3 liability to the area immediately around soil 4 borings specifically identified by the Board in the order." 5 6 What to you mean by this? 7 Α. Well, as we heard testimony earlier, Mr. Gobelman's approach was to look at the 8 specific borings that IDOT was found to be 9 responsible for, and he defined his geography 10 11 based upon those specific locations, rather than 12 considering all of the work that occurred because 13 of the conditions of those specific locations. And did he consider what was 14 ο. 15 underneath those borings, in coming up with his 16 calculations? 17 How much of an area of contamination was 18 underneath the boring? 19 Α. Not necessarily. 20 Did you hear him testify that he did 0. not do that? 21 22 Α. Yes, I did. If you could turn it 206-9. You say 23 0. 24 down here under here under 2.3, "Mr. Gobelman

Page 94 1 fails to consider that a soil boring, typically not more than two inches in diameter, is intended 2 3 to be representative of a larger area." 4 Can you explain what you mean by this, and how that impacted Mr. Gobelman's report? 5 6 So, the way in which USEPA required Α. 7 the work we performed, there were individual sample locations, and a sample is this just that. 8 9 It is a representative sample of a geographic 10 area. 11 And then based upon that condition in that sample, they would apply that to their entire 12 13 grid, in this particular case, in many instances. Whereas, Mr. Gobelman marked out the area 14 15 represented by the sample, only to align with 16 those very specific boring locations on parcel 17 0393. Okay. And here on 206-9, you talk 18 ο. about Mr. Gobelman using inconsistent sources to 19 create his base map and his figures, and then that 20 is inappropriate. 21 Can you explain his opinion? 22 I think that Mr. Gobelman's base maps 23 Α. 24 changed several times, and I don't think at any

Page 95 1 one point were they accurate. So that as he was 2 making his changes, he was making changes to his attributions; however, I don't think those were 3 4 accurate, because the base maps that he was using 5 were never accurate. 6 How does the use of inconsistent 0. 7 sources, to come with a base map, render a base map improper or inaccurate, in your mind? 8 9 Α. It can just lead to the inconsistencies of the lack of a good base that's 10 representative of the actual site conditions. 11 12 And I'm just going to reference them Q. 13 too, we talked about them a lot. 207 is Mr. 14 Gobelman's supplemental report, correct? 15 I believe that's right. Α. 16 Q. Okay, and on 207-13, I'll represent to you is his base map, and then he has a number 17 of figures that follow that. 18 19 If you would like to take a look at 207, this should be in your book, 207-13 and the 20 figures that follow, I just want to ask you if you 21 22 think these are accurate? 23 No, I don't. Α. 24 Q. Okay. You have the same opinion,

Page 96 1 with respect to the figures that were contained in 2 205, that started with Base Map 205-22 and the 3 figures that followed? 4 Yes, that's correct. Α. 5 Q. And are Mr. Gobelman's figures and 6 maps different from the USEPA approved AECOM maps? 7 Α. Yes. Are they different from the maps 8 Q. 9 submitted and relied upon by the Board in the first hearing? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 I would like you to turn to 208, Q. 13 please, and I'm looking at 208-9 and 208-11, and I have boards here of 208-9 and 208-11. 14 15 Do you know what these are? 16 Α. 208-9 is a property boundary layout 17 where I took the original mapping that we had 18 performed, compared that with the mapping that Mr. 19 Gobelman presented in his two rebuttal reports. 20 Okay. And what color -- and 208-11, 0. Mr. Dorgan, is that a blowup of the northeast 21 22 section of 208-9? 23 That's correct. Α. 24 Okay. And what is denoted in yellow? Q.

Page 97 The lines in yellow are basically the 1 Α. 2 locations that AECOM Consultant Group agreed on. 3 Q. And those were based upon what information? 4 5 Α. They were based upon the information AECOM had been submitting for their figures for 6 7 their documents, including their final report. The AutoCAD materials? 8 Q. 9 Α. That's correct. And when you first began working on 10 0. 11 this matter, was Ms. Dutton your CAD person? 12 No, she was not. Α. 13 Q. She took over for someone else? That's correct. 14 Α. 15 And started working on the figures ο. 16 from the materials? 17 Α. That's correct. Sorry, I just talked over 18 AECOM? Q. From AECOM? 19 you. 20 That's correct. Α. Okay. So, you said yellow is your 21 Q. drawings and AECOM's drawings. What is red? 22 23 Red would be the boundary that Α. Mr. Gobelman represented on his first report. 24

Page 98 1 And what is blue? Q. 2 Α. The boundary that was used in his 3 second report. 4 Okay. You have state plane Q. 5 coordinates on these maps. Why are these 6 important? 7 They provide the geo location of the Α. various features on the site to a known point. 8 9 0. You have a couple of -- you have a couple of -- you have a notation up here in the 10 11 left, the excavation test samples, 1S to 8S, per AECOM document 57-536. 12 13 I believe Ms. Dutton testified about this; is that correct? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. Did you agree with Ms. Dutton's testimony about that? 17 18 Yes, I do. Α. 19 0. For one second, I would like to turn 20 to a document Ms. O'Laughlin referred to earlier, which is a report from your first -- from one of 21 your expert reports from the first hearing. 22 23 It's exhibit 06, I would like you to go 24 to Figure -- it's 06-25.

October 29, 2020

Page 99 1 Which binder would that be in? Α. 2 Q. I'm not sure, but I can hand it to 3 you. 4 I'm not sure it's in a binder, because 5 it was just brought up last month. 6 MS. BRICE: May I approach? 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you 8 may. 9 BY MS. BRICE: MR. Dorgan, I'm handing you what is 10 0. 11 Exhibit 06-25. What is that document? 12 It's a site plan that shows various Α. 13 locations of the test kits and borings at the 14 site. 15 Okay. And there's a -- here is Q. 16 something of a legend, B3-XX, and there's a sign. 17 It says, "ELM boring location (1999)." 18 What were you intending to say to that, 19 with respect to this map? 20 Differentiating which borings were Α. performed by ELM. 21 22 Did you use ELM Figure 15, or Q. 23 anything in the ELM report, to locate those 24 borings?

	Page 100
1	A. No, I did not.
2	Q. And how did you locate those borings?
3	A. I used those based upon the AECOM
4	drawing that was provided.
5	Q. Thank you. If you turn back to
6	208-11, please, and it's comparisons between
7	Northern AECOM's locations in yellow, and Mr.
8	Gobelman's two locations in red and blue.
9	What does this document tell you about
10	the location of Mr. Gobelman's Site 6 borings in
11	his reports, as compared to yours?
12	A. Well, what appears I think appears
13	happened, in my belief, and Mr. Gobelman's
14	testified to, when he corrected the location of
15	the northern boundary of Site 6, he fixed all the
16	other locations to it.
17	So, I ended up moving these boring logs
18	and also moved the features, including the
19	northeast excavation, which is specifically
20	identified in this 208-11.
21	Q. With respect to the Site 6 boring
22	locations, are the blue and red dots in the same
23	place as your yellow dots, in all instances?
24	A. No, they are not.

Page 101 How do they diverge, as you moved 1 Q. 2 toward the east? 3 Α. His increasingly are placed further 4 west than the locations that were plotted on our 5 drawing. 6 And what do these documents tell you 0. 7 about the location of Mr. Gobelman's Site 3 8 borings in his report as compared to yours and AECOM's? 9 10 Α. They are also not in the same 11 locations. 12 Can you please describe that for me? Q. 13 So, when he changed his Site 3 Α. boundary for the northern boundary, and shifted 14 15 everything south, that shifted his borings from 16 his original location approximately 10 feet south 17 and also a little bit east. 18 Okay. So, his Site 3 borings in blue Q. 19 are further east than your Site 3 borings in 20 yellow, correct? 21 Α. That's correct. Yes. If you could go to 204-45, please? 22 Q. 204-45 is not in bider. 23 Α. 24 MS. BRICE: Drew, could you pull up

October 29, 2020

Page 102 1 204-45 up on the screen, please? BY MS. BRICE: 2 3 Q. 205-45. I'm sorry, I misspoke. Ι 4 apologize. 5 Mr. Dorgan, do you recognize this 6 document? 7 Α. Yes, I do. And is this the document Mr. Gobelman 8 Q. used to locate the Site 3 borings? 9 I believe it is, yes. 10 Α. 11 0. Would you use this document as a 12 source for a base map? 13 Α. No, I would not. 14 Q. Why not? 15 Α. It's referenced in this document as 16 draft. 17 Okay. Anything else? Q. It's not the final figure that was 18 Α. 19 developed. There are later versions of this that 20 further clarify the precise locations with various site features. 21 Okay. Can you explain to me what you 22 Q. mean? What do you think is wrong with this map? 23 24 In my opinion, what we're seeing here Α.

i	
	Page 103
1	is the northern boundary of Site 3.
2	It's basically lining up with Greenwood
3	Avenue, the actual pavement, edge of pavement.
4	And as we've seen in the later documents,
5	that actual boundary has been shifted south,
6	there's a reference on this it's very difficult
7	to see.
8	Q. Can you pull that up, up to the top?
9	There you go.
10	A. So, there's a reference for an FIP,
11	which would stand for a found iron pipe. The new
12	Site 3 boundary, after the corrections appear to
13	line up very closely with that particular linear
14	feature.
15	Q. And what does found iron pipe signify
16	to you?
17	A. Usually that's a survey marker that's
18	been put in ground to designate some sort of a
19	boundary.
20	Q. Mr. Gobelman's claimed he did hand
21	scaling of this map to determine the location of
22	Site 3 borings. What is your reaction to that?
23	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection,
24	mischaracterizes Mr. Gobelman's testimony.

October 29, 2020

Page 104 1 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Sustained. 2 It's not what I remember. You want to rephrase 3 it? 4 BY MS. BRICE: 5 Mr. Gobelman claimed he did scaling Q. off of this map to determine the location of the 6 7 Site 3 borings. What is your reaction it that? 8 9 Α. It's a method that can be used, but I would prefer to use something that would provide 10 11 more accurate measurements which would be the CAD 12 drawings. 13 From your opinion, 205-45 accurately Q. represent the locations of the Site 3 borings? 14 15 I don't believe so. Α. 16 Q. Okay. Let's turn to 208-4, please. 17 Α. I'm there. 18 Here you say, "In addition to Q. 19 incorrectly representing the locations of the 20 boring on test pits, the supplemental report changes the location dimensions in the northeast 21 excavation, as well the North Shore gas line in 22 the City of Waukegan water line?" 23 24 I can take you back here to 208-9, if you

Page 105 would. What does this map tell you about the 1 2 location -- where Mr. Gobelman placed the location 3 of the Waukegan water line? He shifted it from the first one, the 4 Α. 5 red line, has it further north and then he shifts it to the south, so that it's running roughly with 6 7 the parcel 0393 boundary. And what -- is that a correct 8 Q. 9 methodology with respect to a utility to move it 10 on a map? 11 Α. Again, I think what happened here was this he moved it is a boundary for Site 3, a 12 13 northern boundary. He just shifted everything 14 with it, so it dropped that location of the 15 Waukegan Water line. 16 Q. Right, but was this supposed to drop that location or was that fixed in space? 17 18 No, that would be -- the location Α. 19 shown in yellow is where it was actually located. 20 And what about the northeast 0. excavation, what is your opinion about where Mr. 21 Gobelman placed the northeast excavation on his 22 23 maps, compared to your AECOM's map? 24 Similar to the Waukegan Water line, Α.

October 29, 2020

Page 106 1 it shifted, as it went from the first report to 2 the second report. It also moved a little bit to 3 the east. 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You are 5 fading off again, Mr. Gobelman. 6 I'm sorry, it shifted THE WITNESS: 7 again to the south and to the east, as a result of the change. 8 BY MS. BRICE: 9 Well, isn't it larger than it was in 10 0. 11 the first report? When you look at the red versus 12 the blue? 13 I don't think it's larger in total Α. area, but the area that is currently -- that's on 14 15 Site 3 is larger. 16 Q. If you could go back to 206. And I 17 would like to go to page 10. 18 You say that you're talking about here parcel 0393, correct? 19 20 That's right. Α. You say, "Mr. Gobelman focuses on --21 Q. broadly focuses on soil sample locations within 22 23 parcel 0393 versus the entire parcel." 24 Why do you say that?

Page 107 1 Again, because as I mentioned Α. 2 earlier, sample locations are representative of a 3 grid area. And my opinion is that the grid area, that work had to be done, because of the results 4 5 of the boring from that sample. 6 And, by contrast, Mr. Gobelman just 7 looked very narrowly at 0393 and did not consider the entire 55, 50 by 50 foot-grid area. 8 With respect to 0393, do you believe 9 0. the Board, based upon your interpretation, found 10 11 that all of 0393 was within IDOT's area of 12 liability? 13 That was my interpretation. Α. Okay. And what led you to come to 14 0. 15 this conclusion. 16 Α. I believe the order recognized that IDOT was in control of all of parcel 0393. 17 And how did that impact their 18 Q. 19 decision? 20 I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand. Α. So, by controlling it -- I 21 Q. Sure. think the order says that, and I could go back to 22 it, but "Continuing to control the portion of 23 24 parcel 0393 following within Site 3, continues to

Page 108 1 allow ACM placed in that soil." 2 What does that mean to you? 3 Α. That means that they remain 4 responsible for it. Okay. How did Mr. Gobelman's failure 5 Q. 6 to include 0393 as part of IDOT's Site 3 area of 7 liability impact his attributions? It limited it for certain task 8 Α. buckets. 9 On 206-12, you discussed Mr. Gobelman 10 0. 11 failed to rebut your points concerning IDOT area of liability for Site 6. Do you see that? 12 That's under 2.5? 13 Α. It's 206-12. Yeah under 2.5.. 14 ο. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Q. Can you elaborate on your view as to 17 his failings as to the Greenwood Avenue construction considerations? 18 19 Α. Yes. So, in the way that I looked at 20 it, I considered the conditions that were specifically identified in the IDOT borings; and 21 22 then what that role is, in terms of the rest of 23 the work on Site 6, and also considered the plans 24 that we've looked previously, regarding the

Page 109 1 Greenwood Avenue construction project. 2 Q. Can you briefly describe what was going on at the intersection of Detour Road A and 3 4 Greenwood Avenue, with respect to the IDOT work in 5 and around that 1970? 6 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Ms. Brice, what 7 figure are you looking at? MS. BRICE: 204-40. 8 9 THE WITNESS: So, the Greenwood 10 Avenue was being reconstructed and an embankment 11 was being built. 12 Detour Road A was coming into Greenwood 13 Avenue. It provides bypass for traffic during construction. 14 15 BY MS. BRICE: 16 Q. And is that area that you're pointing 17 to here? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 0. This is Greenwood Avenue here 20 labeled, and then the Detour is gray, coming in and around 5S, 6S, 7S; is that right? 21 22 Α. That's right. 23 0. Okay. Keep going. 24 Α. And there's an area where Detour Road

Page 110 A crosses into the Greenwood Avenue right-of-way. 1 2 So that they can have a tie-in between Greenwood 3 Avenue and the Detour Road A. 4 Q. Okay. So, what plans do you need to 5 look at, in order to understand what's going on at this interaction between Detour Road A and 6 7 Greenwood Avenue? 8 Α. It's the Greenwood Avenue cross-sections. 9 And did the Board, in your opinion, 10 0. 11 or from your recollection, look at 21A-26, when it made its decision, with respect to Detour Road A 12 13 in the opinion? I believe that's the correct 14 Α. 15 reference, and it's the Greenwood Avenue is what 16 was considered by the Board. But did the Board look at 17 ο. Right. 21A-26, when it was rendering its decision on the 18 19 Detour Road A, or did it look at 21A-23, which is 20 the Detour Road A cross-section? If you don't know, that's fine. 21 I don't believe they ended up 22 Α. 23 considering the Detour Road A cross-section. 24 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, it's

	Page 111
1	speculative.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow
3	it.
4	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. The record
5	will reflect what the Board Order says.
б	BY MS. BRICE:
7	Q. Why is it important to consider, with
8	respect to this area wherein Detour Road A and
9	Greenwood Avenue intersect, why is it important to
10	look at 21A and 26, which is the cross-section for
11	that area?
12	A. Because it shows the work that was to
13	be completed by IDOT, as far as that construction
14	effort.
15	Q. Okay. We've looked quite a bit at
16	21A-26 and 21A-26A, and this figure of yours,
17	which you drew on, which is 204-41A, correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. Okay. And down here what we have on
20	the bottom is what is shown, including 21A-26, as
21	to what is occurring on the Greenwood Avenue
22	cross-section; is that correct?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. Okay. Mr. Gobelman said something

Page 112 1 about this being inferred. 2 What is your reaction to that? 3 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, vague. 4 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Rephrase, 5 please. 6 BY MS. BRICE: 7 Mr. Gobelman talked about the portion 0. of this document 21A, 26B inferred. 8 9 Do you know what he means by that? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow 12 it. THE WITNESS: I believe I do not. 13 Т 14 assumed that he's speaking to the cross-section 15 conditions that are reflected past 7S where 16 there's no 8S as a boring location. 17 So, once it passes 7S, it becomes inferred, unless you could have the additional 18 19 boring log. 20 BY MS. BRICE: Is it inferred at 7S? 21 Q. Okay. 22 Α. No. 23 And in order to create your figure 0. 24 here, 204-41A, you used 21A and 26B that we talked

Page 113 1 about, which are as-built plans; is that right? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Q. Can you explain to us the difference 4 between as-built plans and proposed plans? 5 The proposed show what's intended, as Α. far as the construction project and what the 6 initial design is intended to be. The as-built 7 plans refect what's actually built in the field 8 and finished. 9 Okay. So, if you have as-built 10 0. 11 plans, and something has changed, what is shown on those as-built plans? 12 13 Typically, it would be the difference Α. 14 from the original design plans. 15 Okay. So, it would be marked on Q. 16 there? 17 Α. In this particular case, yes. 18 I'm going to hand you a Q. Okay. 19 document from the first hearing that was admitted. 20 This is 21-B, and it's one and then it's also got a 21B-30. 21 Objection, to the 22 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: extent this goes beyond Mr. Dorgan's rebuttal 23 report and supplemental rebuttal report. 24

Page 114 1 MS. BRICE: I believe Mrs. O'Laughlin 2 opened the door on this, asking about proposed 3 plans, and talking about proposed plans and for 4 information only, and again the final plan, the 5 as-built plans. 6 So, we're just using this to try and show 7 that there was no change between the proposed plan and as-built plans. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I vaguely remember Ms. Brice's position on this. 10 11 Regardless, I'll allow latitude, but overruled. 12 You may proceed. BY MS. BRICE: 13 14 Mr. Dorgan, what is this document? Q. 15 21B-1 appears to be the final sheet Α. 16 on the plan set. 17 0. And what does it say up at the top document? 18 It says, "State of Illinois 19 Α. 20 Department of Public Works and Buildings, Division of Highways, Plans for Proposed Federal Aid 21 22 Highway. 23 If you could turn to page 0. Okay. 24 21B-30. And at the same time, do you happen to

Page 115 1 have a document 21A and 26 in front of you? 2 MS. BRICE: Or, Drew, can you pull it 3 up on the screen, just the regular 21A-26, please, 4 and blow it up, please. 5 BY MS. BRICE: Okay. Mr. Dorgan, in your opinion, 6 0. 7 how does 21B-30 relate to 21A-26, from the as-built plans? 8 9 Α. They appear to be the same. 10 0. Okay. Are they depicting the same 11 area? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. And has this area to the far Q. 14 left, on the top figure that has the peat marked 15 as unsuitable material, is that different at all 16 on the proposed plans and the as-built plans? 17 Α. No, it's not. So, what does that mean had to happen 18 ο. 19 at that location, which I think is depicted over here on 204-41A, correct? 20 21 Α. That's correct. 22 Q. What had to happen there? 23 In my opinion, that reflects that Α. those materials had to be removed. 24

Page 116 1 Why did it have to be remove? Q. 2 Α. It says it's unsuitable material to 3 be removed. 4 Q. Okay. What did they have to do here in sample 7S? What did they have to do at this 7S 5 6 location, based on that document? 7 They would have had to excavate down Α. to the bottom of the black peat and replace it 8 with suitable build material. 9 So, excavate down to 10 0. 11 582-and-a-halfish? 12 Ms. Brice, if I may? Α. 13 Q. Yes. Your looking at Station 7 not the 14 Α. 15 Sample 7. 16 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Now I'm looking at 17 Sample 7. Right there. 18 Α. Yes, but the cross-section is 19 referring to Station 7. 20 I'm sorry, I'm confused. Can you 0. explain? 21 22 Yes, the stationing is referenced Α. across the bottom. 23 24 I want you to talk about what's going Q.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 117 1 on at Sample 7. 2 Α. At sample 7? 3 Q. Yes. It would be the depth down to the 4 Α. 5 bottom of -- the bottom of the unsuitable fill. 6 And what level was that? 0. 7 Roughly 583 and three-quarters. Α. Okay. And then what would have to 8 Q. 9 have happened? It would have to have been back 10 Α. 11 filled up to the proposed grade. 12 And what is the proposed grade? Q. 589 roughly, 588 and three-quarters. 13 Α. Okay. And in the boring logs that 14 Q. 15 you looked at -- you've looked at boring logs for 16 7S have you not? 17 Α. Yes, I have. 18 Q. Is there any mention of black Okav. 19 cindery fill or peat in those boring logs? 20 No there's not. Α. And those 7S boring logs were taken 21 Q. in the -- after 1999, correct? 22 23 That's right. Α. 24 So many years after this work was Q.

	Page 118
1	done?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. What this is showing here is around
4	1970; is that correct? What was happening here?
5	A. That's my understanding.
6	Q. By here, I mean here sort of what's
7	being shown in 21A-26.
8	And in your experience, are geotechnical
9	boring logs usually taken in close time in
10	proximity to the project?
11	A. Generally, they are shortly before
12	the project design is done.
13	Q. And in your opinion, do geotechnical
14	boring logs typically denote debris, if there is
15	debris found in them?
16	A. They oftentimes do.
17	Q. Okay. How often?
18	A. Often and I wouldn't say 100 percent
19	of the time, but most of the time they do.
20	Q. To you, what, if anything, was the
21	most important aspect of Mr. Peterson's
22	observations from the photographs?
23	A. Just the consistency of the layer
24	that was observed with the asbestos in it across

Page 119 1 from 1S to 9S. 2 Q. Can you elaborate on that? Is that 3 something you would expect to find? 4 Α. The appearance from the photographs, 5 and as it was described my Mr. Peterson, is you see a consistency, without any break point. So, 6 7 what appeared to be a material that was that all placed at the same time. 8 If the base map Mr. Gobelman is using 9 0. is inaccurate, what does that mean for the work 10 11 required by USEPA? 12 It would have been done in the wrong Α. location. 13 I'm going to turn now to some 14 0. Okay. 15 of the attribution issues. I would like to talk 16 about the northeast excavation on Site 3. 17 And we've gone through a lot of 18 these calculations, so I'm not to go through 19 everything again. 20 If you could turn to 207-18, please. Tell me when you are there? 21 I'm there. 22 Α. 23 Mr. Gobelman used this map to reach 0. 24 his attributions.

	Page 120
1	You heard him testify about that,
2	correct?
3	A. That's right.
4	Q. And what is it that you disagree with
5	Mr. Gobelman about with respect to his
б	attributions on the northeast excavation?
7	A. That he is confining the attribution
8	that he's come to to only the location, only the
9	part of the northeast excavation that's in parcel
10	0393.
11	Q. I would like to turn to Exhibit 64-3.
12	Take a couple pages back, and tell me what this
13	document is?
14	A. These are USEPA this is the USEPA
15	response to the engineering evaluation cost
16	analysis that had been submitted by Johns
17	Manville.
18	Q. Okay. Turn to page 64-4, please.
19	And what is it saying about the ComEd
20	underground electric line? At the top, the very
21	top.
22	A. Yes, it references ComEd underground
23	electric lines that runs along 1S, 2S, 3S and 4S.
24	Q. And what about the ComEd Fiber Optic

Page 121 1 line? 2 Α. Where it runs from 1S, 2S, 3S and 4S 3 as well. 4 Okay. And on your Dorgan Figure 1, Q. 204-38, does a ComEd fiber optic line run through 5 6 the third grid, the furthest to the east grid, 7 that has B3-46 in it as well? 8 Α. I quess it does. Mr. Gobelman's northeast excavation 9 0. attributions are based upon square footage, right? 10 11 Α. That's correct. 12 Okay. You heard me talk with him Q. 13 about his calculation of his numerator, which is 1,889 square feet. 14 15 Do you recall that? 16 Α. I recall discussing it, the specific 17 number, perhaps not. 18 Okay. Let's turn back to 207-18. Q. 19 Α. I'm there. 20 Okay. Do you see that number on this 0. 21 page? 22 Α. Can you repeat the number, please? 1889. 23 0. 24 Α. Yes.

2

	Page 12
1	Q. Okay. Do you believe Mr. Gobelman
2	has placed the northeast excavation in the correct
3	place?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Okay. Again, if it's been placed
6	further to the east, how does that impact his
7	allocation?
8	A. Under his allocation method, it would
9	limit it, lower it.
10	Q. And what is your overall opinion
11	about his attribution?
12	A. Again, that he misconstrues the
13	requirements relative to the borings that drove
14	the cleanup of the various grids for the northeast
15	excavation.
16	Q. Let's look at the Waukegan water line
17	and we looked at this on 208-9, and I believe you
18	testified you believe the Waukegan water line is
19	in the wrong location; is that correct?
20	A. On Mr. Gobelman's figures, yes.
21	Q. If it were in the right location, and
22	I think this is consistent with your opinion, a
23	100 percent of it would be given to IDOT, correct?
24	A. Yes.

	Page 123
1	Q. At the time of the first hearing,
2	were there any borings contaminated along the
3	Waukegan waterline within 0393?
4	A. No.
5	Q. After the exact location of the
6	Waukegan water line was collected, so after the
7	first hearing, are there any borings contaminated
8	along long the Waukegan water lines in 0393?
9	A. I recall that's there, I believe,
10	one.
11	Q. If you could turn to 206-12, please,
12	and I would like to talk a bit about AT&T.
13	You take issue with Mr. Gobelman's
14	approach to the AT&T lines. And as we discussed,
15	and he discussed, he divided 199 by 1060, to get
16	to 18.8 percent.
17	So if we stick with his method alone,
18	what is your opinion on his numerator, 199 feet,
19	that he believe fell within 0393? I believe that
20	is going to be on his figure 207-18. Give me one
21	second, I'll get there.
22	A. 207-16?
23	Q. Yes, 207-16. Thank you very much.
24	A. Sorry, repeat your question.

Page 124 So, Mr. Gobelman basically calculated 1 Q. the linear feet of what he felt fell within 0393, 2 or what he felt fell next to the boring 3 4 locations on Site 3, correct, for his AT&T Site 3 attribution? 5 6 Α. That's correct. 7 Okay. And he went to B3-26 because 0. that was the next cleanest boring, I believe he 8 testified to? 9 I believe that's correct. 10 Α. 11 0. Is his B3-26 in the same location as 12 your B3-26? 13 No, it's not. Α. 14 I would like to turn to the AT&T 0. lines Site 6. 15 16 Mr. Gobelman's attributions is based on 17 his belief that the AT&T lines ran the entire length of the north side and south side of Site 6. 18 19 Do you recall that? 20 Yes, I do. Α. And did Dr. Ebihara and Mr. Peterson 21 Q. testify about that? 22 23 Yes, they did. Α. And what did they say? 24 Q.

Page 125 1 They said that the lines ran for a Α. 2 segment of Site 6 and then came out ground and 3 went on poles for the rest of the lines for Site 6. 4 5 Q. Was any work done with respect to the 6 poles? 7 Α. No. So was Mr. Gobelman -- what's your 8 Q. impression of Mr. Gobelman using that his 9 denominator for, I believe, three of his cost 10 11 categories? 12 It's over estimating. Α. 13 Q. How would that impact his attributions? 14 15 Α. They would lower them. 16 Q. What would lower them? 17 The denominator being larger and Α. would lower the attribution. 18 19 0. Okay. So, if he had gotten it right, 20 the attribution would be more? 21 It would be larger, yes. Α. And did you make any assumption about 22 Q. the length of the lines reaching your AT&T Site 6 23 24 attribution?

	Page 126
1	A. No.
2	Q. Do you have any opinion about his
3	numerator here on Site 6, which I believe, if we
4	go to 205 or 206 let's look at 207, 207 of 4,
5	and he's talking about his it's 90 feet. He
6	comes up with 90 feet which he says is what area?
7	If you turn the page, I think he
8	describes it.
9	A. I'm sorry, I am looking a look for
10	the 90 feet. Here it is. On Site 6?
11	Q. Uh-huh.
12	A. Yes. So, he defined that as the
13	location where the line came out of Site 3 and
14	traversed from roughly 4S between 4S and 5S of
15	his area of liability.
16	Q. Okay. Are his 4S and 5S in the same
17	place as your 4S and 5S?
18	A. No, they are not.
19	Q. You say on 206-13 let's go there.
20	We're talking about ACM soil sample.
21	You say that turn to 206–14 on the
22	next page. You say that he made an incorrect
23	assumption. What assumption was this?
24	A. That clean corridors were created

Page 127 1 along the entire length of Site 6 on both the north and south sides of Greenwood. 2 3 Q. How do you know that's not true? 4 Α. That is not what the record reflects. 5 Did you make the same assumption when Q. 6 doing your calculations for the north side and 7 south side of Site 6? I did not. 8 Α. 9 He says on 205 -- and he talks about 0. this more on 205 than he does in his other report. 10 11 If could to go to 205-11, he says here 12 that the numerator he used to calculate the 13 percentage is 197 linear feet, which is the distance from the western edge of Site 6 to the 14 15 4.5s. 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 If you go back to 208-11, if you were Q. 19 measuring using your borings in yellow, from the 20 western edge of Site 6 to 4.5S, would you come up with the same calculation? 21 22 Α. No. 23 And why is that? 0. 24 My length would be slightly longer Α.

Page 128 based upon on the location -- the actual location 1 2 of 4S. 3 Let's talk a little bit about the Q. 4 North Shore gas line. 5 On 206-11, you don't need to turn to it. You disagree with his opinion regarding the cost 6 7 for the North Shore gas line on Site 3. 8 Can you just explain the nature of your disagreement? 9 I'm considering all of the North 10 Α. 11 Shore gas line work to be attributable for the 12 IDOT main corridor was needed because of the 13 borings that are specially referenced on parcel 0393 in the Board Order. 14 15 By contrast, Mr. Gobelman calculated an 16 area of the corridor that falls within parcel 17 0393. As to the North Shore gas line on 18 ο. 19 Site 6 attribution, you make the point that at the time of the EAM, there was no ACM east of 8S. 20 Why is that relevant? 21 Because the EPA was making the 22 Α. determination of what they were requiring based 23 24 upon the sample results from 1S to 8S and

Page 129 1 extending it to the whole length of the border. 2 So, at the time that the enforcement memorandum was written, they knew that there was 3 4 asbestos present from 1S to 8S, but they still 5 required a clean corridor to extend past 8S for 6 the utility lines. 7 So, it was the presence of ACM from 1S to 8S that required the entire clean corridor. 8 I believe you testified earlier your 9 0. opinion on that wouldn't change, it was from 1S to 10 11 4S, correct? 12 That's is correct. Α. 13 Q. On 205-12, Mr. Gobelman says, "It was the length of the North Shore gas line along line 14 15 the south side of Site 6 is 2,005 linear feet," 16 and he attributes that to you. 17 Is that what you said? I don't believe so. 18 Α. 19 Q. What did you say? I think it's 20 204-24. My calculation was predicated on the 21 Α. entire length of the North Shore Gas Line, which 22 23 ran both on the south and the north side of Site 6 at different locations. 24

Page 130 1 And, so, he used this 2,005 linear Q. 2 feet as his denominator, correct? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 Okay. How did that impact his Q. 5 attribution? 6 Increasing the larger denominator Α. 7 with the smaller numerator led to a smaller attribution. 8 9 0. In his supplemental report, Mr. Gobelman says the North Shore Gas lines runs 10 11 through 72 feet of the IDOT area liability on Site 12 6. Can you take a look at 207-17. Okay? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Can you describe for me where that Q. 16 9 -- 72 feet was located? 17 I believe that is the calculation Α. that he did to measure from where the North Shore 18 19 gas line entered Site 6 to sample location on 6S. 20 Q. 6S? 21 Α. Yes. Let's go back and look at that. 22 Q. I am not sure if that's right. Let's go to 207 --23 24 Excuse me, I see what he did. Would Α.

October 29, 2020

Page 131 1 you like me to clarify that? 2 Q. Please. 3 Α. So, on Exhibit 17, he has two measurements, which I believe he then adds. 4 5 And the first measurement is as it enter Site 6 running to roughly just west of 4S. 6 Then a 7 second measurement that measures from that location to halfway between 4S and 5S. 8 Okay. And is that the 72 feet? 9 0. That looks like it would add up to 10 Α. 11 72 feet. 12 And his measurement here -- didn't go Q. to the next cleanest boring did it? 13 Know it did not. 14 Α. 15 ο. Let's talk about dewatering for a 16 moment. On 206-14 you said that his dewatering 17 attributions were incorrect, because they 18 predicated upon other incorrect attributions in a 19 plot map. 20 Can you explain that, please? 206-14 regarding dewatering? 21 Α. Yes, dewatering Site 3. 22 Q. 23 I believe he used the same Α. 24 understanding of the entire length of both the

Page 132 north and south sides of Site 6 to calculate his 1 2 total area of work. 3 Q. Let's look at this again. I'm talking about Site 3 not Site 6. 4 5 206-14, Site 3 dewatering, he said he used Nicor, North Shore Gas, the northeast 6 7 excavation, the Waukegan water line, and he used a method similar to you. 8 9 I'm sorry, Ms. Brice, I don't know Α. that I'm in the right location. 10 206-14? 11 0. Yes. Maybe I'm in the wrong 12 location, then, because I just had you talking 13 about -- you know what, it must be back in the 14 205. Hold on, give me a second. 15 Let's go back to 205. I apologize. Ι 16 was not as organized as I was earlier. It's been 17 a long day. 18 Let's go to 205, 205-14, not 206-14. My 19 bad. I was off by a number. 205-14. He used 20 these dewatering calculations involving Nicor and North Shore Gas, City of Waukegan line in the 21 northeast excavation, correct? 22 23 That's correct. Α. And he attributed nothing to the 24 Q.

Page 133 1 Nicor Gas line and City of Waukegan line; is that 2 correct? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 But you would have attributed Q. 5 something to the City of Waukegan water line, 6 right? 7 Α. That is correct. 8 Q. And in your report -- go to 205-15. How did Mr. Gobelman arrive at his attribution for 9 dewatering on Site 6? 10 11 Α. He would have the final work plan at 12 the length of the work on the south side of Site 6 would be 419 linear feet. 13 And then what did he do? 14 ο. 15 He then considered how much of the Α. 16 length was in what he considered to be IDOT's area 17 of liability, which I believe was 197 linear feet. Okay. And we talked about that same 18 Q. 19 measurement a few minutes ago of 197 linear feet, 20 which is from the western edge of Site 6 to 4.5s. Would your opinion be the same with 21 respect to Site 6 dewatering as it was with 22 23 respect to that measurement we discussed earlier? 24 Α. Yes.

Page 134 What is your opinion about this 419 1 Q. 2 feet? Did you believe it to be accurate? He's 3 measuring from 1S to 9S. 4 Α. It's the difference in the approach 5 where he's trying to take a measurement for 6 something that was done collectively between the 7 north and south side of Site 6. So, I just don't 8 think it's an appropriate way to try to attribute 9 the dewatering process. Okay. And his 1S to 9S would be 10 0. 11 different from your 1S to 9S, because the Site 6 12 borings are in different locations? That is correct. 13 Α. 14 Okay. Mr. Dorgan, can you turn to ο. 15 205-28, please? Let me know when you are there. 16 Α. I'm there. 17 ο. Okay. Did you hear Mr. Gobelman 18 testify that he believed the ramp to be that area 19 that is denoted as a ramp in a cross-hatched area 20 with a box around it? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Is that the ramp? 23 No, that's not the context of how it Α. 24 was being used in my report.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 135 1 Okay. Where is the ramp? Q. 2 Α. The ramp is the embankment that runs 3 along the south side of Greenwood Avenue. 4 Q. How far east does it go? 5 Α. I believe nearly the entire length of 6 0393. 7 What work was done in the embankment? Q. 8 In the ramp. I'm sorry, pardon me. As I testified earlier, it was the 9 Α. work AECOM undertook to sample the ramp area, in 10 11 order to avoid having to put a cap on the slope of 12 the embankment. 13 Okay. And did they take soil Q. borings? 14 15 Yes, they did. Α. 16 Q. Did they find asbestos-containing material to within 0393? 17 18 Yes, they did. Α. 19 Q. On 205-29, Mr. Gobelman has been 20 using an area approach for Site 3 filling and 21 capping. Your method looked at the task buckets 22 driving the remedy; is that right? 23 24 Okay. Could you just restate that, Α.

Page 136 1 please? 2 Q. Sure. He uses an approach for Site 3 3 filling and capping that looked in an area, and 4 your approach looked at what task buckets were 5 driving the remedy for cap in Site 3; is that 6 right? 7 Α. Generally, yes. 8 Q. Okay. What do you believe is wrong with his method? 9 Similar to the other instances where 10 Α. 11 he is narrowing and defining IDOT's responsibility 12 as being only the work for filling the capping 13 that was done within Site 3. -- or, excuse me, parcel 0393. I'm sorry. 14 15 Right. And what did you do? Q. 16 Α. I attributed it based upon what the driver was out of the Enforcement Action Memo. 17 18 Okay. And he measured this .208 Q. 19 acres based upon where he places soil borings on 20 Site 3, correct? 21 Α. Yes, that's correct. And do you believe his placement of 22 Q. the soil borings on Site 3 are accurate? 23 24 Α. No.

Page 137 So would this affect his attribution? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. 2015-16, please, filling and 4 capping for Site 6. Again, we have this 5,470 linear foot number that he uses as a denominator. 5 6 Again, what is your opinion on that? 7 That's overstated. Α. 8 Q. And why is that? Because filling the capping wasn't 9 Α. done on the entire length of the north and south 10 11 side of Site 6. 12 Again, we're seeing this 197 linear Q. 13 feet that you've testified about. Would your opinion be the same here, with 14 15 respect to that measurement? 16 Α. Yes. Did your attribution for filling on 17 Ο. Site 3 rely on measuring distances? 18 19 Α. No. 20 I didn't go through the Site 3 and 6 0. task buckets for all of these; but just for 21 clarity, to the extent that there is something 22 wrong with the attribution in either the Site 3 23 24 task bucket or the Site 6 task bucket, when you

Page 138 come together and create the combined Site 3 task 1 2 bucket, how would that affect the attributions? 3 Α. They would trickle down to the rest 4 of them. 5 Similar question: Turning here to Q. demonstrative Exhibit 245, "Task buckets used as 6 7 inputs by both experts to determine oversight in support services task bucket attributions." 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Α. Yes, I do. 11 0. Okay. You know, Mr. Gobelman, you used the same methodology we've established. 12 13 You say that Mr. Gobelman has calculated IDOT's share of the construction-related costs. 14 15 Because he miscalculated IDOT's share of 16 construction-related costs, all of his 17 calculations for the site-wide cost categories are 18 incorrect, unreasonable and unreliable. That's 19 from your report of the 206-15. 20 Can you explain that opinion with reference to this demonstrative? 21 I saw the top of the demonstrative 22 Α. shows which elements of the work were performed 23 and factored into each of the individual 24

Page 139 1 categories. 2 And then the table below it provides the 3 comparison between the attribution calculations that Mr. Gobelman made and the calculations that I 4 5 had made. 6 It simply demonstrates how if there's a 7 difference in any one of the individual construction element attributions, it will end up 8 being reflected as a change in all of the general 9 site attributions that were made. 10 11 Q. Okay. So, it's all connected? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Is that a good way to put it? Okay. Q. And that's true, with respect to all of these 14 15 oversight support services task buckets, type 3 16 prep, Site 6 prep, site 3-6 prep, health and 17 safety, Site 3 oversight, Site 6, oversight and legal; is that correct? 18 19 Α. That's correct. 20 0. Okay. A couple of last questions. In your attributions, if your numerator is 21 smaller, how does that affect your attribution, 22 23 with respect to calculations that you and Mr. 24 Gobelman did?

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/20/2021

October 29, 2020

Page 140 1 It would make them smaller. Α. 2 Q. Okay. And if your denominator is 3 larger, how does it impact them? It would also make them smaller. 4 Α. 5 MS. BRICE: I have no further 6 questions. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, do you need a few moments? 8 9 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We can take a break. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 10 What are 11 you thinking, 15 minutes, no longer. Thank you. 12 Pam, we're leaving for 15. Off the 13 record. Thank you. 14 (Recess taken.) 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're 16 going back on the record. We have Ms. O'Laughlin 17 crossing JM's rebuttal expert, Mr. Dorgan. You 18 may proceed. Thank you. 19 CROSS REBUTTAL EXAMINATION BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Dorgan. 21 Q. Good afternoon. 22 Α. 23 You testified that you had relied on 0. 24 AECOM to provide you a CAD file in the production

Page 141 1 of your map? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Q. Okay. And did you produce that CAD file to IDOT? 4 I believe we produced the CAD file to 5 Α. 6 you in my depositions. 7 And would that deposition have Q. occurred on June 12th, 2019? 8 9 Α. Sounds about right. It's your second deposition, at least 10 0. 11 that's what my notes reflect. During that 12 deposition, it came out that a CAD file was never 13 produced to IDOT? 14 MS. BRICE: Objection. That 15 misrepresents the record. 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. 17 O'Laughlin? 18 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's correspondence between the parties. 19 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I didn't hear what Ms. Brice was saying. It misrepresents 21 evidence? 22 23 MS. BRICE: It misrepresents 24 correspondence between the parties. I have an

Page 142 1 e-mail where we discussed it. 2 We had produced it printed format, and 3 we showed we produced some of those earlier, 67 documents and showed them to some of the 4 5 They had the CAD drawings on them. witnesses. 6 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay, it's a 7 speaking objection where she's putting in her 8 argument. I'm trying 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 10 to figure out what you're trying to get at, what 11 question, because --12 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I ask the 13 question? 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go ahead, 15 and then Ms. Brice can made an objection. I'm not 16 sure what you were asking. 17 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: What is a is CAD file? 18 ο. 19 Α. A CAD file is a digital format of a 20 document that's created inside the AutoCAD software. 21 And you relied on that CAD file in 22 0. the production of your map that you produced in 23 24 your expert report in this second round of

	Page 143
1	hearings?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. As we were discussing before the
4	objection. It was discovered during your June
5	2019 deposition that Johns Manville had not
6	produced this CAD electronic file to IDOT; is that
7	true?
8	HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice?
9	MS. BRICE: That's okay. As long as
10	she is having it identified by the electronic
11	aspect of the file, I'm okay with the question.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
13	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
14	Q. So, the electronic file, we
15	discovered during you June 2019 deposition, had
16	not been produced to IDOT; is that your
17	recollection?
18	A. I believe at the deposition we had
19	discussion as to whether it had; and if it had
20	not, that we would. I believe we subsequently
21	did.
22	Q. You subsequently produced that
23	electronic file to IDOT after your June 2019
24	deposition?

Page 144 1 Α. That's correct. 2 Q. Okay. And your expert report, in the 3 second round, is dated June 13, 2018; is that 4 correct? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 And your expert rebuttal report is 0. 7 October 25th, 2018, and your expert rebuttal supplemental is dated April 30th, 2019; is that 8 9 correct? Those sound like the correct dates. 10 Α. 11 0. And all those dates occur before June of 2019? 12 13 Α. That's that correct. 14 You spent some time going over --Ο. 15 turning to Exhibit 208-11 and 208-9 --16 Α. Yes. You identified those? 17 0. 18 Yes, I believe we discussed those Α. 19 previously. 20 And you spent some time going over 0. the differences of both of these between the AECOM 21 property line and features, the Gobelman property 22 23 line features, the first report and the property 24 line -- Gobelman's property line features from the

Page 145 1 supplemental report. 2 And they are demonstrated with different 3 colors. You talked about this on your direct 4 testimony. 5 Yes, I did. Α. Have you ever -- so, looking 6 0. Okay. 7 at this 208-11, so Mr. Gobelman's supplemental report is in blue, and AECOM's property line is in 8 9 yellow. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 10 Ms. 11 O'Laughlin, you might want to point your head towards the direction of the speaker. 12 13 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you. 14 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 15 Now, this exhibit -- so, the blue is Q. 16 Gobelman's supplemental, which is the one he's 17 adopting; and the yellow is AECOM. And in blue, 18 are lines east of the yellow borings, like for 19 instance B3-50 yellow is west of B3-50 blue. 20 Is that an accurate description of this figure? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And when some of these match 0. up -- excuse me, strike that. 24

Page 146 1 On this Figure B3-45 called just 2 "Gobelman Supplemental Report" falls just outside 3 0393; is that correct, based on this figure? 4 Yes, it is. Α. 5 You heard Mr. Gobelman testified that Q. 6 he included B3-45 in IDOT'S in the allocation? 7 Yes, I did. Α. 8 Q. Have you ever gone through the exercise of calculating the difference in 9 Gobelman's damages if he had used AECOM's map as 10 11 opposed to his supplement base map? 12 Α. No. 13 Now in all of your three reports --Q. 14 maybe I'm wrong about this, you do not have a 15 bibliography. I'm pretty sure it's not in your 16 rebuttal report or rebuttal supplement report. 17 If you have those before you, you can look at them be, Exhibit 204, Exhibit 206 and 208. 18 19 Α. Is this out on the table? 20 Did you include a bibliography in any 0. 21 of your reports? 22 I did not. Α. 23 Turning to page 206-13, which would 0. 24 be your rebuttal report?

	Page 147
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Starting in the middle of the page of
3	Section 2.5.21 AT&T, the last sentence of the
4	first paragraph, can you read that?
5	A. "As a result, Mr. Gobelman"
б	Q. Nope. I must have misdirected you.
7	The last sentence of the first paragraph of it
8	begins with "Based on the record." 2.5.21
9	first paragraph, last sentence.
10	A. The second to last sentences, just to
11	clarify, but I can read it, if you like.
12	Q. You are right. Yes, the last two
13	sentences?
14	A. "Based on the record, AT&T lines do
15	not run entire length of north and south corridor
16	in Site 6. As a result, Mr. Gobelman's
17	calculations is incorrect."
18	Q. Where in the record is that
19	information?
20	A. I believe it's in the final report
21	that was prepared by AECOM.
22	Q. But you do not have a citation here,
23	do you?
24	A. No, I do not.

Page 148 If you can go to 206-14, and if you 1 Q. could read the first full sentence on this page? 2 3 Α. These assumptions are inaccurate, 4 based upon the record. 5 And in this section, you're Q. 6 discussing utility ACM's soil excavation; is that 7 correct? 8 Α. That's correct. Where in the record -- where in the 9 0. record are you referring to? 10 11 Α. I do have a citation to Mr. Gobelman's deposition as a footnote at the bottom 12 13 of the page is how I did my citations and references for this report; and then, of course, 14 15 the final report that would have been prepared by 16 AECOM. 17 So, the final report is Q. Okav. approximately how large of a document? 18 19 Α. Very large. 20 Several binders large? 0. Several binders large. 21 Α. But yet you can't cite where in the 22 Q. record of this entire case. You did not cite --23 for the record, for this entire case, the 24

October 29, 2020

Page 149 1 citation; isn't that true? 2 Α. That's true. 3 Q. Also turning to Figure 1 of your 204, 4 your first report, in this second round. 204-38. 5 Α. Yes, I'm there. 6 0. Where is the ramp work? Where is the 7 ramp work displayed in this in Figure 1? The ramp is not specifically to be 8 Α. 9 labeled, but it's represented by the green embankment that is present on Site 3. 10 11 0. And how about 240 -- did I say 38 or 39? 12 13 Α. The one I just looked at was 38. Okay. Similarly, how about on 14 Q. 204-39? 15 16 Α. Same answer. 17 Where in the record is the ramp work ο. discussed? 18 19 Α. The ramp work is discussed in the 20 AECOM final report, and it was discussed in the documentation that Dr. Ebihara provided regarding 21 the cost tabulations for the site. 22 23 Okay. So, you relied upon Ebihara's 0. 24 calculations.

Page 150 1 Did you review the 10,000 photographs 2 that Johns Manville produced to IDOT in this 3 matter? 4 Α. No. 5 You relied on Mr. Peterson's Q. separation of the photographs for id'ing, flagging 6 7 of the photographs; isn't that true? You didn't -- you didn't through the exercise of looking at 8 all the photos too -- to come up with the photos 9 that Johns Manville used during this hearing; 10 11 isn't that true? 12 I did not look at every photo but I Α. 13 did ask for those that related to the specific questions that I had of Mr. Peterson regarding the 14 15 work that was done and for the Site 6 16 right-of-way. Because that's a (inaudible) area, 17 0. isn't that true? So you just selected the items 18 19 that supported your theory Mr. Dorgan, correct? 20 I was looking at the photographs of Α. the work that was completed was relevant to my 21 review. 22 The work required by USEPA, the ones 23 0. that are pertinent to this action are Sites 3 and 24

Page 151 1 Site 6, there are other sites involved but those 2 are not at play in this, in this proceeding. 3 USEPA required a clean corridors that fall in Sites 3 and Site 6; isn't that true? 4 5 Α. Yes, that's true. 6 USEPA required clean corridors 0. 7 throughout Sites 3 and Sites 6; isn't that 8 correct? 9 Yes, certain features on Site 3 and Α. Site 6. 10 11 0. They did not require clean corridors, 12 only in those areas where the borings -- even 13 under your expanded area, where IDOT is liable. 14 They did not require clean corridors, 15 only in claimed IDOT areas of liability; isn't 16 that true? 17 MS. BRICE: Objection, vague. 18 Confusing. Compound. 19 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: I kind of 20 lost it, too, Ms. O'Laughlin. Can you rephrase that, please? 21 22 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. Yes. Thank 23 you. 24 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:

Page 152 1 So your theory -- you have your IDOT Q. 2 area of liability, which includes 1S through 8S and all of 0393; is that accurate? 3 4 For certain elements of the work. Α. 5 Okay. And USEPA required a clean Q. 6 corridors for utilities that go beyond those areas 7 I just mentioned; isn't that true? 8 Α. In some instances, yes. And the site is defined by the Site 3 9 0. boundaries, not by figuring that, quote-unquote, 10 11 IDOT area of liability? 12 Α. I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand. 13 Q. I apologize. USEPA -- how was Site 3 defined? Who defines Site 3? 14 15 I'm not exactly sure when Site 3 got Α. 16 defined, but it was many years ago during earlier phases of the work. 17 Okay. But the clean corridor 18 Q. 19 requirement is driven by all of Site 3; isn't that 20 true, Mr. Dorgan? 21 MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes his testimony. 22 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can 24 answer, if he's able. Mr. Dorgan?

Page 153 1 THE WITNESS: I believe I testified 2 previously that clean corridors were required for 3 certain utilities that are present on Site 3 --BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 4 5 And, similarly, that USEPA remedy for Q. Site 6 is for a larger area of Site 6 than 1S to 6 7 8S? 8 Α. That's correct. Okay. And the clean corridors are 9 0. required because USEPA wanted to minimize the 10 11 potential for exposure to ACM material to workers 12 that may be working at a particular utility; is that correct? 13 14 Α. That was one of the criteria, yes. 15 Okay. I'd like to hand you what was Q. 16 introduced in your direct examination. Exhibit 17 21B- -- okay, so it's a cover page 21B-1, cover 18 page and then 21B-30 which would have been within 19 the document. 20 Do you remember testifying about these, 21 Mr. Dorgan? 22 Α. Yes, I do. 23 If you can turn to 21B-30, and go to 0. 24 the bottom right of the page. You see there's a

Page 154 box, and in that box it beings "for"; do you see 1 2 that? 3 I do. Α. 4 What is in the box? Q. 5 It says, "For information only." Α. 6 Thank you. Exhibit 204-40. What is 0. 7 this again, for the record? 8 Α. This is a plan and profile for Detour 9 Road A. Okay. And you see at the bottom part 10 0. 11 of this figure. What does the bottom part of this 12 figure -- what does this depict? 13 It's a profile for the length of the Α. Detour road. 14 15 And this information also shows how ο. 16 much fill is needed; isn't that true? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 Okay. So this is the amount of fill ο. 19 needed for Detour Road A? 20 That's correct. Α. And you can see the amount of fill 21 Q. needed for Detour Road A is the amount reflected 22 in this document; is that true? 23 24 To be accurate, what that figure is Α.

Page 155 1 showing is the existing ground surface, relative 2 to the proposed grade of the road. 3 So, the difference between the two would 4 be worth building. 5 Okay. And at 7 plus 0, and that Q. 6 reflects 7 plus 0 staging up on Greenwood Avenue; 7 is that correct? Where is 7.0? 8 Α. 7.0 would at the very western end of Detour Road A. 9 Okay. And how much fill would be 10 0. 11 required there? It looks to me -- may I approach. 12 How much fill is needed at Station 7 for Detour Road A? 13 MS. BRICE: I would like to just 14 15 object. She's asking for quantified fill amounts, 16 based upon the figure. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I couldn't 18 hear you at all, Ms. Brice. I had a train and 19 your voice was lowered. 20 MS. BRICE: I'm sorry. I was just objecting to the extent this goes beyond the 21 testimony, to the extent she's asking him to 22 23 quantify fill amounts on this figure. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.

Page 156 1 O'Laughlin? 2 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: This goes directly 3 to their argument about fill. It's a document 4 that they -- that he testified about on his direct 5 examination. 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. 7 You may comment. Mr. Dorgan, answer the question, 8 please. 9 THE WITNESS: I can't quantify fill volumes because that's not what this document 10 11 does. All I can say is that this shows that 12 approximate three-and-a-half feet of fill would have been needed at this location. 13 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 14 15 And how about at 8, approximately? Q. 16 Α. About roughly five feet. 17 And how about 6 plus 50, how much 0. fill would be needed? Not volume, depth? 18 19 Α. I don't know. 20 Does this figure show that any 0. cross-section that any key reference that figure 21 use (inaudible), 204-40, that he just testified 22 23 about. 24 Does this figure show that a cut was

	Page 157
1	needed?
2	A. No.
3	(Off the record)
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are
5	back on the record now. Ms. O'Laughlin is
б	continuing her cross.
7	BY: MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
8	Q. I just have on more question and it's
9	back to Exhibit 204-40.
10	No does this figure show does this
11	figure show that any that there's any
12	unsuitable material that needs to be removed?
13	A. No.
14	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I have no further
15	questions.
16	Thank you, Mr. Dorgan.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
18	Ms. Brice?
19	MS. BRICE: Okay. I am ready.
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
21	We're back on Pam.
22	REDIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION.
23	BY MS. BRICE:
24	Q. Okay. Mr. Dorgan if you put Exhibit

Page 158 204-40 together with 204-41A and you look over 1 2 here and the semi (inaudible) area, does there 3 need to be a cut of unsuitable material done? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Same for 6X? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 So you kind of have to look at these Q. two things together; isn't that true? 8 9 They're really depicting two elements Α. of that construction effort and focusing on 10 11 Greenwood Avenue and the other Detour Road A. Okay. But they around -- they are 12 Q. 13 close to each other. They are right -- this is 14 all happening right at the same --15 There is intersectionality where the Α. 16 roads intersect. 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. 18 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. I belive 19 the question was vague. I would like to have my 20 objection noted for the record. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 21 Okay. Overruled. 22 23 MS. BRICE: I am sorry I didn't 24 understand the objection.

October 29, 2020

Page 159 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. 2 Vaque. Overruled. BY MS. BRICE: 3 4 Q. Mr. Dorgan -- --5 (Technical problem with connection) 6 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: We're going 7 to mute you Pam. 8 (The record was re-created) BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 9 Mr. Dorgan, Exhibit 204-40, does this 10 0. 11 document -- does this Exhibit -- does this show that unsuitable material needs to be removed? 12 13 Α. No. 14 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Pam No further 15 questions. 16 MS. BRICE: Susan coming back for 17 redirect. 18 Okay? 19 REDIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. BRICE: 21 I'm going to ask Mr. Dorgan a couple Q. of questions about this Exhibit 204-40 and 22 23 204-41A, and my questions were: Mr. Dorgan, on 24 these two figures up at top where we have Site 6,

Page 160 are we generally depicting the same area around 1 2 4S, 5S and 6S? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Okay. On 204-41A under 7S, did you Q. 5 have to remove unsuitable material in order to 6 build up that area? 7 Α. Yes. How about under 6S, did you have to 8 Q. remove unsuitable material in order to build back 9 up that area? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And I believe you said about somebody 13 about intersectionality. 14 Can you please elaborate what you're 15 talking about, because there's been a lot of 16 confusion about how these two figures relate to 17 each other. 18 If you could please explain that, I think 19 it would be helpful for everyone? 20 Figure 204-40 is a plan of profile Α. for Detour Road A. 204-41A is the plan profile 21 for Greenwood Avenue, and the two of them 22 intersect at the boundary of Site 3 and Site 6, 23 where Detour Road A transitions into Greenwood 24

Page 161 Avenue's right-of-way to match up with Greenwood 1 2 Avenue. 3 Q. Okay. And, so, how do they relate to 4 each other, the two exhibits? 5 Are they both showing that intersection, but one is the looking at the cross-section of 6 7 Detour Road A, and that is 204-40, and the other 8 is looking at the cross-section the same way as 9 204-41A at the intersectionality at Site 6 Greenwood Avenue? 10 11 Α. That's correct. Thank you. Ms. O'Laughlin asked you 12 Q. 13 about some -- whether or not you had a 14 bibliography. 15 I believe in each of your reports, you have a whole section and discussion about 16 17 information you considered; isn't that correct? 18 Α. That's correct. And you also have footnotes that 19 0. 20 refer to specific documents that you reviewed that supported various statements you were making and 21 opinions you were drawing? 22 23 That's correct. Α. And Dr. Ebihara and Mr. Peterson 24 Q.

Page 162 testified about the lack of work relating to soil 1 2 removal and soil filling on the north and south side of Site 6, that that was not done for the 3 entire stretch of the north side and south side of 4 5 Site 6? 6 Yes, they did. Α. 7 And with respect to clean corridors, Q. I believe we talked about this in your initial 8 testimony. 9 Were clean corridors required when there 10 11 was ACM found somewhere along the line? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MS. BRICE: No further questions. 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, 15 Ms. Brice. Ms. O'Laughlin? 16 RECROSS REBUTTAL EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 18 So, Mr. Dorgan, you testified about Q. 19 204-41A and the need for removal of unsuitable 20 material. This document is now called the as-built 21 plan; is that correct? 22 23 That is a representation of the Α. as-built plans in order to blow it up and make it 24

Page 163 1 more easily visible. 2 Q. This is a document that Weaver 3 Consultants created; is that correct? 4 Α. That's correct. 5 Q. The note said it was adopted from 6 IDOT plans; is that correct, on the top left? 7 That's correct. Α. And it was drawn by RND/JDT? 8 Q. 9 Α. That's correct. And who would that be? 10 0. 11 Α. I'm assuming RD -- or RHD is Ryan 12 Dutton. JT would be James Trease. 13 Okay. All employees are with Weaver Q. Consultants; is that true? 14 15 Α. That's correct? 16 Q. And approved by DDG, which would be 17 yourself? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Q. Okay. 20 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I have no further questions. 21 22 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. O'Laughlin. 23 24 Ms. Brice?

Page 164 1 FURTHER REDIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. BRICE: 3 Q. One question. We're going to the 4 same figure Mr. Dorgan. 5 Understandably, this Figure 4 is 6 something you created, but at the bottom part of 7 Figure 4 is a -- what? What is it based on? It's a representation of the as-built 8 Α. 9 drawing. And is that 21A-26? 10 0. 11 Α. I believe that's correct. 12 And did you make any modifications to Q. 13 21A-26, as I believe Ms. Ryan testified she worked on this? 14 15 There were a lot of things done, with 16 respect to how they were represented here on your 17 figure? 18 Α. I believe so. 19 0. And 21A-26 was from the as-built drawings; is that correct? 20 21 Α. That's correct. MS. BRICE: That's all I have. 22 23 FURTHER RECROSS REBUTTAL 24 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:

Page 165 This portion is for 21A-26; am I 1 Q. 2 understanding that correctly? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 But what is missing is the Q. for-information-only box; is that true? 5 6 There is no for-information-only box Α. 7 on that figure. And the full document 21A-26 has 8 Q. for-information-only; is that correct? 9 10 Α. That's correct. 11 0. In your opinion, is somebody that 12 didn't work on the project in 1970, can they know what "for information only" means on the document? 13 MS. GALE: Objection, speculative. 14 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow 16 him to answer, if he's able. 17 THE WITNESS: I'm not entirely sure 18 what the relevancy is. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm done. 19 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: JM, have you finished your case? We still have to talk 21 about the exhibits. 22 23 MS. BRICE: Other than the exhibits, 24 yes.

Page 166 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think 2 there was a couple more proffers. I think Ms. 3 Gale can address that. 4 Also, like yesterday, she's going to read 5 them into the record. Thank you Ms. Gale you have 6 the floor. MS. GALE: We move to admit -- what 7 I'm am going to say is I'm going to say the new 8 exhibits we talked about I'll move to admit, and 9 then I will list all of the exhibits collectively, 10 11 so that way it's a full package. 12 The new exhibits I move to admit, we will 13 proffer, just to make sure: 64, USEPA correspondence dated February 1st, 2012; 14 15 Exhibit 217, Gobelman figures; Exhibit 229E-335 16 through 339, and that's it. 17 So, now, my understanding we're just 18 taking a minute to check. 19 MS. BRICE: Those are the exhibits 20 subject to the objections, our standing objections. So, I am now going to read them 21 collectively. I'm just going to read the numbers 22 23 that were on the joint exhibit list filed with the 24 Board on September 1st, 2020; and the new ones

October 29, 2020

Page 167 1 that are not on the list, I'll read the 2 description as well. Okay? 3 Exhibit 21A, 21B, 64, 65, 67, 79, 84, 120, 202, 203, 204, 206, 208, 209, 213, 214, 217, 4 5 221, 225, 227, 229E-335 through 339, and 229E-374 6 and 375, 229F-377 and 365. And then we have 7 Exhibit 21A-26A, which is a blow-up and an agreed modification of 21A-26. 8 We then have Exhibit 204-41A, which is a 9 demonstrative blowup of 204-41, which is 10 11 Mr. Dorgan's hand drawing, which he testified to 12 during his direct testimony. Then we have Exhibit 245, which is 13 another demonstrative drawing. Exhibit 245, which 14 15 is entitled "Task Buckets used as inputs by both 16 experts to be used to determine oversights and 17 support services task bucket attributions." That's it. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. 20 I think they are agreed to by IDOT. Thank you. 21 (Which were all the proceedings 22 had.) 23 24

Г

	Page 168
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	COUNTY OF C O O K)
3	I, Pamela A. Marzullo, Court Reporter,
4	certify that I was authorized to and did
5	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings;
б	and that the transcript is a true and complete
7	record of my stenographic notes.
8	
9	I further certify that I am not a
10	relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of
11	the parties, nor am I relative or employee of any
12	of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with
13	the action, nor am I financially interested in the
14	actions.
15	
16	Dated this 4th day of November 2020.
17	
18	PAMELA A. MARZULLO
19	Notary Public
20	GG 156897
21	My Commission expires 10/31/2022
22	
23	
24	

Page 169

adjusted 60:20,21165:1524:9134:2 136:23adjustments 39:4alternative 91:1145:20 152:3adjustments 39:4altogether 57:13154:24Administrativeamount 25:7accurately 57:170:436:16 154:18,21104:13admit 166:7,9,12154:22ACM 4:22 20:7admitted 113:1923:3,4,6,9,11,12adopted 163:523:18 30:20adopting 145:1732:6 33:3 38:14AECOM 61:1040:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,640:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,640:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,640:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,640:14 13:19analytical 43:1156:16,154:18,21120:16approve46:16,18 85:17100:3 135:10108:1 126:20148:16 149:20153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1297:22 100:781:22 149:16155:16approxi31:20101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1831:20145:8 146:10136:19affect 69:14 137:136:19affect 69:14 137:136:19138:2 139:22action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22		Page 109
am 1:16 4:6 actions 168:14 152:16 apparent ability 77:5 79:5 active 51:8 active 51:8 agree 17:2 60:10 48:1 ability 77:5 79:5 active 51:8 active 51:8 agreed 25:19 115:9 aboxe-entitled 128:1 167:7,20 appeare above-entitled 128:1 167:7,20 appeare abutments 82:16 add 131:10 142:14 appeare acceptable 63:13 acded 17:13 Aid 114:21 76:10 acceratels 63:13 addition 104:18 allocated 31:3 apples 6 account 34:7 112:18 allocation 30:23 27:13.3 accurare 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 applied 35:16 47:6 57:3 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 145:20 152:3 adjustments 39:4 altogether 57:13 25:16 145:20 152:3 adopted 163:5 155:15,23 approve 32:6 33:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 120:16	3	51:15 54:18
ability 77:5 79:5 active 51:8 agree 17:2 60:10 48:1 able 62:21 75:15 activities 91:9 agree 17:2 60:10 48:1 78:8 81:23 actual 25:9 59:11 agreed 25:19 115:9 above-entitled 128:1 167:7.20 appeara above-entitled 128:1 167:7.20 appeara abuts 73:20 74:16 add ed 17:13 Aid 114:21 76:10 accouptable 63:13 26:15 35:19 align 94:15 114:15 accouptish 43:7 additional 9:11 additional 9:11 agree 77:23 apples 6 accoura 34:7 112:18 allocation 30:23 27:13 apples 6 accuracy 55:21 addresse 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 adds 131:4 allocations 39:11 63:12 ajs:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 allow 49:5 70:13 apples 6 ajusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 allow 49:5 70:13 appley 42 314:21 36:23 69:15 allow 49:5 70:13 appley 42 ascin 13:2 appley 42		61:11 64:18
able 62:175:15 activities 91:9 79:4 98:16 appear: 78:8 81:23 actual 25:9 59:11 agreed 25:19 appear: 152:24 165:16 95:11 103:3,5 35:16 97:2 appear: aboutments 82:16 add 131:10 ahead 35:15 119:7 abutments 82:16 add 131:10 ahead 35:15 119:7 abuts 73:20 74:16 added 17:13 Aid 114:21 76:10 acceptable 63:13 26:15 35:19 align 94:15 114:15 account 34:7 112:18 allocated 31:3 appeired accuraty 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 adds 131:4 allocation 30:23 27:13, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocations 39:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 applicid 145:20 152:3 adjustments 39:4 altogether 57:13 25:16 123:4,6,9,11,12 adopting 145:17 anounts 35:21 155:11 <t< td=""><td></td><td>65:24 66:9</td></t<>		65:24 66:9
actual 25:9 59:11 agreed 25:19 appeara 78:8 81:23 95:11 103:3,5 35:16 97:2 appeara above-entitled 128:1 167:7,20 appeara 1:10 ad 30:18 ahead 35:15 119:7 abutments 82:16 addel 17:13 added 17:13 added 17:13 added 17:13 acceptable 63:13 26:15 35:19 align 94:15 114:21 appears account 34:7 112:18 allocated 31:3 applied accuracy 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 applying 60:2 80:21 95:1 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 13:4:2 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 appreace 145:20 152:3 adjusted 60:20,21 155:15,23 approve 154:24 Administrative accurately 57:1 70:4 36:16 154:18,21 123:14 104:13 adopting 145:17 analysis 64:9 134:8 36:20 315:15,23 approve	·103·12	75:12 80:4 93:2
15:12:1495:11103:3,535:1697:2appearaabuve-entitled128:1167:7,20appeara1:10ad 30:18ahead 35:15119:7abutments 82:16add 131:10142:14appearsabuts 73:2074:16added 17:13Aid 114:2176:10acceptable 63:1326:1535:19align 94:15align 94:15accomplish 43:7addition 104:18allocated 31:3appliedaccuracy 55:21address 166:334:1357:291:5accurate 8:464:17allocation 30:2327:13:accurate 8:464:17allocation 39:1163:1235:16 47:6 57:3adduced 4:14108:1111:2a5:4,5,22104:11adds 131:4allow 49:570:13apperei35:4,5,22104:11adjusted 60:20,21165:1524:935:4,5,22104:11adjusted 60:20,21165:1524:913:4:236:20adpitt 113:19amount 25:769:1123:1430:20adopting 145:17analysis 64:913:3233:1330:20adopting 145:17analysis 64:913:4:430:1222122:7144:12approve44:16,1885:17100:3135:10analyzed 82:1131:15123:464:17163:1225:1635:1497:12154:2235:22135:2235:1697:2adjusted 60:20,21165:1524:9154:24Administrativeamount 25:7		93:3,17 94:3,10
1:10 128:1 167:7,20 appeare 1:10 ad 30:18 ahead 35:15 119:7 abute 73:20 74:16 add 131:10 142:14 appeare acceptable 63:13 addition 104:18 alcated 31:3 appeare accourd 34:7 addition 104:18 allocated 31:3 applesd accuracy 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocation 30:23 27:13 35:16 47:6 57:3 addus 131:4 allocations 39:11 appere 60:2 80:21 95:1 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 ajusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 adjusted 60:20,21 abcit 113:19 amount 25:7 36:16 154:18,21 125:14 104:13 Administrative 70:4 adopted 163:5 analysis 64:9 134:8 128:20 129:7 admit 166:7,9,12 analysis 64:9 134:8 36:16 142:11 36:16 12 30:14 126:20 145:17 147:21 16:14 20:10 156:12 37:		94:14 106:14,14
abit ments ad 30:18 ahead 35:15 119:7 abutments 82:16 add 131:10 aldead 35:15 119:7 abuts 73:20 74:16 added 17:13 Aid 114:21 76:10 acceptable 63:13 26:15 35:19 align 94:15 114:15 accomplish 43:7 addition 104:18 allocation 30:23 27:13 accuracy 55:21 addressel 66:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 addressel 23:9 122:7,8 146:6 37:13, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocation 30:23 27:13 13:15 14:10 adds 131:4 allocations 39:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 appliying 60:2 80:21 95:1 88:9 112:11 114:11 apprecia 154:24 Administrative adjustments 39:4 165:15 24:9 alternative 91:1 alopted 163:5 anount 25:7 69:11 25:10 32:63 3:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 154:22 135:20 134:8 34:13 55:15,23 30:16 154:18,21 120:16 analytical 43:11 56:16		107:3,3,8,11
abutments 82:16 add 131:10 142:14 appears abuts 73:20 74:16 added 17:13 Aid 114:21 76:10 acceptable 63:13 26:15 35:19 align 94:15 114:15 accomplish 43:7 additional 9:11 68:15,20 applied account 34:7 112:18 allocation 30:23 27:13 accuracy 55:21 address 166:3 addicoal 9:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 applied 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 34:13 57:21 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 approci 35:16 47:6 57:3 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 34:13 57:21 adjustents 39:4 Administrative approci 32:6 33:3 38:14 adopting 145:17 adopting 145:17 analytical 43:11 approve 32:6 33:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 155:15,23 approve approve 40:4 41:14 69:24 96:697:2,6 analytical 43:11 approve approve 40:4 113:19 140:21 144:21 answere 8:6,7 approve approve 31:18 </td <td>,</td> <td>107.5,5,6,11</td>	,	107.5,5,6,11
abuts 73:20 74:16 added 17:13 Aid 114:21 76:10 acceptable 63:13 26:15 35:19 align 94:15 align 94:15 applied accomplish 43:7 addition 104:18 allocated 31:3 applied accouracy 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 addressed 23:9 122:7,8 146:6 37:13, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocations 39:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 apply 94 60:2 80:21 95:1 88:9 112:11 114:11 apprecia 95:4,5,22 104:11 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 apprecia 145:20 152:3 adjustments 39:4 altogether 57:13 25:16 164:13 admit 166:7,9,12 154:22 135:20 155:11 23:18 30:20 adopted 163:5 155:15,23 approve 32:6 33:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 120:16 approve 46:11,15,16 97:18,19 98:12 45:14 approve 64:16,18 85:17 100:3 135:10 analyzed 82:11		109:24 111:8,11
acceptable 63:13 accepsble 63:13 access 7:11 access 7:11 access 7:11 access 7:11 access 7:11 account 34:7 account 34:7 accuracy 55:21 56:2,11 83:9,10 accurate 8:4 64:17 accurate 8:4 60:2 80:21 95:1 95:4,5,22 104:11 13:15 14:10 35:16 47:6 57:3 60:2 80:21 95:1 95:4,5,22 104:11 134:2 136:23 145:20 152:3 154:24 accurately 57:1 104:13 accurately 57:1 106:15 24:9 adjustments 39:4 adjustments		115:11,13 126:6
access 7:11 addition 104:18 allocated 31:3 apples 6 accomplish 43:7 additional 9:11 112:18 allocated 31:3 apples 6 accuracy 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 56:2,11 83:9,10 addressed 23:9 122:7,8 146:6 37:13, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocations 39:11 63:12 13:15 14:10 adds 131:4 allocations 39:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 apply 94 60:2 80:21 95:1 88:9 112:11 114:11 approxi 95:4,5,22 104:11 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 approxi 145:20 152:3 adjustments 39:4 aldogether 57:13 25:16 104:13 admitted 113:19 amount 25:7 69:11 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 adopting 145:17 analysis 64:9 134:8 32:6 3:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 120:16 approxi 64:16,18 85:17 100:3 135:10 analyzed 82:11 163:16 90:24 91:11 140:24 144:21 answer 8:6,7 <td< td=""><td>,</td><td>126:15 128:16</td></td<>	,	126:15 128:16
accomplish 43:7 account 34:7 additional 9:11 112:18 68:15,20 allocation 30:23 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, 32:7, 8146:6 37:13, apply 94 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 approac 40:4 47:14 40:4 1:14 40:4 1:14 40:4 1:14 40:4 1:14 40:4 41:14 40:4 41:12 110:15 110:11 40:14 20:10 120:16 analytical 43:11 45:14 answer 8:6,7 analytical 43:11 45:14 45:14 answer 8:6,7 approxi 45:14 approxi 45:14 approxi 45:14 approxi 45:14 approxi 45:14 approxi 45:14 4		
account 34:7 112:18 allocation 30:23 27:13 account 34:7 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, accuracy 55:21 addressed 23:9 122:7,8 146:6 37:13, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocations 39:11 63:12 13:15 14:10 adds 131:4 allocations 39:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 apply 94 60:2 80:21 95:1 88:9 112:11 114:11 approxi 95:4,5,22 104:11 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 approxi 145:20 152:3 adjustments 39:4 altogether 57:13 25:16 145:24 Administrative 36:16 154:18,21 123:14 104:13 admitt 166:7,9,12 154:22 135:20 ACM 4:22 20:7 admitted 113:19 anount 35:21 155:15,23 approxi 23:18 30:20 adopting 145:17 analysis 64:9 134:8 145:8 32:6 33:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 120:16 approxi 134:8 108:1 126:20 145:17 147:21 16:14 20:		130:11 132:2
accuracy 55:21 address 166:3 34:13 57:2 91:5 37:10, accuracy 55:21 addressed 23:9 122:7,8 146:6 37:13, accurate 8:4 64:17 allocations 39:11 63:12 13:15 14:10 adds 131:4 allocations 39:11 63:12 35:16 47:6 57:3 adduced 4:14 108:1 111:2 apply 94 60:2 80:21 95:1 88:9 112:11 114:11 approximation 95:4,5,22 104:11 adjusted 60:20,21 165:15 24:9 134:2 136:23 69:15 alternative 91:1 approximation 145:20 152:3 adjustments 39:4 altogether 57:13 25:16 0 145:24 Administrative 36:16 154:18,21 123:14 104:13 admitt 166:7,9,12 154:22 135:20 ACM 4:22 20:7 admitted 113:19 anount 35:21 155:15,23 approve 23:18 30:20 adopting 145:17 120:16 analysis 64:9 134:8 32:6 33:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 120:16 approve 64:16,18 85:17 100:3 135:10 analyzed 82:11 analyzed 82:11 approve 108:1 126:20 145:		133:16 134:18
acturateaddressed 23:9 $122:7,8 146:6$ $37:13,$ $56:2,11 83:9,10$ addressed 23:9 $122:7,8 146:6$ $37:13,$ accurate 8:4 $64:17$ adds 131:4allocations 39:11 $63:12$ $13:15 14:10$ adds 131:4allow 49:5 70:13apply 94 $35:16 47:6 57:3$ adduced 4:14 $108:1 111:2$ apply 94 $60:2 80:21 95:1$ $88:9$ $112:11 114:11$ approximation $95:4,5,22 104:11$ adjusted $60:20,21$ $165:15$ $24:9$ $145:20 152:3$ adjustments $39:4$ altogether $57:13$ $25:16 32$ $145:20 152:3$ adjustments $39:4$ altogether $57:13$ $25:16 32$ $145:20 152:3$ adjustments $39:4$ altogether $57:13$ $25:16 32$ $104:13$ admit $166:7,9,12$ $154:22$ $135:20$ $accurately 57:1$ $70:4$ $36:16 154:18,21$ $123:14$ $104:13$ adopted $163:5$ $155:15,23$ approption $adopted 163:5$ $155:15,23$ approption $32:6 33:3 38:14$ AECOM $61:10$ $120:16$ approve $40:4 41:14$ $62:4 96:6 97:2,6$ analytical $43:11$ $56:1,6$ $90:24 91:11$ $140:24 144:21$ answer $8:6,7$ approve $108:1 126:20$ $145:17 147:21$ $16:14 20:10$ $156:12$ $128:20 129:7$ $148:16 149:20$ $21:10 41:16$ approxi $136:19$ $97:22 100:7$ $81:22 149:16$ $55:6,8$ $ACM's 148:6$ $97:22 100:7$ $81:22 149:16$ $55:6,8$ $ACM's 148:6$ $97:22 100:7$ <td< td=""><td></td><td>134:19 135:10</td></td<>		134:19 135:10
Solution64:17allocations $39:11$ 63:12accurate 8:4adds $131:4$ aldocations $39:11$ allocations $39:11$ apply 94 $35:16 47:6 57:3$ adduced $4:14$ $108:1 111:2$ apply 94 $60:2 80:21 95:1$ $88:9$ $112:11 114:11$ approxi $95:4,5,22 104:11$ adjusted $60:20,21$ $165:15$ $24:9$ $134:2 136:23$ $69:15$ alternative $91:1$ approac $145:20 152:3$ adjustments $39:4$ administrative $accurately 57:1$ $70:4$ $104:13$ admit $166:7,9,12$ $accurately 57:1$ $70:4$ $36:16 154:18,21$ $123:3,4,6,9,11,12$ adopted $163:5$ $155:15,23$ appropriation $23:18 30:20$ adopting $145:17$ analysis $64:9$ $134:8$ $32:6 33:3 38:14$ AECOM $61:10$ $120:16$ approve $46:11,15,16$ $97:18,19 98:12$ $45:14$ approve $90:24 91:11$ $140:24 144:21$ answer $8:6,7$ approve $108:1 126:20$ $145:17 147:21$ $16:14 20:10$ $156:12$ $128:20 129:7$ $148:16 149:20$ $21:10 41:16$ approxi $10:9 105:23$ $152:24 156:7$ $148:18$ $ACM's 148:6$ $97:22 100:7$ $81:22 149:16$ $55:6,8$ $ACM-containing$ $23:13$ $138:2 139:22$ $138:2 139:22$ $23:11$ $accurately 36:18$ $138:2 139:22$ $apologize 6:22$ $23:11$ $accurately 36:18$ $138:2 139:22$ $apologize 6:22$ $23:11$		135:20 136:3
Instruct 0.4 13:15 14:10 $35:16 47:6 57:3$ $60:2 80:21 95:1$ adds 131:4 adduced 4:14allow 49:5 70:13 $108:1 111:2$ $134:2 136:23$ $145:20 152:3$ $145:20 152:3$ $154:24$ adjusted 60:20,21 $69:15$ adjustments 39:4 $154:24$ allogether 57:13 $154:24$ $108:1 112:11 114:11$ $1154:22$ $154:24$ administrative $70:4$ $36:16 154:18,21$ $154:22$ $154:22$ admit 166:7,9,12 $154:22$ $104:13$ $104:13$ $104:13$ $104:13$ $104:13$ $23:3,4,6,9,11,12$ $23:3,4,6,9,11,12$ $23:6 33:3 38:14$ $40:4 41:14$ $40:4 41:14$ $62:4 96:6 97:2,6$ $46:11,15,16$ $90:24 91:11$ $100:3 135:10$ $90:24 91:11$ $140:24 144:21$ $120:16$ $108:1 126:20$ $145:17 147:21$ $128:20 129:7$ $148:16 149:20$ $121:10 41:16$ $120:10 41:16$ $120:10 41:16$ $120:10 41:16$ $120:10 41:16$ $120:10 156:12155:15,78:84:6 11155:16101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:18 146:10165:16101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1845:14165:16148:1845:1645:1645:1645:1645:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12155:6,884:6 1145:16$,	150:17 151:13
35:16 47:6 57:3 60:2 80:21 95:1adduced 4:14 88:9108:1 111:2 112:11 114:11applying approxi95:4,5,22 104:11 134:2 136:23adjusted 60:20,21 69:15165:15 alternative 91:1 altogether 57:13 accurately 57:1 134:2 2 20:7 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20admit 166:7,9,12 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 4ECOM 61:10amounts 35:21 155:15,23 analysis 64:9 120:16123:14 (40:4 41:14 (40:4 41:14)40:4 41:14 (40:4 41:14)62:4 96:6 97:2,6 (52:4 96:6 97:2,6)amounts 35:21 (100:3 135:10)134:8 (56:1,6) (analytical 43:11)90:24 91:11 (108:1 126:20)148:16 149:20 (153:11 162:11)140:24 144:21 (154:12 102:16)answer 8:6,7 (101:9 105:23)approxi (15:16) (21:10 41:16)ACM's 148:6 (23:13)97:22 100:7 (148:16 149:20)81:22 149:16) (101:9 105:23)55:6,8 (152:24 156:7)46:11 (148:18)ACM-containing (23:13)101:9 105:23 (15:19)152:24 156:7) (138:2 139:22)148:16 (42:14 137:1)165:16 (118:19) (138:2 139:22)answered 77:2,4 (138:19,2)action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22 (138:2 139:22)138:2 139:22 (138:2 139:22)apologize 6:22 (23:11)		152:2,11 153:6
60:2 80:21 95:1 95:4,5,22 104:1188:9 adjusted 60:20,21112:11 114:11 165:15apprecia 24:9134:2 136:23 145:20 152:3 154:24adjustments 39:4 Administrativealtogether 57:13 36:16 154:18,2125:16 36:16 154:18,21accurately 57:1 104:1370:4 admit 166:7,9,12admit 166:7,9,12 154:22altogether 57:13 36:16 154:18,2125:16 36:16 154:18,21ACM 4:22 20:7 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20admit 166:7,9,12 adopted 163:5 adopted 163:5 adopted 163:5amount 25:7 36:16 154:18,2169:11 155:15,2332:6 33:3 38:14 40:4 41:14 90:24 91:11AECOM 61:10 100:3 135:10 108:1 126:20adopting 145:17 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 128:20 129:7adopting 145:17 148:16 149:20analysis 64:9 134:8 approve 45:14 approve analyzed 82:11 163:16 101:9 105:23 152:24 156:7approve approve 153:13 165:16ACM's 148:6 23:13 action 4:23 6:18AECQM 67:14 138:2 139:22answered 77:2,4 79:5area 10: 18:19,2		158:2 160:1,6,10
00.2 80.2 195.1 95:4,5,22 104:11 134:2 136:23 145:20 152:3 accurately 57:1 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20adjusted 60:20,21 69:15 adjustments 39:4 Administrative acourately 57:1 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20165:15 alternative 91:1 admit 166:7,9,12 admitted 113:19 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 at 62:4 96:6 97:2,6 46:11,15,16 90:24 91:11 100:3 135:10 90:24 91:11 100:3 135:10 100:3 135:10 110:19 105:23 1152:24 156:7 1148:16 149:20 111 1140:24 144:21 116:14 20:10 116:14 20:10 		areas 21:2,8 22:7
134:2 136:23 145:20 152:3 154:2469:15 adjustments 39:4 Administrativealternative 91:1 altogether 57:13 amount 25:7approad 25:16 3 (59:11 9)accurately 57:1 104:1370:4 admit 166:7,9,12 admitted 113:19 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17alternative 91:1 amount 25:7approad (59:11 9)ACM 4:22 20:7 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:2070:4 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 155:15,23 amounts 35:21 165:16 approve analyzed 82:11 163:16 approve 163:16 100:3 135:10 188:122149:16 153:11 162:11 AECOM's 6:12 128:20 129:7 148:16 149:20 23:13 acres 31:20 136:19 acres 31:20 136:19 action 4:23 6:18AECOM 67:14 138:2 139:22 amounts 35:21 138:2 139:22alternative 91:1 altogether 57:13 attributed 113:19 approxi approxi 134:8attributed 113:19 action 4:23 6:1869:14 137:1 138:2 139:22approxi approxi 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:2223:11 23:11 23:11	iate 19:18	151:12,15 152:6
134:2 136:2369:15alternative 91:1approx145:20 152:3adjustments 39:4altogether 57:1325:16 3154:24Administrativeamount 25:769:11 9accurately 57:170:436:16 154:18,21123:14104:13admit 166:7,9,12154:22135:20ACM 4:22 20:7admitted 113:19amounts 35:21155:1123:3,4,6,9,11,12adopted 163:5155:15,23appropr23:18 30:20adopting 145:17analysis 64:9134:832:6 33:3 38:14AECOM 61:10120:16approve40:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,6analytical 43:1156:1,646:11,15,1697:18,19 98:1245:14approve64:16,18 85:17100:3 135:10analyzed 82:11163:1690:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7approvi108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19138:2 139:22aplogize 6:2223:11action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22aplogize 6:2223:11		argued 85:16
145:20152:3 154:24adjustments 39:4 Administrativealtogether 57:13 amount 25:725:16 69:11 69:11accurately 57:1 104:13admit 166:7,9,12 admit 166:7,9,12amount 25:7 36:16 154:18,2169:11 123:14ACM 4:22 20:7 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20admitted 113:19 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17amounts 35:21 155:15,23 analysis 64:9135:20 134:832:6 33:3 38:14 40:4 41:14AECOM 61:10 62:4 96:6 97:2,6 46:11,15,16AECOM 61:10 97:18,19 98:12120:16 analytical 43:11 155:14approve approve analytical 43:1190:24 91:11 108:1 126:20 128:20 129:7 153:11 162:11140:24 144:21 148:16 149:20answer 8:6,7 21:10 41:16 69:9 75:15 78:8 81:22 149:16approve 4:6 11 45:16ACM's 148:6 23:13 acres 31:20 136:1997:22 100:7 affect 69:14 137:1 affect 69:14 137:181:22 149:16 79:555:6,8 April 89 area 10: 18:19,2	ch 23:17	argument 75:10
154:24 accurately 57:1 104:13Administrative 70:4amount 25:7 36:16 154:18,2169:11 4 123:14admit 166:7,9,12 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20admit 166:7,9,12 adopted 163:5154:22 155:15,23 amounts 35:21155:11 135:2023:18 30:20 32:6 33:3 38:14 40:4 41:14adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 46:11,15,16 97:18,19 98:12amounts 35:21 120:16155:15,23 appropri analysis 64:9134:8 134:846:11,15,16 90:24 91:1197:18,19 98:12 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:2145:14 approve analyzed 82:11 163:16 approve analyzed 82:11 165:16approve approve approve 45:14108:1 126:20 128:20 129:7145:17 147:21 148:16 149:20 153:11 162:1116:14 20:10 156:12 69:9 75:15 78:8 81:22 149:16165:6,8 4:6 11 45:16ACM-containing 23:13 acres 31:20 136:1997:22 100:7 affect 69:14 137:1 affect 69:14 137:1 79:518:19,7 20:24 23:11 20:24 23:11	5 34:23	142:8 156:3
accurately 57:1 104:1370:4 admit 166:7,9,12 admitted 113:19 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:1736:16 154:18,21 154:22123:14 135:20 amounts 35:2123:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 adopted 163:5amounts 35:21 155:15,23 analysis 64:9134:8 appropri 134:832:6 33:3 38:14 40:4 41:14AECOM 61:10 62:4 96:6 97:2,6120:16 analytical 43:11approve approve analyzed 82:11 163:1646:11,15,16 64:16,18 85:17 90:24 91:1197:18,19 98:12 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 128:20 129:7 153:11 162:11145:17 147:21 144:16 149:20 21:10 41:16 approxi 23:13 acres 31:20 136:19101:9 105:23 145:8 146:10 101:9 105:23 145:8 146:10165:16 answered 77:2,4 area 10: 138:2 139:22action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22 apologize 6:2223:11 apologize 6:22 23:11	93:8 99:6	argumentative
104:13admit 166:7,9,12154:22135:20ACM 4:22 20:7admitted 113:19admitted 113:19amounts 35:21155:1123:3,4,6,9,11,12adopted 163:5adopting 145:17amounts 35:21155:1123:18 30:20adopting 145:17analysis 64:9134:832:6 33:3 38:14AECOM 61:10120:16approve40:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,6analytical 43:1156:1,646:11,15,1697:18,19 98:1245:14approve64:16,18 85:17100:3 135:1045:14approve90:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7approxi108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:523:1118:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22aplogize 6:2223:11	4 134:4	87:4
ACM 4:22 20:7 23:3,4,6,9,11,12 32:6 33:3 38:14 40:4 41:14admitted 113:19 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17amounts 35:21 155:15,23 analysis 64:9 120:16155:11 appropri approve analysis 64:940:4 41:14 40:4 41:14 46:11,15,16 64:16,18 85:17 90:24 91:11 108:1 126:20 128:20 129:7 153:11 162:11 ACM's 148:6 ACM-containing 23:13 acres 31:20 136:19 action 4:23 6:18admitted 113:19 adopted 163:5 adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 140:24 145:17 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 165:10 21:10 41:16 69:9 75:15 78:8 152:24 156:7 148:16 149:20 21:10 41:16 69:9 75:15 78:8 4:6 11 45:16 40:9 105:23 152:24 156:7 148:18 46:10 4FCQM 67:14 4ffect 69:14 137:1 79:5 4pologize 6:22 23:11155:11 approve approve approve approve 23:13ACM-solar 136:19 action 4:23 6:18AECQM 67:14 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22amounts 35:21 152:24 156:7 18:19,1	20 136:2,4	arrive 9:13 29:16
23:3,4,6,9,11,12 23:18 30:20adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 AECOM 61:10155:15,23 analysis 64:9 120:16appropri 134:832:6 33:3 38:14 40:4 41:14 46:11,15,16 64:16,18 85:17 90:24 91:11 108:1 126:20 128:20 129:7 153:11 162:11 ACM's 148:6 23:13 acres 31:20 136:19 action 4:23 6:18adopted 163:5 adopting 145:17 AECOM 61:10 62:4 96:6 97:2,6 97:18,19 98:12 100:3 135:10 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 140:24 144:21 148:16 149:20 21:10 41:16 69:9 75:15 78:8 81:22 149:16 155:6,8 152:24 156:7 148:18 4.611 4.65:16 4.65:16 4.85:19 acres 31:20 138:2 139:22approve approxi 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22approve 134:8 approve 23:13	1	133:9
23:18 30:20 adopting 145:17 analysis 64:9 134:8 32:6 33:3 38:14 AECOM 61:10 120:16 approve 40:4 41:14 62:4 96:6 97:2,6 analytical 43:11 56:1,6 46:11,15,16 97:18,19 98:12 45:14 approve 64:16,18 85:17 100:3 135:10 analyzed 82:11 163:16 90:24 91:11 140:24 144:21 answer 8:6,7 approve 108:1 126:20 145:17 147:21 16:14 20:10 156:12 128:20 129:7 148:16 149:20 21:10 41:16 approvi 153:11 162:11 AECOM's 6:12 69:9 75:15 78:8 4:6 11 ACM's 148:6 97:22 100:7 81:22 149:16 55:6,8 ACM-containing 101:9 105:23 152:24 156:7 148:18 23:13 acres 31:20 affect 69:14 137:1 79:5 area 10: 136:19 attion 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11	oriate 16:20	arriving 47:5
AECOM 61:10120:16approve32:6 33:3 38:14AECOM 61:10120:16approve40:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,6analytical 43:1156:1,6.46:11,15,1697:18,19 98:1245:14approve64:16,18 85:17100:3 135:1045:14approve90:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7approve108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:518:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22apologize 6:2223:11		as-built 51:7,21
32:0 35:5 30:1462:4 96:6 97:2,6analytical 43:1156:1,640:4 41:1462:4 96:6 97:2,6analytical 43:1156:1,646:11,15,1697:18,19 98:1245:14approve64:16,18 85:17100:3 135:10analyzed 82:11163:1690:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7approxi108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:518:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22apologize 6:2223:11	ve 55:24	73:13 74:23
10.1 11.1197:18,19 98:1245:14approve46:11,15,1697:18,19 98:12100:3 135:10analyzed 82:11163:1690:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7163:16108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:518:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22apologize 6:2223:11		75:6,19,20,23
10111,10,10100:3 135:10analyzed 82:11163:1690:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7approxi108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:518:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22apologize 6:2223:11		76:13,20,22
90:24 91:11140:24 144:21answer 8:6,7approxi108:1 126:20145:17 147:2116:14 20:10156:12128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:518:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22apologize 6:2223:11		77:12,14,17,21
108:1126:20145:17147:2116:1415:12128:20129:7148:16149:2021:1041:16153:11162:11AECOM's 6:1269:975:1578:8ACM's 148:697:22100:781:22149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9105:23152:24156:7148:1823:13145:8146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area10:136:19action 4:236:18138:2139:22apologize 6:2223:11		78:18 80:8
108.1 120.20148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi128:20 129:7148:16 149:2021:10 41:16approxi153:11 162:11AECOM's 6:1269:9 75:15 78:84:6 11ACM's 148:697:22 100:781:22 149:1655:6,8ACM-containing101:9 105:23152:24 156:7148:1823:13145:8 146:10165:16April 89acres 31:20AECQM 67:14answered 77:2,4area 10:136:19affect 69:14 137:179:518:19,2action 4:23 6:18138:2 139:22apologize 6:2223:11		81:11 113:1,4,7
120:120 (120:1) AECOM's 6:12 69:9 75:15 78:8 4:6 11 153:11 162:11 97:22 100:7 81:22 149:16 55:6,8 ACM's 148:6 101:9 105:23 152:24 156:7 148:18 ACM-containing 145:8 146:10 165:16 April 89 acres 31:20 AECQM 67:14 answered 77:2,4 area 10: 136:19 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11	_	113:10,12 114:5
ACM's 148:6 97:22 100:7 81:22 149:16 55:6,8 ACM-containing 101:9 105:23 152:24 156:7 148:18 23:13 145:8 146:10 165:16 April 89 acres 31:20 AECQM 67:14 answered 77:2,4 area 10: 136:19 affect 69:14 137:1 79:5 18:19,2 action 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11	1:15 51:22	114:8 115:8,16
ACM-containing 101:9 105:23 152:24 156:7 148:18 23:13 145:8 146:10 165:16 April 89 acres 31:20 AECQM 67:14 answered 77:2,4 area 10: 136:19 affect 69:14 137:1 79:5 18:19,2 action 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11		162:21,24 164:8
Accounce 145:8 146:10 165:16 April 89 acres 31:20 AECQM 67:14 answered 77:2,4 area 10: 136:19 affect 69:14 137:1 79:5 18:19,2 action 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11		164:19
AECQM 67:14 answered 77:2,4 area 10: 136:19 affect 69:14 137:1 79:5 18:19, action 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11		as-built's 75:2
action 4:23 6:18 affect 69:14 137:1 79:5 18:19,1 action 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11		
action 4:23 6:18 138:2 139:22 apologize 6:22 23:11		asbestos 65:23
	,	81:14,18 92:12
22:2 61:10,23 atternoon 88:15 29:24 67:9 30:17,	,	118:24 129:4
	2,20 31:17	asbestos-contai
70:1,3 136:17 140:21,22 102:4 132:15 32:6,7	/ 46:4	70:9,21 83:3

Page 170

				Page 170
125.16	0.12.11.10	D 2.15	14.15 17 (10.0	120.17 121 4 22
135:16	9:13 11:19	B 3:15	14:15 17:6 18:8	130:17 131:4,23
asked 21:11 44:18	12:21 13:15	B3-15 8:24 45:2	40:2 74:23	133:17 134:2
49:20 50:14	21:1 24:20 29:5	B3-16 8:24	79:15,16 93:11	135:5 136:8,22
62:15 63:18	37:16 38:23	B3-26 124:7,11,12	94:11 97:3,5	141:5 143:18,20
65:7 69:20	40:3 91:16	B3-45 18:23 20:24	100:3 107:10	144:18 147:20
72:16 79:10	119:15 120:7	32:14 146:1,6	116:6 121:10	153:1 160:12
84:8,11 161:12	122:11 124:5	B3-46 21:14,19	124:16 128:1,23	161:15 162:8
asking 62:19	125:18,20,24	23:22,23,24 24:9	136:16,19 146:3	164:11,13,18
114:2 142:16	128:19 130:5,8	32:17 121:7	147:8,14 148:4	believed 9:20
155:15,22	133:9 137:1,17	B3-50 18:18 20:16	155:16 164:7	134:18
aspect 118:21	137:23 139:3,22	20:24 32:14	basically 24:24	belive 158:18
143:11	attributions 13:21	145:19,19	45:7 97:1 103:2	beneath 51:5,11
assess 57:1	17:6 26:2,11	B3-XX 49:3 99:16	124:1	53:8
associated 9:9	29:16 30:5 34:8	back 5:8 6:11 13:1	basis 75:12	best 77:5 79:5
50:21 63:15	35:19 36:20	16:11 19:15	Beach 1:15	better 15:24
assume 16:14 20:9	39:12 90:20	22:16 24:14	began 97:10	beyond 75:8 78:3
45:19 47:7	91:2 95:3 108:7	27:2 31:9,24	beginning 23:1	113:23 152:6
49:10	119:24 120:6	33:4,23 38:6	54:6,15 65:3	155:21
assumed 23:4	121:10 124:16	42:6 50:9 52:5	begins 42:23	bibliography 61:1
112:14	125:14 131:17	53:22 59:17	43:19 46:7	61:1,3,8 146:15
assuming 57:11	131:18 138:2,8	67:7 71:4,17	51:24 64:13	146:20 161:14
163:11	139:8,10,21	72:8 74:7 79:9	147:8	bid 73:15
	167:17		behalf 2:8,13	bider 101:23
assumption 125:22 126:23		87:15,19 90:4 100:5 104:24	-	
	Atwell 67:15		beings 154:1	big 62:23 69:14
126:23 127:5	authorized 168:4	106:16 107:22	belief 89:10	Bill 22:23 83:19
assumptions	AutoCAD 97:8	117:10 120:12	100:13 124:17	binder 7:3 42:23
148:3	142:20	121:18 127:18	believe 5:19 7:15	88:17,18 89:11
AT&T 32:21	Avenue 50:19,23	130:22 132:13	14:1,22 17:10	99:1,4
36:10 38:13	51:12,16,23 52:2	132:15 140:16	21:20,23 30:11	binders 52:14
40:4 123:12,14	52:10 53:4,6,9	157:5,9,21	31:7 32:1 35:15	148:20,21
124:4,14,17	53:21 55:2	159:16 160:9	41:16 45:6	bit 19:6 55:6
125:23 147:3,14	73:20 74:9,16,20	_	55:10 66:12	72:20 101:17
attachment 57:6	76:6,10 78:1	bad 132:19	67:3 68:14,17	106:2 111:15
attorney 168:10	86:15,19,20,23	badgering 79:2	69:12 70:2,7,22	123:12 128:3
168:12	103:3 108:17	base 7:22 9:5 11:9	71:24 73:19	black 50:24 51:4
attributable 12:17	109:1,4,10,13,19	12:18,20 13:6,6	74:2 80:22	68:1 79:11 83:4
89:15 128:11	110:1,3,7,8,15	29:10 34:3	81:10 95:15	116:8 117:18
attribute 30:6	111:9,21 135:3	42:16 49:16	98:13 102:10	block 51:2
89:2 134:8	155:6 158:11	56:15,22 66:24	104:15 107:9,16	blow 8:18 115:4
attributed 38:17	160:22 161:2,10	67:19 94:20,23	110:14,22	162:24
132:24 133:4	Avenue's 161:1	95:4,7,7,10,17	112:13 114:1	blow-up 167:7
136:16	avoid 135:11	96:2 102:12	122:1,17,18	blowup 96:21
attributes 129:16	aware 54:12	119:9 146:11	123:9,19,19	167:10
attributing 90:12	·	based 9:21 10:11	124:8,10 125:10	blue 98:1 100:8,22
attribution 4:24	B	11:8 12:17	126:3 129:9,18	101:18 106:12
			,	
	I	I	I	I

Page 171

				Page 171
145:8,15,17,19	112:16,19	154:1,1,4 165:5	briefly 109:2	130:17
board 1:1,12	112:10,19	165:6	bring 81:22	calculations 9:12
18:20 21:3	117:14,15,19,21	boxes 17:14	broadly 106:22	10:7,22 28:17
	131:13		v	30:4,5 35:5
22:12 24:8,10		Bradley 1:11 4:3	brought 99:5	/
40:18,22 41:13	borings 5:17 6:1,7	break 14:13 42:4	bucket 37:7,8	40:13 63:3
45:20 47:3,4	8:4,20 9:1,7,8	82:1 119:6	137:24,24 138:2	93:16 119:18
57:2 63:6 66:19	9:10 14:23 31:2	140:9 P -i	138:8 167:17	127:6 132:20
69:21,24 70:8,20	42:16 45:4,5	Brice 2:3 3:3,5,7,8	buckets 25:20	138:17 139:3,4
72:10 83:17	66:4,13,17 72:7	3:10,12 4:7,17	35:4 36:1 38:1	139:23 147:17
85:8,20 93:4	79:17 80:5,13,21	4:18,20 7:1,2,5	38:12 39:13,16	149:24
96:9 107:10	80:24 82:9,16,19	16:22,23 17:4	40:12,14 69:14	called 1:11 146:1
110:10,16,17	86:3 92:3,12	19:21 37:20,21	90:19 108:9	162:21
111:5 128:14	93:4,9,15 99:13	41:6,8,11,22	135:22 136:4	cap 135:11 136:5
166:24	99:20,24 100:2	44:17 48:4,24	137:21 138:6	capped 30:18
Board's 9:10	100:10 101:8,15	49:7,20 50:13	139:15 167:15	capping 31:5 33:2
63:11 66:12	101:18,19 102:9	52:19 57:14,18	build 116:9 160:6	34:9 36:11
68:13,21 71:23	103:22 104:7,14	60:6 63:22 65:7	160:9	38:15 40:5
86:8	108:21 122:13	68:5,24 69:4	building 79:18	135:21 136:3,12
Board-informat	123:2,7 127:19	70:10,13 71:9,12	85:18,22 86:21	137:4,9
76:11	128:13 134:12	71:17,18,21	155:4	case 14:22 24:19
boards 96:14	135:14 136:19	75:18 77:6,11	Buildings 114:20	65:22 76:15
book 6:24 42:21	136:23 145:18	78:4,13 79:6,8	built 73:9 75:1	85:24 86:15
61:18 64:21	151:12	81:19,24 84:8,9	109:11 113:8	87:10 89:22
95:20	bottom 5:23 11:12	85:2 86:7 87:6,7	bulletin 51:7	94:13 113:17
border 129:1	38:20 47:16	87:22 88:2,7,14	bypass 109:13	148:23,24
bore 28:21	54:4 91:7	92:18,22 99:6,9	<u> </u>	165:21
boring 9:12 15:13	111:20 116:8,23	101:24 102:2	$\frac{C}{C}$	cases 20:13 65:24
18:18 19:4,10	117:5,5 148:12	104:4 106:9	C 168:2	categories 27:3,8
20:7,8,13 21:7	153:24 154:10	109:6,8,15 111:6	C-0022J 6:3	27:9 37:2 38:11
21:14,15,19,22	154:11 164:6	112:6,20 114:1	CAD 14:24 97:11	125:11 138:17
21:22 32:16	Boulevard 1:15	114:13 115:2,5	104:11 140:24	139:1
43:10 44:11	bound 59:24	116:12 132:9	141:3,5,12 142:5	8 1
45:1,7,8,13	boundaries 44:3	140:5 141:14,21	142:18,19,22	cause 1:10 82:13
47:20 48:21,22	68:3,22 69:7	141:23 142:15	143:6	82:19
49:3,11,12 62:12	84:18 152:10	143:8,9 151:17	calculate 5:7	cells 35:4,6,8
62:13,22,23 63:4	boundary 23:12	152:21 155:14	127:12 132:1	38:16
63:4,5,9,11,14	67:3 96:16	155:18,20	calculated 5:2,9	certain 66:9 90:24
63:15,16,21	97:23 98:2	157:18,19,23	9:14,18 124:1	91:8,18,20 108:8
65:21 66:3,10,18	100:15 101:14	158:23 159:3,16	128:15 138:13	151:9 152:4
73:24 79:15,20	101:14 103:1,5	159:20 162:13	calculating 146:9	153:3
79:24 82:4,10	103:12,19 105:7	162:15 163:24	calculation 10:11	certainty 89:23
84:20 91:23	105:12,13	164:2,22 165:23	11:19 31:14	certify 168:4,9
93:18 94:1,16	160:23	166:19	33:4,22 39:3	cetera 56:1
99:17 100:17,21	Bow 22:23 83:19	Brice's 114:10	40:1,2 121:13	cgrant@atg.sta
104:20 107:5	box 30:14 134:20	bridge 82:16	127:21 129:21	2:12
		_		
	•	•	•	1

Page 172

change 58:8,11,18	63:4,4,9,21	comment 84:1	connected 139:11	64:18
59:12,15 60:4	65:21 66:15,18	156:7	168:12	contains 7:16
69:12 75:21,24	92:8,14 126:24	comments 56:1	connection 85:9	contaminated
106:8 114:7	129:5,8 151:3,6	65:17 83:19,21	85:21 159:5	20:9,21 21:7,19
129:10 139:9	151:11,14 152:5	83:22,23,24 84:2	Consent 70:5	21:21 22:6
	,	Commission	consider 26:4	63:17 123:2,7
changed 60:5 75:4 80:6 94:24	152:18 153:2,9	168:21	69:24 70:8,20	contamination
	162:7,10	Commonwealth	,	
101:13 113:11	cleanest 19:3,10		91:8,18 93:14	19:9 63:15 85:17 93:17
changes 69:13	20:13 21:14	70:5	94:1 107:7	
76:1,5,10 95:2,2	66:3 124:8	company 45:3	111:7	context 36:1 63:9
104:21	131:13	compared 9:5	consideration	63:10 91:5
characterization	cleanup 91:8,10	96:18 100:11	66:7	134:23
28:6 43:3 72:13	91:18 122:14	101:8 105:23	considerations	Continued 3:3
characterizations	cleanups 55:19	comparing 66:8	108:18	4:19
43:9	clear 32:5 55:6	69:6 84:17,20	considered 75:2	continues 107:24
chart 37:9	60:23 66:11	comparison 139:3	108:20,23	continuing 107:23
charts 28:5	Clearwater 1:15	comparisons	110:16 133:15	157:6
chase 40:9	close 118:9 158:13	100:6	133:16 161:17	contractor 51:6
check 80:5 166:18	closely 103:13	Complainant 1:4	considering 93:12	54:1,12 73:15
Chicago 2:6,11	collected 23:5	complete 26:20	110:23 128:10	77:20
chief 87:11	46:24 123:6	168:6	consistency	contractor's 53:13
CHRISTOPHER	collectively 134:6	completed 111:13	118:23 119:6	contractors 53:9
2:9	166:10,22	150:21	consistent 90:11	contrast 107:6
cinder 51:1,2,4	color 96:20	Compound	122:22	128:15
79:11 83:4	colored 67:21	151:18	construction 7:18	control 1:1,12 9:9
cindery 117:19	colors 145:3	comprised 18:5	27:16 28:4	21:3 107:17,23
circle 54:4	column 38:13	28:5	35:20,21,24 36:5	controlling 107:21
citation 147:22	40:11	computer 14:21	38:11 40:12	converted 75:1
148:11 149:1	columns 40:10	concept 22:1	49:22 51:23	convey 43:7
citations 148:13	combined 13:18	62:12 63:19	52:2,6 54:2,19	coordinates 7:17
cite 148:22,23	13:20 34:11	65:20 66:17	54:22 55:3 73:2	98:5
cited 61:7	138:1	concerning	73:6 74:9 75:22	copy 22:11,18,19
cites 61:1	come 10:15,21	108:11	78:11 80:23	core 47:1
City 104:23	12:20 36:5	conclusion 107:15	108:18 109:1,14	Corporation 1:3
132:21 133:1,5	56:24 82:4 95:7	concrete 46:17	111:13 113:6	correct 5:18 6:8
claimed 103:20	107:14 120:8	condition 94:11	139:8 158:10	6:18 8:11,15,23
104:5 151:15	127:20 138:1	conditions 80:6	construction-rel	9:7,8 10:8,12,16
clarification 57:15	150:9	91:10,20 93:13	138:14,16	10:21 11:7,9,10
60:24	ComEd 120:19,22	95:11 108:20	Consultant 97:2	12:18,24 13:12
clarify 58:4 60:3	120:24 121:5	112:15	Consultants 45:2	13:16 14:15,21
76:5 102:20	comes 73:19 74:15	conducted 45:2	163:3,14	15:10 17:18
131:1 147:11	126:6	confining 120:7	contained 23:6,12	18:5,19 20:4,5
clarity 137:22	coming 45:21	confused 116:20	30:14 56:12	20:21 21:7,13
clean 8:10 21:15	93:15 109:12,20	Confusing 151:18	96:1	24:21 25:10
28:21 62:12,13	159:16	confusion 160:16	containing 23:11	26:2,21,22 27:1
	I	I	I	I

Page 173

				Page 175
28:24 29:6,6,8	154:20 155:7	cover 30:19 43:12	date 4:5 65:2 81:6	denoting 49:3
29:23 30:2,14	161:11,17,18,23	153:17,17	dated 64:9,10	Department 1:6
31:2,14,15,21,23	162:22 163:3,4,6	create 15:1 28:21	65:4 89:3,15	2:14 114:20
32:17,18,24	163:7,9,15,18	57:11,22 94:20	144:3,8 166:14	depend 13:20
33:16 34:1,4,14	164:11,20,21	112:23 138:1	168:16	30:5 39:11
34:15 35:6 36:3	165:3,9,10	created 42:16	dates 144:10,11	dependent 34:12
36:21 37:3 38:1	corrected 60:12	43:24 56:15	dav 4:4 132:17	depending 19:6
38:5,20 39:20	100:14	92:9 126:24	168:16	69:16
40:5 42:17	corrections	142:20 163:3	DDG 163:16	depict 59:13
43:14 44:19	103:12	164:6	dealing 75:19	76:16,23 77:13
48:15 51:17	correctly 24:6	creating 7:22	78:11	77:14 154:12
55:4,14 56:20	165:2	49:16 56:22	debris 82:2,9,18	depicted 23:11
57:10 58:16	correspondence	creation 92:14	83:3 118:14,15	25:2 49:11
59:4 61:2 63:6	141:19,24	criteria 153:14	decision 107:19	60:14 64:19
67:23 69:23	166:14	cross 3:3,5,9	110:12,18	68:22 74:3
70:6 73:11,13,16	corridor 8:10	30:13 54:4	decrease 39:6	76:18 83:6
73:17,21 74:1,5	28:22 92:8,14	79:12,14 140:19	defined 12:16	84:23 115:19
74:10,13,21,23	128:12,16 129:5	157:6	93:10 126:12	depicting 76:15
74:24,24 75:24	129:8 147:15	cross-examinati	152:9,14,16	115:10 158:9
77:18,19 78:24	152:18	4:7,19 58:4	defines 152:14	160:1
79:11 80:1	corridors 65:24	cross-hatched	defining 136:11	depicts 16:16
84:15,18,19 85:1	126:24 151:3,6	134:19	degree 89:22	44:24 45:1
85:15,19 86:19	151:11,14 152:6	cross-section	Delaware 1:2	deposed 20:2
89:8 90:3 95:14	153:2,9 162:7,10	50:20 51:7 53:3	demonstrated	deposition 15:7
96:4,23 97:9,14	cost 10:20 26:8,19	86:18 110:20,23	145:2	16:3,4,5,19,20
97:17,20 98:14	29:19,22 37:7	111:10,22	demonstrates	16:24 17:1
98:15 101:20,21	38:17 64:9 91:1	112:14 116:18	139:6	19:23 41:1,7
105:8 106:19	91:5 120:15	156:21 161:6,8	demonstrative	70:15 141:7,10
109:18 110:14	125:10 128:6	cross-sections	35:10 49:21,24	141:12 143:5,15
111:17,18,22,23	138:17 149:22	53:11 54:8,9	50:1 138:6,21,22	143:18,24
113:2 115:20,21	costs 26:15 27:4	110:9	167:10,14	148:12
117:22 118:2,4	27:16,20 90:12	crosses 110:1	denominator 4:24	depositions 20:4
120:2 121:11	90:24 138:14,16	crossing 140:17	9:14 10:12	141:6
120:2 121:11	counsel 168:10,12	culpability 69:24	11:22 18:7	depth 47:1 117:4
124:4,6,10	count 23:21	current 23:8	26:21 29:2,6	156:18
129:11,12 130:2	counted 21:22	64:13,15	31:13,19 33:7,21	describe 44:23
130:3 132:22,23	counting 24:20	currently 106:14	36:17 38:10	45:9 101:12
130:3 132:22,23	County 1:13	cut 40:8 156:24	125:10,17 130:2	109:2 130:15
136:20,21	168:2	158:3	130:6 137:5	described 46:16
139:18,19 141:2	couple 56:19 98:9		140:2	119:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	98:10 120:12	D	denote 40:11 76:5	describes 126:8
1 143:2 144:1.4.5				
143:2 144:1,4,5 144:9,10,13		D 2:24	118:14	description 45:8
144:9,10,13	139:20 159:21	D 2:24 damages 7:13	118:14 denoted 75:6	description 45:8 45:14 145:20
144:9,10,13 146:3 148:7,8	139:20 159:21 166:2		denoted 75:6	45:14 145:20
144:9,10,13 146:3 148:7,8 150:19 151:8	139:20 159:21 166:2 course 148:14	damages 7:13	denoted 75:6 96:24 134:19	45:14 145:20 167:2
144:9,10,13 146:3 148:7,8	139:20 159:21 166:2	damages 7:13 68:15 89:2,15	denoted 75:6	45:14 145:20

Page 174

	-	-	_	idge 171
118:12	90:18,19 96:6,8	14:24 15:13	11:14 25:19	drilled 47:1
designate 103:18	115:15 129:24	127:14	27:20 28:3	driven 91:10,19
detected 20:7	134:11,12 145:2	distances 8:2	34:23 35:8,11,19	91:22 152:19
23:18 82:11	Differentiating	13:14 137:18	36:13 37:5,12,15	driver 136:17
determination	99:20	distribution 56:23	37:23,23 38:17	driving 92:6
66:20 128:23	differently 27:17	diverge 101:1	40:7,15 48:1	135:23 136:5
determine 20:12	27:19 37:7	divided 5:13 10:1	67:17 87:23	drop 105:16
23:3,9 64:16	difficult 103:6	13:11 18:1	88:3,8,15 89:2	dropped 59:17
66:6 103:21	digital 142:19	26:18 29:16,21	89:14 96:21	105:14
104:6 138:7	dimensions 25:9	35:20 123:15	99:10 102:5	drops 59:19
167:16	104:21	division 10:11	114:14 115:6	drove 25:20 92:13
determining 34:8	direct 3:8 17:10	114:20	121:4 134:14	122:13
Detour 49:22 73:4	35:3 42:24	DMP 27:15	140:17,21	duly 4:11,15
73:19,21 74:14	51:19 59:23	document 7:12,16	150:19 152:20	88:10
85:9,18,22 86:1	88:1,12 145:3	7:21,24 8:21	152:24 153:21	Dutton 97:11
86:9,14 109:3,12	153:16 156:4	43:1 44:10	156:7 157:16,24	98:13 163:12
109:20,24 110:3	167:12	47:14,17 48:9,17	159:4,10,21,23	Dutton's 98:16
110:6,12,19,20	directing 87:23	49:1,2 52:23	162:18 164:4	
110:23 111:8	direction 145:12	53:12 61:7 64:6	Dorgan's 12:2	E
154:8,14,19,22	directly 156:2	65:4,6 76:4,7,11	25:17 28:8 47:9	E 2:24 3:15
155:9,12 158:11	disagree 120:4	76:12 78:5,18,23	47:11,22 48:10	e-mail 142:1
160:21,24 161:7	128:6	83:18 86:17	57:8 67:16	EAM 128:20
developed 102:19	disagreement	88:23 89:11,17	113:23 167:11	earlier 5:20 8:2
development	128:9	98:12,20 99:11	dots 100:22,23	40:19 54:2 63:2
80:16	discovered 143:4	100:9 102:6,8,11	dotted 67:24 68:1	93:7 98:20
dewater 25:21	143:15	102:15 112:8	doubling 57:13	107:2 129:9
dewatering 25:15	discussed 28:3	113:19 114:14	Douglas 88:8 89:2	132:16 133:23
27:4,8,10,15,17	57:23 69:5 73:7	114:18 115:1	89:14	135:9 142:3
28:16,21 29:19	73:12,18 108:10	116:6 120:13	Dr 22:23 124:21	152:16
30:4,6,7 36:11	123:14,15	142:20 148:18	149:21 161:24	Early 57:20
131:15,16,21,22	133:23 142:1	153:19 154:23	draft 43:13 49:1	easier 17:8
131:15,10,21,22	144:18 149:18	156:3,10 159:11	89:17 102:16	easily 163:1
132:3,20 133:10	149:19,20	162:21 163:2	drafting 47:5	east 24:14,16,17
diameter 94:2	discusses 45:10	165:8,13	drawing 19:1	24:24 25:1,4,6
difference 68:21		documentation	100:4 101:5	25:11 52:3,8
113:3,13 134:4	discussing 4:22 66:22 68:12	149:21	161:22 164:9	54:23 55:1
,		documents 45:12		74:15,16 101:2
139:7 146:9	121:16 143:3	83:12 97:7	167:11,14	101:17,19 106:3
155:3 differences 144:21	148:6		drawings 80:8,9	106:7 121:6
	discussion 50:8	101:6 103:4	97:22,22 104:12	122:6 128:20
different 10:15,16	61:20 86:9	142:4 161:20	142:5 164:20	135:4 145:18
10:17,18,21,22	143:19 161:16	doing 42:7 90:20	drawn 163:8	eastern 14:8 15:1
20:11 36:19,20	displayed 149:7	91:2 127:6	drew 8:17 20:17	15:14 92:4
38:11 39:6 66:6	dispute 28:1,2,6	dollars 68:20	22:11 101:24	easy 29:14
67:2,21 68:3,22	28:12,13 41:20	door 70:13 114:2	111:17 115:2	Ebihara 22:23
82:5 84:18	distance 5:10 14:7	Dorgan 9:11	drill 79:21	120111a1 a 22.23
	l	l	I	I

Page 175

				rage 175
124:21 149:21	encountered	everybody 4:21	150:8	experts 138:7
161:24	91:20	22:10	exhibit 3:16 6:4	167:16
Ebihara's 149:23	encountering	evidence 141:22	9:7 16:5 22:10	expires 168:21
economic 68:2,6	51:14	exact 123:5	22:12 38:12	explain 62:17,22
69:6	ended 100:17	exactly 11:20 15:9	39:18,23 40:9	65:20 90:16
edge 14:8 15:1,14	110:22	40:16 54:1	42:19,22 43:15	91:14 94:4,22
59:15,16 103:3	ENFORCE 1:6	78:17,18,20	46:1,10 47:8	102:22 113:3
127:14,20	enforcement 22:2	152:15	48:8,8 49:21	116:21 128:8
133:20	22:5 129:2	examination 3:3,4	50:14 52:24	131:20 138:20
Edison 70:6	136:17	3:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	56:18 57:7 61:3	160:18
EECA 83:19	engineer's 14:21	3:12 42:12	64:3,21,22 65:10	explained 27:20
effort 66:23	0	71:20 85:5 86:6	67:6,9,10 71:23	explains 27:12
	engineering 64:8 82:13 120:15			-
111:14 158:10		88:1,12 140:19	72:3,17 83:17,24	exposure 153:11
either 50:2 137:23	enter 131:5	153:16 156:5	84:11 86:10	extend 129:5
elaborate 92:10	entered 130:19	157:22 159:19	88:17 98:23	extended 23:13
108:16 119:2	entire 20:20,24	162:16 164:1	99:11 120:11	extending 129:1
160:14	23:4 31:11	example 23:15	131:3 138:6	extent 20:12 23:3
electric 120:20,23	32:13 33:5,19	39:1 92:7	144:15 145:15	23:9 63:14
electronic 143:6	59:18 72:15	examples 90:21	146:18,18	113:23 137:22
143:10,14,23	94:12 106:23	92:2	153:16 154:6	155:21,22
element 139:8	107:8 124:17	excavate 116:7,10	157:9,24 159:10	extracted 66:9
elements 138:23	127:1 129:8,22	excavated 19:9	159:11,22	F
152:4 158:9	131:24 135:5	21:6,12	166:15,15,23	
elevation 44:2	137:10 147:15	excavation 4:22	167:3,7,9,13,14	facility 43:4 65:18
Ellen 2:9 41:2	148:23,24 162:4	7:16 14:1,6,8,14	exhibits 43:5	fact 91:18
57:14	entirely 165:17	16:1,12 17:5,11	161:4 165:22,23	factor 38:4
ELM 42:15 45:2	entitled 167:15	17:17 18:4	166:9,10,12,19	factored 138:24
46:7,9,10,10,15	entity 70:1	20:20 24:2,13	existing 53:5,20	fading 106:5
46:16,24 47:4,20	eolaughlin@atg	25:6,9,23 26:3	155:1	failed 108:11
48:2,21,22 49:3	2:12	26:10,12 33:3	expanded 151:13	failings 108:17
49:12,12 99:17	EPA 20:19 21:5	36:10 38:14	expanding 50:21	fails 91:8 94:1
99:21,22,23	22:6 83:9	52:9 54:6,7,16	expect 79:23	failure 108:5
embankment	128:22	54:24 84:22	119:3	fair 45:8 68:16
50:22 51:24	essence 50:20	91:3 98:11	expected 79:21	72:12
52:7 54:2,20,23	57:22 60:20	100:19 104:22	experience 55:15	fairly 45:9
73:9 74:9 79:18	67:18	105:21,22	55:15,20 56:3	fall 8:20,22 151:4
82:6 86:21	establish 13:5,19	119:16 120:6,9	118:8	falling 24:21
109:10 135:2,7	established 5:20	121:9 122:2,15	expert 8:14,15	25:12
135:12 149:10	6:5 43:22 44:1	132:7,22 148:6	47:11,22 48:10	falls 17:17 25:1,7
embankments	81:17 138:12	excerpt 6:21	62:9 67:17	86:1 128:16
73:5 82:17	estimating 125:12	excuse 38:24 47:9	84:14 87:23	146:2
employee 168:10	estimating 125:12 et 56:1	48:8 93:1	89:1,13 98:22	far 24:14,16,23
168:11	evaluation 64:9	130:24 136:13	140:17 142:24	25:3,11 31:1
employees 163:13	120:15	145:24	144:2,6,7	62:4 86:20
encounter 79:23	events 73:8	exercise 146:9	expert's 7:13 11:9	111:13 113:6

Page 176

				rage 170
115:13 135:4	86:18 98:24	149:20	footage 9:14,19	164:1,23 168:9
fast 24:7	99:22 102:18	financially 168:13	11:2,5,8 17:6	furthest 121:6
feature 103:14	109:7 111:16	find 39:15 40:18	28:17 31:11	future 53:5,20
features 98:8	112:23 115:14	40:22 65:21	91:2 121:10	iutuit 55.5,20
100:18 102:21	121:4 123:20	85:8,20 119:3	footnote 28:7,9	G
144:22,23,24	142:10 145:21	135:16	148:12	G 89:2,14
151:9	146:1,3 149:3,7	finding 41:13 65:9	footnotes 161:19	Gale 2:3 165:14
February 64:10	154:11,12,24	fine 28:15 110:21	for-information	166:3,5,7
65:4 166:14	155:16,23	finished 113:9	165:5,6,9	gas 8:9,10 9:15,20
Federal 114:21	156:20,21,24	165:21	foregoing 168:5	10:5 11:1,21
feet 5:1,1,11 9:16	150:20,21,24	FIP 103:10	form 34:8 40:12	12:3,9 13:8,22
9:22 11:15,19,23	160:20 164:4,5,7	first 4:9,15 7:13	49:12 60:6	13:22 25:21,22
12:9,24 13:4,11	164:17 165:7	12:7 19:22 41:1	format 142:2,19	26:3,12 27:21
13:12 14:9 15:1	figures 20:11	46:22,22 47:10	forms 90:18	36:10 38:14
15:15,21 17:12	57:12,21 58:8	47:19 48:2,13,13	forth 28:7	40:4 84:22 92:8
17:18,21 18:8,13	74:23 94:20	48:19 51:20	found 9:2 30:20	92:13 104:22
28:24 29:11,17	95:18,21 96:1,3	56:18 58:12	32:6 43:11	128:4,7,11,18
33:5,16 34:1	96:5 97:6,15	59:21 60:2,5,13	45:15 46:11	129:14,22
47:2 59:17,19	122:20 159:24	60:14 62:18	55:23 56:4	130:10,19 132:6
60:21 90:23	160:16 166:15	73:7 75:11	60:17 61:3	132:21 133:1
101:16 121:14	figuring 68:19	85:13 88:10	69:21 81:9	general 34:16,23
123:18 124:2	152:10	90:5 96:10	82:10,19 91:23	34:23 35:18
126:5,6,10	file 57:6 140:24	97:10,24 98:21	93:9 103:11,15	37:2 38:7 83:12
120.3,0,10	141:4,5,12	98:22 105:4	107:10 118:15	139:9
130:2,11,16	142:18,19,22	106:1,11 113:19	167:10 118:15	generally 80:7
131:9,11 133:13	142:10,19,22	123:1,7 131:5	foundation 49:1	81:4 118:11
133:17,19 134:2	filed 166:23	144:23 147:4,7,9	81:17 86:13	136:7 160:1
137:13 156:12	fill 51:1,2,5 79:11	144:23 147:4,7,9	four 25:20 26:2	generate 86:18
156:16	83:4 117:5,19	five 156:16	27:3,7,8,14,18	Generation 2:8
fell 18:24 123:19	154:16,18,21	fixed 100:15	47:1	geo 98:7
124:2,3	155:10,12,15,23	105:17	fourth 4:4	geographic 94:9
felt 46:17 124:2,3	156:3,9,12,18	flagging 150:6	fragments 46:12	geography 91:17
fiber 120:24 121:5	filled 117:11	floor 2:10 166:6	46:16	93:10
field 113:8	filling 31:4 33:1	Florida 1:14,15	FRANZETTI 2:2	geology 45:14
figure 15:7 22:16	34:7,9 36:11	focused 91:17	front 88:18 115:1	50:20 53:7 82:4
40:1 44:11	38:15 40:4	focuses 106:21,22	full 46:22 148:2	geotechincal
47:21 48:2,16	135:20 136:3,12	focusing 158:10	165:8 166:11	80:12 81:1
50:17 53:4,9	137:3,9,17 162:2	follow 95:18,21	further 3:6,7,12	geotechnical
55:11 57:8,13	final 6:17 7:11	followed 96:3	23:7 25:6 41:22	79:20 80:4,21
62:16,19,20,21	15:24 16:13,15	following 64:19	60:1 71:7 85:2,5	82:3,9 118:8,13
62:24 65:12	43:8 55:18	68:20 107:24	86:6 101:3,19	GG 168:20
67:2,6,17 72:17	67:13 97:7	follows 4:15 23:11	102:20 105:5	give 19:14,17
72:21 74:18	102:18 114:4,15	88:10	102.20 105.5	23:15 79:22
77:15,17 83:21	133:11 147:20	foot 54:11 137:5	157:14 159:14	80:23 90:21
83:22,23 84:1,2	148:15,17	foot-grid 107:8	162:13 163:20	123:20 132:14
05.22,25 04.1,2	170.13,17	1001-gilu 107.0	102.13 103.20	
	I	I	I	I

Page 177

given 92:2 122:23	134:17 135:19	grade 51:11 53:5	63:3,8,14 131:8	happening 73:3,4
gives 53:19	138:11,13 139:4	53:5,20,20	HALLARON	73:10 118:4
go 5:5 10:15 11:11	139:24 144:22	117:11,12 155:2	41:9	158:14
13:1,24 16:10	146:2,5 147:5	grades 51:12	Halloran 1:11 4:1	happens 24:18,19
19:22 22:9,9,12	166:15	gravel 30:19	4:4,16 6:24	hashing 31:18
24:7,14 26:7	Gobelman's 89:6	gray 109:20	16:22 17:2	hatched 30:13,17
29:14 31:5 33:1	93:8 94:5,23	great 22:20	19:19 37:18	head 30:22 45:18
34:16,19 37:17	95:14 96:5	green 8:20,22	41:24 42:3,6,11	45:24 81:8
38:6 44:21	100:8,10,13	149:9	48:5 49:4,8 50:6	145:11
47:16 54:9 61:5	101:7 103:20,24	Greenwood 50:19	50:9 59:5,8	health 39:9,17,21
63:8 64:3,12	101.7 105.20,24	50:22 51:12,16	61:14,17 63:24	39:24 139:16
,		,	,	
66:2 69:17,17	122:20 123:13	51:23 52:2,10	64:20 65:1,5,8	hear 92:18 93:20
71:11,12 72:8	124:16 144:24	53:4,6,8,20 55:2	65:13 68:7,10	134:17 141:21
73:23 74:7	145:7,16 146:10	73:20 74:1,9,16	69:2,8 70:12	155:18
79:10 90:4,5,10	147:16 148:12	74:19 76:6,9	71:1,4,8,13,16	heard 77:8 92:20
98:23 101:22	goes 74:12 75:8	77:24 86:15,19	75:14 77:3,8	93:7 120:1
103:9 106:16,17	78:3 113:23	86:20,23 103:2	78:7 79:4 81:21	121:12 146:5
107:22 119:18	155:21 156:2	108:17 109:1,4,9	85:3 87:5,8,13	hearing 1:10,10
126:4,19 127:11	going 4:21 5:8 7:7	109:12,19 110:1	87:19 88:5	4:1,4,16 6:4,24
127:18 130:22	8:8 10:15,21	110:2,7,8,15	92:16,19 99:7	9:2 16:22 17:2
130:23 131:12	11:12,13 12:7	111:9,21 127:2	104:1 106:4	19:19 37:18
132:15,18 133:8	16:6 19:14,15	135:3 155:6	111:2 112:4,11	40:19,23 41:9,24
135:4 137:20	20:1 22:9,14,15	158:11 160:22	114:9 140:7,10	42:3,6 47:10
142:14 148:1	22:16,20 29:15	160:24 161:1,10	140:15 141:16	48:2,5 49:4,8
152:6 153:23	31:5,18 34:11	grid 18:17 20:20	141:20 142:9,14	50:6,9 51:20
goal 56:21	35:9 37:22 38:7	20:21,24 21:6,7	143:8,12 145:10	55:9 56:15,18,22
Gobelman 3:1 4:7	39:2 51:11	23:5,5,12,24	151:19 152:23	58:12,14,20 59:5
4:8,10,11,13 7:2	61:24 62:12	32:13 43:19,22	155:17,24 156:6	59:8,22 60:2,4
42:8,14 50:13	63:13 71:9 73:6	43:24 44:1	157:4,17,20	60:13,15 61:14
55:14 56:14	76:8 79:6 80:12	94:13 107:3,3	158:21 159:1,6	61:17 63:24
59:6 62:11 65:7	82:19 83:21,22	121:6,6	162:14 163:22	64:20 65:1,5,8
65:9,16 71:22	86:14 87:19	grids 18:5,17	165:15,20 166:1	65:13 66:22
85:7 87:9 88:17	88:16 95:12	122:14	167:19	68:7,10 69:2,8
88:18 89:10,20	109:3,23 110:5	ground 103:18	hand 14:18 15:6	70:12 71:1,4,8
90:11 93:1,1,24	113:18 116:24	125:2 155:1	19:14 22:14	71:13,16 73:8
94:14,19 96:19	119:14 123:20	Group 97:2	99:2 103:20	75:9,11,13,14
97:24 102:8	140:16 144:14	guess 121:8	113:18 153:15	77:3,8 78:7 79:4
104:5 105:2,22	144:20 159:6,21	Gulf 1:14	167:11	81:21 85:3 87:5
106:5,21 107:6	164:3 166:4,8,8	guys 36:15	handing 99:10	87:8,13,19,21
108:10 111:24	166:21,22		happen 61:18	88:5 92:16,19
112:7 119:9,23	good 4:3 46:20	H	114:24 115:18	96:10 98:22
120:5 122:1	88:15 95:10	H 3:15	115:22	99:7 104:1
124:1 125:8,9	139:13 140:21	halfway 5:10	happened 75:4	106:4 111:2
128:15 129:13	140:22	21:22 23:22	76:14 100:13	112:4,11 113:19
130:10 133:9	gotten 125:19	55:7 62:13,22	105:11 117:9	114:9 123:1,7
				,
	I	I	I	I

Page 178

140:7,10,15	40:23 41:14	156:22 158:2	inputs 34:13	J
141:16,20 142:9	50:21 66:6	inches 94:2	138:7 167:15	
142:14 143:8,12	68:14,15,20	include 20:23	inside 142:20	James 163:12
145:10 150:10	69:22 70:3	32:6,7,10,13,17	inspected 46:10	JENNIFER 2:17
151:19 152:23	78:11,14 80:18	32:21 86:3	installation 46:19	JM 4:5 165:20
155:17,24 156:6	85:8,16,21 86:1	108:6 146:20	instance 90:23	JM's 85:12,13
157:4,17,20	87:10 89:3,15	included 18:18,22	92:3 145:19	140:17
158:21 159:1,6	91:23 93:9	21:2 85:12	instances 91:24	Johns 1:2 43:4
162:14 163:22	107:17 108:11	146:6	94:13 100:23	58:3 61:12
165:15,20 166:1	108:21 109:4	includes 152:2	136:10 152:8	62:15 63:18
167:19	111:13 122:23	including 97:7	intended 78:18	65:17 70:5
hearings 44:15	128:12 130:11	100:18 111:20	94:2 113:5,7	87:22 120:16
48:13 58:9	141:4,13 143:6	inconsistencies	intending 99:18	143:5 150:2,10
60:15 85:13	143:16,23 150:2	95:10	interaction 110:6	joint 166:23
143:1	151:13,15 152:1	inconsistent 16:21	interested 168:13	Jr 88:8 89:2,14
hearsay 16:19	151:15,15 152:1	94:19 95:6	interim 45:21	JT 163:12
help 5:23	167:20	incorrect 86:24	47:5 68:21	jumps 61:19
helpful 26:7	IDOT's 21:9 26:5	126:22 131:17	interpretation	June 141:8 143:4
160:19	57:1 93:2	131:18 138:18	87:2 107:10,13	143:15,23 144:3
Highway 114:22	107:11 108:6	147:17	interpreted 66:14	144:11
Highways 114:22	133:16 136:11		78:21	K
		incorrectly 104:19		
Hold 132:14	138:14,15 146:6	increase 39:6	interpreting 78:4	K 43:5 168:2
Honor 70:10	Illinois 1:1,6 2:6	Increasing 130:6	intersect 111:9	k@nijmanfranz
hour 1:16 4:9	2:11,13 61:12	increasingly 101:3	158:16 160:23	2:7
87:17	114:19 168:1	independently	intersection 43:24	keep 22:16 79:6
hundred-foot	immediately 93:3	55:21	109:3 161:5	83:21 109:23
54:10	impact 39:2 68:3	indicate 48:23	intersectionality	key 156:21
I	68:6 107:18	indicated 51:21	158:15 160:13	kind 69:2 70:12
ID 46:14,15,19	108:7 122:6	individual 94:7	161:9	151:19 158:7
id'ing 150:6	125:13 130:4	138:24 139:7	intervals 54:10	kits 99:13
idea 79:22	140:3	inferred 112:1,8	introduced	knew 129:3
identification	impacted 94:5	112:18,21	153:16	know 6:17 10:9,10
	impacts 69:6	information 51:6	investigated 46:8	16:2,24 17:19
22:22	impeaching 16:23	51:10 53:6,10,13	46:9	19:8 20:2 21:16
identified 92:4	important 98:6	53:14,18,19 57:9	involved 55:16	22:4,8 28:14
93:4 100:20	111:7,9 118:21	76:8 77:19,20,22	77:24 78:6,10,23	35:7 37:15
108:21 143:10	impression 125:9	80:17,23 81:1	151:1	40:24 45:12,17
144:17	improper 95:8	97:4,5 114:4	involving 132:20	45:18,20 49:5
identify 35:4	inaccurate 10:6,7	147:19 154:5,15	iron 103:11,15	51:1,13 62:4
88:23 89:11	95:8 119:10	161:17 165:13	irrelevant 75:13	63:1 65:23 66:3
IDOT 4:5 9:2	148:3	information's	Irrespective 66:15	69:13,18 72:12
12:17 18:19,23	inappropriate	57:9	issue 123:13	78:5,11,17,22
20:24 21:3	94:21	initial 47:9,11,22	issues 119:15	80:20 83:11
24:20 32:19	inaudible 32:19	89:7 113:7	items 29:22 69:14	88:5 90:6 96:15
35:15,19 40:18	52:6 150:17	162:8	150:18	110:21 112:9
L				

Page 179

				rage 177
127:3 131:14	129:14,22	59:22,24 60:3,13	locate 14:14 16:12	117:15,19,21
132:9,13 134:15	131:24 133:12	60:18,19 67:24	72:7 99:23	118:9,14
138:11 156:19	133:16 135:5	68:1 92:8,13	100:2 102:9	long 80:18 123:8
165:12	137:10 147:15	103:13 104:22	located 60:18,19	132:17 143:9
knowledge 30:17	154:13	104:23 105:3,5	81:15 105:19	longer 127:24
30:21	let's 10:24 13:24	105:15,24	130:16	140:11
known 98:8	19:22 22:9 24:7	120:20 121:1,5	location 10:4	longitudes 7:18
KRISTIN 2:3	28:15 31:4 33:1	122:16,18 123:6	15:13,14 44:3,11	8:1
	34:16,19 37:16	126:13 128:4,7	45:1 47:20	look 5:22 15:7
L	37:17 38:6	128:11,18	48:21,23 49:12	27:11 31:9,24
labeled 109:20	44:21 64:3 88:6	129:14,14,22	58:7,17,21,21,22	37:24 39:18,24
149:9	104:16 121:18	130:19 132:7,21	59:11 60:12,20	43:18 55:11
lack 48:24 81:16	122:16 126:4,19	133:1,1,5 144:22	60:23 66:16	72:8 76:3 86:9
95:10 162:1	128:3 130:22,23	144:23,24,24	73:24 84:21,22	93:8 95:19
laid 16:16 67:14	131:15 132:3,15	145:8 162:11	98:7 99:17	106:11 110:5,11
LAND 1:6	132:18	lineal 12:9	100:10,14 101:7	110:17,19
language 72:15	letter 22:22 64:7	linear 4:24 5:1	101:16 103:21	111:10 122:16
large 148:18,19	65:2	11:5,8,15,18,23	104:6,21 105:2,2	126:4,9 130:13
148:20,21	level 117:6	13:4,10,12 28:17	105:14,17,18	130:22 132:3
larger 94:3 106:10	LFR 22:23,24	28:23 29:11,17	112:16 115:19	146:18 150:12
106:13,15	liabilities 32:16	33:15,24 90:23	116:6 119:13	158:1,7
125:17,21 130:6	liability 18:19,21	103:13 124:2	120:8 122:19,21	looked 56:19
140:3 153:6	18:23 26:6 66:6	127:13 129:15	123:5 124:11	107:7 108:19,24
LaSalle 2:4	85:8,21 86:1	130:1 133:13,17	126:13 128:1,1	111:15 117:15
latitude 70:14	93:3 107:12	133:19 137:5,12	130:19 131:8	117:15 122:17
114:11	108:7,12 126:15	lined 25:11	132:10,12	135:22 136:3,4
latitudes 7:17 8:1	130:11 133:17	lines 32:22 41:2	156:13	149:13
layer 118:23	151:15 152:2,11	41:12 43:24	locations 23:18	looking 14:5
layout 58:22	liable 9:2 21:3,21	67:3,21 84:23	43:10 45:11	52:23 58:24
67:12,16 96:16	40:18,23 41:14	97:1 120:23	49:11 54:9 63:5	59:1 61:18 65:2
lays 59:20 67:14	66:14 69:21	123:8,14 124:15	63:11 67:13	83:9 85:11
67:18	91:23 151:13	124:17 125:1,3	80:24 84:21	96:13 109:7
lead 95:9	limit 122:9	125:23 129:6	91:21,23 93:11	116:14,16 126:9
leaving 140:12	limited 70:16	130:10 145:18	93:13 94:8,16	145:6 150:8,20
led 107:14 130:7	91:17 108:8	147:14	97:2 99:13	161:6,8
left 18:17 30:12	limits 93:2	lining 103:2	100:7,8,16,22	looks 74:17 83:11
52:24 98:11	line 8:9,10 9:15,20	list 166:10,23	101:4,11 102:20	131:10 155:11
115:14 163:6	10:5 11:1,21	167:1	104:14,19	lost 24:22 29:12
legal 39:10,18	12:3,9 16:10	listed 27:9 38:12	106:22 107:2	57:23 151:20
139:18	19:23 25:22,22	40:9 42:23	124:4 129:24	lot 19:2,3 57:9
legend 47:17	26:3,5,5,9,10	little 19:6 29:12	134:12	66:21,23,23 80:2
48:19 99:16	32:11 36:10	55:6 70:14	log 45:1 112:19	95:13 119:17
length 11:14 33:5	39:1 46:5 47:19	92:17 101:17	logs 43:10 45:13	160:15 164:15
124:18 125:23	48:19 58:5,8,10	106:2 128:3	79:15,20,24	louder 92:17
127:1,24 129:1	58:18 59:12,18	loaded 51:5	100:17 117:14	lower 122:9
	-	-	-	-

Page 180

125:15,16,18	119:9,23 131:19	93:6 94:4	147:2	N
lowered 155:19	141:1 142:23	102:23 108:2	Midwest 2:8	
LRF 64:7	146:10,11	115:18 118:6	mind 95:8	N 2:24
lunch 87:14,15	mapping 96:17,18	119:10	minimize 153:10	name 4:3 88:4
lying 53:8	maps 29:11 34:4	meaning 24:17	minute 70:24 71:2	narrowing 136:11
lying 55.6	55:21 83:9,11,15	means 49:6 108:3	71:10,14 166:18	narrowly 93:2
M	84:18,23 94:23	112:9 165:13	minutes 42:1	107:7
M004753 6:4	95:4 96:6,6,8		133:19 140:11	nature 128:8
main 128:12	· · ·	measure 14:24	miscalculated	nearest 23:14
making 83:20,22	98:5 105:23	130:18		nearly 135:5
83:23,24 84:1,2	March 6:13 47:22	measured 8:1,5	138:15	necessarily 91:6
95:2,2 128:22	48:10 61:13	13:5 14:19,20	miscellaneous	93:19
161:21	marginal 39:5	15:4,5 16:17	82:1	necessary 65:17
	marginally 69:13	18:13 28:23	mischaracterizes	need 13:14 23:16
management 27:16	MARIE 2:17	29:10 34:3	63:23 77:1	25:20 42:1 71:9
	marked 3:16	136:18	103:24 152:22	88:16 92:13
manner 37:12	33:10 43:13	measurement	misconstrues	110:4 128:5
Mansville 61:12	57:24 75:23	12:14,23 13:3	122:12	140:8 158:3
Manufacturing	76:2 94:14	31:17 33:18	misdirected 147:6	162:19
43:4	113:15 115:14	90:19 131:5,7,12	misrepresents	needed 9:12 51:13
Manville 1:2 43:4	marker 103:17	133:19,23 134:5	141:15,21,23	57:3 79:18
62:15 63:18	marking 51:1,2	137:15	missing 165:4	86:23 128:12
70:5 87:22	Marzullo 1:12	measurements	misspoke 29:23	154:16,19,22
120:17 143:5	2:22 168:3,18	18:9 39:5	102:3	155:12 156:13
150:2,10	match 145:23	104:11 131:4	modification	156:18 157:1
Manville's 58:3	161:1	measures 131:7	167:8	needs 23:9 29:6
65:18	matches 51:15	measuring 5:7,9	modifications	54:1,12,17 64:16
map 7:22 9:5,6,6	material 23:13	127:19 134:3	164:12	157:12 159:12
9:21 10:5 11:9	46:18 51:14	137:18	moment 14:5	neighboring
12:18,20 13:6,6	53:8 54:17	Memo 136:17	131:16	21:21
14:1,21 18:9,14	70:21 82:14,18	memorandum	moments 140:8	never 81:17 95:5
20:17 28:24	82:21 83:4	22:2,5 129:3	month 99:5	141:12
34:17,17 42:15	115:15 116:2,9	memory 86:11	morning 4:3	new 52:6 67:18
42:16 44:10,12	119:7 135:17	mention 72:5	move 28:15 82:23	69:1,4 103:11
44:13 47:10	153:11 157:12	117:18	83:1 105:9	166:8,12,24
49:11,16 56:3,6	158:3 159:12	mentioned 72:3	166:7,9,12	Nicor 25:21 26:5
56:7,11,15,17,22	160:5,9 162:20	107:1 152:7	moved 100:18	26:8,9 36:9
56:24 57:22	materials 70:9	method 33:3	101:1 105:12	132:6,20 133:1
58:1 66:24	97:8,16 115:24	104:9 122:8	106:2	NIJMAN 2:2
67:19 68:23	math 66:22	123:17 132:8	moves 58:21,22	non-detect 23:14
72:6 83:9 84:14	matter 40:19	135:22 136:9	moving 24:24	non-responsive
84:17 94:20	48:14 97:11	methodology	100:17	82:24
95:7,8,17 96:2	150:3	20:12 38:9 40:6	multiplied 29:18	82:24 Nope 147:6
99:19 102:12,23	mean 30:23 36:1	90:12 91:4	29:22	nor 28:22
103:21 104:6	51:3 78:5 80:14	105:9 138:12	multiply 10:19	
105:1,10,23	90:17 91:14	middle 4:6 6:22	mute 159:7	north 5:2 8:8,9
	> \\. I > I \I			9:15,19 10:4,24
	I		I	

October 29, 2020

Page 181

r				Fage IOI
12:3,9 13:7,21	number 10:21	110:24 111:4	111:21	16:3,7,10 17:9
13:22 25:22	16:4,5 20:11	112:3,10 113:22	occurs 52:3	17:10,16 18:1,4
26:3,9,9,12	26:18 35:21	114:1 140:8,9,16	October 1:1,15	18:7,16 19:2,14
27:21 33:6,19	36:5,15 37:19	140:20 141:17	4:5 89:3 144:7	19:22 20:6,16,19
38:13 40:4	52:24 57:12,24	141:18 142:6,12	OFFER 104:1	21:13,18 22:1,9
59:15 60:1,21	61:9 95:17	142:17 143:13	112:4 143:8	22:17,20 24:1,4
84:22 92:7,12	121:17,20,22	145:11,13,14	151:19 159:6	24:12 26:1,15
104:22 105:5	132:19 137:5	151:20,22,24	163:22	27:2,6 28:1,3,15
124:18 127:2,6	numbers 10:1	153:4 156:1,2,14	Officer 1:11 4:1	28:20 30:3,10,11
128:4,7,10,18	13:20 17:13	157:5,7,14	4:16 6:24 16:22	31:13,16 32:5
129:14,22,23	34:12,13 39:6	158:18 159:9,14	17:2 19:19	33:1,12,18 34:6
130:10,18 132:1	40:3,3 166:22	161:12 162:15	37:18 41:9,24	34:16,22 35:3,9
132:6,21 134:7	numerator 5:4,8	162:17 163:20	42:3,6 48:5 49:4	36:19 37:1,6,15
137:10 147:15	9:19 10:6,12,14	163:23 164:24	49:8 50:6,9 59:5	38:3,6,18,23
162:2,4	10:17,18,19	165:19	59:8 61:14,17	41:1,22 42:19
northeast 13:24	12:21 13:10,11	O&M 37:6,8 38:3	63:24 64:20	43:12,17 44:20
14:6,8,14 16:1	18:13 26:19	object 75:12	65:1,5,8,13 68:7	45:3,16,20 46:1
16:12 17:5,11,17	29:9 33:22,24	155:15	68:10 69:2,8	46:3,20 47:3,21
18:4 24:13 25:6	38:10 121:13	objecting 155:21	70:12 71:1,4,8	49:8 50:24
25:23 26:3,10,12	123:18 126:3	objection 16:18	71:13,16 75:14	51:15 52:1,7,11
31:18 36:10	127:12 130:7	48:4,24 60:6	77:3,8 78:7 79:4	52:12 53:22
38:14 84:21	139:21	63:22 68:5,24	81:21 85:3 87:5	54:19 55:5,20
91:3 96:21	numerators 36:19	70:10 75:7	87:8,13,19 88:5	56:10,14,21
100:19 104:21		76:24 78:2 79:2	92:16,19 99:7	57:18 58:3,6,17
105:20,22	0	81:16 84:6 87:3	106:4 111:2	59:11 60:22
119:16 120:6,9	O 168:2,2	103:23 110:24	112:11 114:9	61:4 62:14 63:8
121:9 122:2,14	o'clock 1:16	112:3,10 113:22	140:7,10,15	63:18 64:5,11
132:6,22	O'Laughlin 2:9	141:14 142:7,15	141:16,20 142:9	65:5,8 67:5,20
northern 15:14	3:4,6,9,11 16:18	143:4 151:17	142:14 143:12	67:24 68:2
59:16 100:7,15	41:4,7,10 42:1,2	152:21 158:18	145:10 152:23	69:20 71:8 72:5
101:14 103:1	42:7,9,10,13	158:20,24	155:17,24 156:6	72:9 73:1,10,18
105:13	48:7 49:14 50:4	165:14	157:4,17,20	74:4,7,14 75:3
Northshore 36:10	50:11,12 52:20	objections 166:20	158:21 159:1	76:3 77:17,24
Notary 1:13	57:16 58:2	166:21	162:14 165:15	78:14 79:9,24
168:19	59:10 60:9,10,11	observations	165:20 166:1	80:20 82:8,23
notation 98:10	61:15,16,21 64:2	118:22	167:19	83:16,20 84:13
note 9:4 43:12	64:21,24 65:3,6	observed 91:11	official 46:15	84:17 86:13
81:12 82:22	65:11,15 68:8,9	118:24	oftentimes 118:16	87:1 89:9,21
163:5	68:11 69:19	obviously 27:17	Oh 116:16	90:16 92:6
noted 27:16 36:1	70:19,23 71:6	69:13	okay 5:6 6:9,20	94:18 95:16,24
37:9 82:12 83:2	75:7 76:24 78:2	occur 54:15	7:4,10 8:8,13,17	96:20,24 97:21
158:20	79:2 81:16 84:6	144:11	9:24 10:14,24	98:4 99:15
notes 141:11	85:4,6 86:4 87:3	occurred 73:9	11:11 12:1,6	101:18 102:17
168:7	87:10,12 98:20	93:12 141:8	13:18,24 14:3,4	102:22 104:16
November 168:16	103:23 109:6	occurring 91:19	14:17,20 15:23	107:14 108:5,15

Page 182

				Idge 102
109:23 110:4	122:10,22	P	80:15 81:11	161:24
111:4,15,19,24	123:18 126:2		85:12 108:6	Peterson's 118:21
112:21 113:10	128:6 129:10	package 166:11	120:9 154:10,11	150:5
113:15,18	133:21 134:1	page 3:2 5:23	164:6	phase 87:20
114:23 115:6,10	137:6,14 138:20	11:12 16:4	particular 10:20	phases 152:17
115:13 116:4	165:11	19:23 27:2 31:6	94:13 103:13	phases 132.17 photo 150:12
	opinions 89:21	33:8 37:19 41:2	113:17 153:12	-
117:8,14,18	-	41:6,12 43:13,15		photographs
118:17 119:14	161:22	44:21,24 46:3,4	parties 68:12	118:22 119:4
120:18 121:4,12	opposed 146:11	46:21,23 52:13	141:19,24	150:1,6,7,20
121:18,20 122:1	optic 120:24	53:2,22 67:1	168:11	photos 150:9,9
122:5 124:7	121:5	90:6 106:17	parties' 168:12	pictures 52:14
125:19 126:16	orange 51:2	114:23 120:18	parts 24:17,18	pieces 36:7 37:13
130:4,13 131:9	oranges 66:8	121:21 126:7,22	party 69:21 70:1	37:14
133:18 134:10	order 13:15 40:23	146:23 147:2	passes 112:17	Pinellas 1:13
134:14,17 135:1	45:22 47:3,6	148:2,13 153:17	Pause 19:20	pipe 46:17 103:11
135:13,24 136:8	68:13,21 70:4	153:18,24	pavement 52:4	103:15
136:18 137:3	71:24 72:3,5,10	pages 58:24	103:3,3	pit 5:16
138:11 139:11	93:5 107:16,22	120:12	PCB 1:4 55:19	pits 104:20
139:13,20 140:2	110:5 111:5	Pam 4:9 42:3 71:5	pdf 5:17 8:2 57:5	place 14:23 67:8
141:3 142:6	112:23 128:14	71:14,16 87:16	peat 51:5 79:11	100:23 122:3
143:9,11 144:2	135:11 160:5,9	88:6 140:12	83:5 115:14	126:17
145:6,23 148:17	162:24	157:21 159:7,14	116:8 117:19	placed 82:6 101:3
149:14,23 152:5	organized 132:16	Pamela 1:12 2:22	percent 5:14 10:2	105:2,22 108:1
152:18 153:9,15	original 51:8	168:3,18	13:12 18:2	119:8 122:2,5
153:17 154:10	75:22 96:17	paper 8:2 46:18	26:24 27:7,13,21	placement 136:22
154:18 155:5,10	101:16 113:14	46:18	29:17,18 31:22	places 136:19
157:19,20,24	originally 60:19	paragraph 12:6	32:3 36:23 37:1	plan 6:12,18 7:11
158:12,17,21	outside 19:1 21:8	22:17 29:15	37:10 38:1,4,18	15:24 16:16
159:18 160:4	31:2 32:15,18	37:22,24 43:18	118:18 122:23	61:2,10,22,23,24
161:3 163:13,19	70:11 146:2	43:19 46:7,23	123:16	62:2,3,5,6,7,8
167:2	overall 10:20 39:2	64:11 65:10,11	percentage 10:16	63:19 76:13,20
once 38:9 44:1	39:23 40:3		11:21 26:24	99:12 114:4,7,16
75:20 112:17	122:10	92:24 147:4,7,9	32:2 36:22	133:11 154:8
ones 36:4,13 37:4	overruled 17:3	paragraphs 90:10	37:11,13 127:13	160:20,21
39:19 150:23	49:9 75:14	parameters 75:8	percentages 37:5	162:22
166:24	114:11 156:6	parcel 9:20 31:1	69:12,17	plane 98:4
opened 70:13	158:22 159:1,2	92:4,13 94:16	performed 94:7	plans 50:21 51:8,9
114:2	oversight 39:10	105:7 106:19,23	96:18 99:21	51:21 54:20,22
opinion 45:22	39:10,17,18	106:23 107:17	138:23	55:16,18 73:13
47:6 69:1,3,5	, ,	107:24 120:9		73:14 74:23
47:6 69:1,3,5 85:23 94:22	138:7 139:15,17	128:13,16	permission-only 76:12	
	139:17	136:14		75:1,6,20,20,22
95:24 102:24	oversights 40:13	pardon 33:23	person 97:11	75:23 76:22
104:13 105:21	167:16	135:8	pertinent 150:24	77:12,14,18,21
107:3 110:10,13	overstated 137:7	part 8:14 26:5	Peterson 119:5	78:11,19 80:16
115:6,23 118:13		48:12 77:21	124:21 150:14	80:18,23 81:11
	l	l	l	

Page 183

				rage 105
108:23 110:4	108:11	50:11 65:14	149:21	84:11 85:2
113:1,4,4,8,11	poles 125:3,6	70:16 71:17	provides 45:11	139:20 140:6
113:12,14 114:3	Pollution 1:1,11	87:24 114:12	53:6,24 109:13	150:14 157:15
114:3,5,8,21	9:9 21:3	140:18	139:2	159:15,22,23
115:8,16,16	portion 12:16	proceeding 151:2	providing 83:19	162:13 163:21
162:24 163:6	17:16,23 20:20	proceedings 1:9	provinity 118:10	quick 85:7
play 14:1 151:2	32:22 107:23	167:21 168:5	Public 1:13	quickly 50:5
plays 59:14	112:7 165:1	process 57:20	114:20 168:19	quite 111:15
please 5:5 6:16	position 114:10	63:13 66:5	pull 20:17 22:11	quote 23:2
8:14,18 12:2	possible 57:1,4	134:9	22:15 24:8 35:9	quote-unquote
14:2 19:23	potential 53:7	produce 141:3	80:3 83:16	152:10
20:17,18 21:10	153:11	produced 141:5	101:24 103:8	132.10
20:17,18 21:10	practice 63:13	141:13 142:2,3	101.24 103.8	R
30:9 43:21 45:9		,		ramp 30:11,13,24
	precise 102:20	142:23 143:6,16	pulled 36:7	32:7 36:11
48:6,6 57:17	predicated 129:21	143:22 150:2	purpose 82:3	134:18,19,22
64:1 70:18	131:18	production	purposes 22:21	134.18,19,22
71:14 75:17	prefer 104:10	140:24 142:23	68:4	149:6,7,8,17,19
88:3,16,17 90:4	prep 34:17 37:2	professional 37:8	put 9:8,11 25:5	ran 9:20 32:22
90:6 92:23	38:8,13 39:3,8,9	proffer 166:13	30:19 78:12	
96:13 100:6	39:9,16 139:16	proffers 166:2	80:8,9,15,18	124:17 125:1
101:12,22 102:1	139:16,16	profile 76:6 154:8	82:16 103:18	129:23
104:16 112:5	preparation 34:24	154:13 160:20	135:11 139:13	random 43:23
115:3,4 119:20	44:14	160:21	157:24	randomly 44:20
120:18 121:22	prepared 89:20	project 22:24 52:4	putting 142:7	RD 163:11
123:11 131:2,20	147:21 148:15	63:20 75:5,5		re-created 159:8
134:15 136:1	presence 92:11	78:1,24 109:1	Q	re-redirect 81:22
137:3 151:21	129:7	113:6 118:10,12	quantified 155:15	reach 35:5 89:21
156:8 160:14,18	present 2:1,16	165:12	quantify 155:23	119:23
plot 15:24 131:19	23:4 129:4	projects 73:2,6	156:9	reaching 125:23
plotted 24:14,16	149:10 153:3	property 96:16	question 14:12	reaction 103:22
24:23 25:3	presented 35:14	144:22,22,23,24	20:7 21:10	104:8 112:2
101:4	96:19	145:8	24:13 39:22	read 11:13 12:7
plotting 8:3	pretty 146:15	proposal 75:4	40:17,20 41:13	15:20 20:1
plus 13:18,20	previously 80:4	proposed 75:22	48:6 60:7 70:18	22:20 23:16
51:22,24 52:5	108:24 144:19	76:9 77:16 80:9	77:2 83:2,8 84:7	43:21 44:9 46:6
54:3,5,7,8,23	153:2	113:4,5 114:2,3	85:7 86:8	46:22 70:14
55:1,12 73:22	primarily 91:17	114:7,21 115:16	123:24 138:5	147:4,11 148:2
74:11 155:5,6	printed 142:2	117:11,12 155:2	142:11,13	166:4,21,22
156:17	prior 16:21 80:15	provide 98:7	143:11 156:7	167:1
point 15:8 39:7	probably 17:20	104:10 140:24	157:8 158:19	reading 15:16
44:2 58:4 83:20	33:10 81:5	provided 43:8	164:3	20:6 65:10
95:1 98:8 119:6	problem 29:13	51:6,10 55:22	questions 41:23	ready 71:10,19
128:19 145:11	49:7 82:13,20	67:15 75:20	49:20 50:14	157:19
pointing 109:16	159:5	80:17 81:1	62:15 72:2,16	real 50:4
points 43:23	proceed 42:8	90:22 100:4	79:10 83:14	really 13:19 15:11
P	P ¹⁰⁰⁰⁰⁰ 12.0	JU.22 100.T		ĺ
	I	I	I	I

Page 184

				rage 104
158:9	147:18 148:4,9	66:23 83:14	72:14,23 83:13	93:1,2 94:5
reasonable 89:22	148:10,23,24	108:24 128:6	86:12 104:2	95:14 97:7,24
rebut 108:11	149:17 154:7	131:21 149:21	114:10 153:20	98:3,21 99:23
rebuttal 3:8,9,10	157:3,5 158:20	150:14	remembering	101:8 104:20
3:11,12 87:14,20	159:8 166:5	Regardless 114:11	24:6	106:1,2,11
88:1,12 89:1,6,7	168:7	regards 57:2	remembers 4:8	113:24,24
89:13 90:5	recross 3:7,11	66:20 76:7	remind 4:21	127:10 130:9
96:19 113:23,24	49:6 71:10,20	79:12 82:20	removal 6:18	133:8 134:24
140:17,19 144:6	86:6 162:16	regular 115:3	61:10,23 90:24	138:19 142:24
144:7 146:16,16	164:23	rehashed 75:10	162:2,19	144:2,6,23 145:1
146:24 157:22	red 97:22,23	relate 115:7	remove 54:1	145:8 146:2,16
159:19 162:16	100:8,22 105:5	160:16 161:3	116:1 160:5,9	146:16,24
164:1,23	106:11	related 27:21 37:3	removed 12:10	147:20 148:14
recall 15:2,9 19:10	redirect 3:4,6,10	150:13	46:15 54:18	148:15,17 149:4
19:13 27:23	3:12 42:8,12	relates 63:16	65:23 66:1	149:20 168:5
30:22 35:1	71:23 85:5	relating 162:1	82:15 115:24	reported 2:21
45:23 47:14	157:22 159:17	relation 59:13	116:3 157:12	45:4
49:23 50:15	159:19 164:1	86:21,22	159:12	reporter 58:15
60:16 63:21	refect 113:8	relationship 79:17	render 95:7	69:6 71:19
71:24 72:18	refer 161:20	relative 122:13	rendering 110:18	168:3
81:7 121:15,16	reference 95:12	155:1 168:10,11	repeat 70:18	reports 55:19,22
123:9 124:19	103:6,10 110:15	relevance 75:8	75:16 121:22	56:8 90:2,14
received 77:20,22	138:21 156:21	78:3	123:24	96:19 98:22
Recess 42:5 71:3	referenced 63:6	relevancy 165:18	rephrase 60:8	100:11 146:13
71:15 87:18	102:15 116:22	relevant 128:21	64:1 68:8 77:4	146:21 161:15
140:14	102.13 110.22	150:21	104:2 112:4	represent 21:18
recognize 102:5	references 120:22	reliable 62:2,3	151:20	95:16 104:14
recognized 107:16	148:14	relied 45:21 47:4	replace 116:8	representation
recollection 5:24	referred 55:9	62:6 96:9	report 1:9 7:13	162:23 164:8
110:11 143:17	98:20	140:23 142:22	8:3,14,15 9:11	representative
recommended	referring 53:15	140:23 142:22	11:9 12:2 16:13	94:3,9 95:11
23:10 64:18	116:19 148:10	rely 7:21 62:5	16:15 23:10,21	107:2
reconstructed	reflect 72:9 87:2	137:18	28:8 42:15 43:6	represented 20:11
109:10	111:5 141:11	remain 108:3	44:14,17,24 45:8	21:17 94:15
record 4:2 11:13	reflected 60:1	remaining 46:17	45:10,16,21	97:24 149:9
12:7 20:1 22:21	112:15 139:9	remediate 63:20	46:10 47:5,10,11	164:16
23:16 42:7 50:3	154:22	65:21	47:22 48:2,10	representing
50:4,7,8,10 55:5	reflects 76:9	remediation	49:12,16 55:24	104:19
60:1,23 61:20	115:23 127:4	60:17 62:1,5	56:1,6,7,12 58:8	require 151:11,14
71:2,5,14,17	115.25 127.4	63:19,20 65:17	58:10,15,18,19	required 8:10
72:9 77:22	refresh 86:11	66:10,16,17	59:2,3 62:9 64:9	19:9 20:19 21:5
81:12 87:2,16,20	regard 84:7	remedy 135:23	64:17 67:2,11,14	21:12 28:21
88:4 111:4	regarding 49:21	136:5 153:5	67:16,17 84:15	91:9 94:6
127:4 140:13,16	53:7 55:19 58:5	remember 19:5	89:1,4,6,7,14,20	119:11 129:5,8
127.4 140.13,10	60:23 65:12	28:2,9 35:6,12	90:5,23 91:8	150:23 151:3,6
141.13 147.0,14	00.25 05.12	20.2,9 33.0,12	70.3,23 91.0	150.25 151.5,0
		I		

October 29, 2020

Page 185

				Page 185
152:5 153:2,10	16:1 61:11	road 49:22 51:21	94:15 106:22	60:5,15 75:9,13
155:11 162:10	64:10	73:4,19,21 74:15	107:2,5 116:5,15	84:14 92:24
requirement 55:1	RHD 163:11	82:20 85:9,18,22	116:17 117:1,2	98:3,19 106:2
152:19	right 5:11 6:22	86:1,9 109:3,12	126:20 128:24	123:21 131:7
requirements	7:14 9:16,22	109:24 110:3,6	130:19 135:10	132:14 141:10
122:13	11:6 12:21 15:9	110:12,19,20,23	sampled 23:18	142:24 144:3
requiring 128:23	15:12 16:11	111:8 154:9,14	samples 45:13	147:10 149:4
respect 8:9 39:23	17:6,12 18:2,10	154:19,22 155:2	47:1 98:11	section 54:4 79:13
40:13 44:3 76:6	18:14,23 21:23	155:9,13 158:11	sampling 23:8	96:22 147:3
83:12 90:1,13	24:18 25:2,7,13	160:21,24 161:7	43:23 44:2	148:5 161:16
96:1 99:19	25:17,23 26:13	roads 158:16	64:13,15	sections 79:14
100:21 105:9	26:16 27:17	role 108:22	Sands 53:21	see 6:13 11:16
107:9 109:4	28:18 29:3,7,11	roofing 46:18	saw 84:8 138:22	12:11 15:15
110:12 111:8	29:20 30:1,7	roughly 105:6	saying 19:11,13	20:14 22:17
120:5 125:5	31:1,4,10,11,20	117:7,13 126:14	41:20 49:2	23:19 24:9 27:4
133:22,23	32:8,23 33:7	131:6 156:16	76:22 77:6,7,12	33:13 41:18
137:15 139:14	34:1 35:22 36:2	round 44:14	120:19 141:21	54:3 61:18
139:23 162:7	36:12,17,20,23	48:13 49:17	says 6:12 17:20,21	64:23 74:19
164:16	37:10 38:9,15	51:20 56:15,18	23:2,7,17 30:12	79:21 81:14
respondent 1:7	39:3 40:10,14	56:22 58:9,14	47:17 53:14,17	82:8 84:3 87:16
responding 56:5	46:14 53:23	59:22 60:2,4,14	54:5,16 72:10	91:12 103:7
response 89:4,18	54:5,14 55:9	60:15 75:9,11,13	83:3,6 99:17	108:12 119:6
89:19 120:15	57:10 59:8,21	85:13 142:24	107:22 111:5	121:20 127:16
responsibility	62:20 65:18	144:3 149:4	114:19 116:2	130:24 138:9
21:9 68:14	66:5 72:14 73:3	rule 19:4	126:6 127:9,11	153:24 154:1,10
136:11	73:3,5,6,11,16	ruled 18:20	129:13 130:10	154:21
responsible 85:17	79:11,14,15,19	rules 57:2	154:5	seeing 35:12
93:10 108:4	79:21 84:24	ruling 9:10 41:17	sb@jmanfranze	102:24 137:12
rest 87:10 108:22	85:14 87:8	63:11 66:12	2:7	seek 43:7
125:3 138:3	95:15 105:16	86:8	scale 6:6 14:6,21	seen 91:4 103:4
restate 48:6	106:20 109:21	run 121:5 147:15	scaled 14:18	segment 125:2
135:24	109:22 110:17	running 105:6	scaling 5:17 15:6	selected 150:18
result 106:7 147:5	113:1 116:17	131:6	103:21 104:5	semi 158:2
147:16	117:23 120:3	runs 120:23 121:2	scanned 57:6	sent 35:15
results 23:8 45:15	121:10 122:21	130:10 135:2	scattered 82:21	sentence 11:13
64:13,15 107:4	125:19 130:23	Ryan 163:11	scientific 89:22	12:7 46:22
128:24	132:10 133:6	164:13	scope 70:11 91:9	64:12 147:3,7,9
resurfaced 52:5	135:23 136:6,15	<u> </u>	screen 6:5 14:5	148:2
returned 28:17	141:9 147:12		102:1 115:3	sentences 147:10
review 83:15	153:24 158:13	S 3:15	second 6:11 16:4	147:13
150:1,22	158:14	safety 39:9,17,21	19:15,17 29:14	separation 150:6
reviewed 64:8	right-of-way	39:24 139:17	37:22 43:19	September 166:24
161:20	59:18 110:1	sample 23:5,14	44:14 46:6	sequence 73:8
reviewing 83:12	150:16 161:1	43:10 45:11	49:17 56:14,22	service 35:24
Revision 6:13	RND/JDT 163:8	46:5 94:8,8,9,12	58:9,14,19 60:4	services 28:4

October 29, 2020

				Page 186
35:20,22 36:5	113:11 118:7	61:12 63:4,5	slanted 31:17	south 2:4 5:2
40:14 138:8	shows 67:2,12	67:3,13,14,18,21	slightly 55:13	11:14 12:14
139:15 167:17	81:20 99:12	68:3,22 72:7	127:24	33:6,19 101:15
set 28:7 65:23	111:12 138:23	84:18,20 85:17	slope 135:11	101:16 103:5
80:18 114:16	154:15 156:11	85:22,24 86:2	smaller 130:7,7	105:6 106:7
setting 50:18	side 5:2,2 11:14	91:10,19 92:15	139:22 140:1,4	124:18 127:2,7
settlement 82:20	12:14 33:6,6,19	95:11 98:8	smooth 52:5	129:15,23 132:1
seven 61:5	33:19 92:4	99:12,14 100:10	software 142:21	133:12 134:7
Seventy-two	124:18,18 127:6	100:15,21 101:7	soil 6:1,7 8:3,19	135:3 137:10
52:17 53:24	127:7 129:15,23	101:13,18,19	15:13 24:2	147:15 162:2,4
shape 34:7	133:12 134:7	102:9,21 103:1	42:16 44:11	southwest 31:19
share 138:14,15	135:3 137:11	103:12,22 104:7	45:4,5,8 46:5	Southwestern
sheet 114:15	162:3,4,4	104:14 105:12	47:1 73:24 82:9	61:11
shifted 101:14,15	sides 127:2 132:1	106:15 107:24	82:10 90:24	space 105:17
103:5 105:4,13	sign 99:16	108:6,12,23	93:3 94:1	speak 92:17
106:1,6	signify 103:15	119:16 124:4,4	106:22 108:1	speaker 145:12
shifts 105:5	similar 25:16 91:4	124:15,18 125:2	126:20 135:13	speaking 112:14
shoes 82:1	105:24 132:8	125:3,23 126:3	136:19,23 148:6	142:7
Shore 8:9,9 9:15	136:10 138:5	126:10,13 127:1	162:1,2	specially 128:13
9:19 10:4 11:1	similarly 149:14	127:7,14,20	soils 4:22 33:3	specific 75:12
12:3,9 13:7,21	153:5	128:7,19 129:15	82:5	93:9,11,13 94:16
13:22 25:22	simplify 57:21	129:23 130:11	solely 12:13	121:16 150:13
26:3,9,10,12	simply 139:6	130:19 131:6,22	somebody 160:12	161:20
27:21 38:13	sir 4:18 23:19	132:1,4,4,5	165:11	specifically 13:7
40:4 84:22 92:7	site 5:2,10,16,24	133:10,12,20,22	sorry 6:11 12:19	15:3 56:2,6,10
92:13 104:22	6:7 7:18 8:3,10	134:7,11 135:20	24:17 25:8	93:4 100:19
128:4,7,11,18	8:11 9:15 10:6	136:2,5,13,20,23	28:22 29:13,23	108:21 149:8
129:14,22	11:1,15,21 12:10	137:4,11,18,20	33:8,23 41:5	specified 66:13,13
130:10,18 132:6	12:14 13:18,20	137:23,24 138:1	53:12 57:16	speculative 111:1
132:21	13:21,22 24:2	139:10,16,16,17	58:13 67:7 88:7	165:14
shortly 118:11	25:21 26:20	139:17 147:16	97:18 102:3	spell 56:13
show 42:15 53:2	27:8,22 28:16	149:10,22	106:6 107:20	spent 36:16 66:21
56:23 57:12	29:15,19,22 30:3	150:15 151:1,4,9	116:16,20	144:14,20
63:1 79:20 82:5	30:6,7 31:11	151:10 152:9,9	123:24 126:9	spot 80:5
86:18 113:5	33:2,6,19 34:8	152:13,14,15,19	132:9 135:8	spreadsheet 35:7
114:6 156:20,24	34:12,14,14,24	153:3,6,6 159:24	136:14 152:12	square 9:14,16,19
157:10,11	35:20,22 36:6	160:23,23 161:9	155:20 158:23	9:22 11:2 17:6
159:11	37:3 38:7,7,7,13	162:3,5	sort 63:12 73:2	17:12,18,21 18:8
showed 142:3,4	38:19,20 39:3,8	site-wide 138:17	103:18 118:6	18:12 31:11
showing 43:9 53:5	39:9,9,10,10,16	site/site 34:17	sound 144:10	54:17 91:1
54:8 118:3	39:17,17 41:15	35:18 37:2	Sounds 141:9	121:10,14
155:1 161:5	43:3,3,9,23 44:3	sited 61:9	source 16:11 70:8	stage 48:13
shown 52:13	44:12 46:5,5,9	sites 62:1 150:24	70:20 102:12	staging 155:6
55:12 67:20	46:11,15,24 47:3	151:1,4,7,7	sources 94:19	stand 103:11
105:19 111:20	50:18 61:11,11	sits 25:6	95:7	standard 63:12
				l

Page 187

standing 79:7	55:18 83:13	survey 67:15	166:9	167:11
166:20	96:9 120:16	103:17	talking 5:24 12:13	testify 93:20
start 54:3	submitting 55:16	surveyed 44:2	21:14 25:8	120:1 124:22
started 54:7 96:2	97:6	Susan 2:3 159:16	32:20 78:22	134:18
97:15	subsequently	suspected 46:13	106:18 114:3	testifying 153:20
Starting 147:2	143:20,22	46:14,16	126:5,20 132:4	testimony 16:24
starts 74:11	subsurface 43:2,9	suspicious 46:11	132:12 160:15	21:23 51:19
state 1:14 68:13	52:9 54:24	Sustained 79:6	talks 12:3 127:9	63:23 74:8 77:1
88:3 98:4	suitable 116:9	87:5 104:1	tar 46:18	90:2 93:7 98:17
114:19 168:1	Suite 2:5	swear 4:10 88:6	task 25:20 35:4	103:24 145:4
stated 22:2,4	sum 29:2	sworn 4:9,12,15	36:1 38:1,11	152:22 155:22
34:22 51:20	summarize 29:15	88:10	39:13,15 40:12	162:9 167:12
54:2 90:9	summary 68:16		40:14 90:19	thank 4:18 7:4
statement 16:21	super 24:7	T	108:8 135:22	20:18 24:12
statements 161:21	supplement	T 3:15	136:4 137:21,24	41:10 42:10
states 11:14 48:19	146:11,16	table 139:2	137:24 138:1,6,8	44:4 49:9,15,19
stating 57:11 64:8	supplemental	146:19	139:15 167:15	57:18 59:9
station 51:16 52:3	8:15 9:21 58:10	tabulations	167:17	60:22,23 65:13
55:8 73:20,21,22	58:15,19 59:3	149:22	tasks 26:20 38:24	68:10 69:9 71:5
74:17 116:14,19	67:10,19 89:14	take 5:22 14:11	39:8	71:9,18 77:10
155:12	89:20 95:14	24:3,4 34:6 39:1	technical 79:17	81:23 84:5 85:3
stationing 50:19	104:20 113:24	39:18 49:6 62:3	81:2 82:15	87:9 88:21
116:22	130:9 144:8	67:7 70:23 76:3	159:5	92:21 100:5
stations 74:20	145:1,7,16 146:2	80:4 87:14	tell 7:8 8:19 34:19	123:23 140:11
status 64:16	support 62:9	95:19 104:24	62:18 100:9	140:13,18
stay 77:21	138:8 139:15	120:12 123:13	101:6 105:1	143:12 145:13
stenographic	167:17	130:13 134:5	119:21 120:12	151:22 154:6
168:7	supported 150:19	135:13 140:9	ten 59:17,19	157:16,17
stenographically	161:21	taken 1:12 23:17	ten-minute 42:4	158:17 161:12
168:5	supposed 16:17	42:5 71:3,15	terms 62:22 68:19	162:14 163:22
step 87:9	78:20 105:16	80:21 81:3	108:22	166:5 167:19,20
Steven 3:1 4:11	sure 4:8 5:20 13:4	87:18 117:21	test 5:16 98:11	Thanks 79:7
4:13	14:13 22:8,10	118:9 140:14	99:13 104:20	theory 85:12,13
stick 123:17	24:5,23 49:24	talk 10:24 38:7	tested 81:18	150:19 152:1
stop 57:17	50:1,6 64:3,21	82:9 94:18	testified 4:15 7:2	thing 7:6,7
Street 2:4,10	68:9 80:6 99:2,4	116:24 119:15	18:16,18 22:23	things 27:14,19
53:21	107:20,21	121:12 123:12	31:7 42:14 63:2	59:13,16 82:10
strength 82:5	130:23 136:2	128:3 131:15	71:22 88:10	82:21 158:8
stretch 162:4	142:16 146:15	165:21	98:13 100:14	164:15
strike 67:7,8	152:12,15	talked 4:23 18:7	122:18 124:9	think 6:5 17:21
82:23 145:24	165:17 166:13	19:2,3 50:24	129:9 135:9	19:15 20:10
stuff 81:2	surface 43:2,8	59:23 72:20	137:13 140:23	23:16 25:24
subject 41:17	46:11 155:1	95:13 97:18	146:5 153:1	27:11 31:8
166:20	surrounding	112:7,24 133:18	156:4,22 162:1	62:16 69:8
submitted 45:16	67:21	145:3 162:8	162:18 164:13	70:15 77:4

October 29, 2020

Page 188

				Fage 100
87:14 94:23,24	total 12:8 17:11	96:12 98:19	12:8 118:5	utilized 26:8,9
95:3,22 100:12	26:19 29:19,21	100:5 104:16	131:24 165:2	45:19 76:8
102:23 105:11	30:1 31:10	114:23 119:14	166:17	77:23
106:13 107:22	35:21 36:16	119:20 120:11	undertook 135:10	
115:19 122:22	106:13 132:2	120:18 121:18	unreasonable	V
126:7 129:19	traffic 109:13	123:11 124:14	138:18	v 1:5
134:8 160:18	train 155:18	126:7,21 128:5	unreliable 138:18	vague 60:7 112:3
166:1,2 167:20	transcript 16:19	134:14 153:23	unsuitable 53:8	151:17 158:19
thinking 33:9	16:20 168:6	turning 5:4 16:3	54:17 115:15	159:2
140:11	transite 46:17	44:20 64:11	116:2 117:5	vaguely 47:15
thinks 49:5	transitions 160:24	138:5 144:15	157:12 158:3	114:9
third 23:24 46:4	Transportation	146:23 149:3	159:12 160:5,9	VAN 2:17
121:6	1:6 2:15	Twenty-one 52:12	162:19	various 39:13
third-party 70:14	traversed 126:14	Twenty-six 53:17	upside 52:15,21	62:1 67:12
Thirty-two 37:20	Trease 163:12	two 17:13 24:17	use 6:3 10:19 11:2	84:23 98:8
thought 30:24	treat 27:15,18	24:18 26:2 27:3	11:18 15:24	99:12 102:20
57:20 60:19	37:6	29:22 46:21	16:12,20 44:13	122:14 161:21
three 18:5,17 27:3	treated 22:6 37:9	61:11 66:4	49:19 56:17	vary 80:2
90:10 125:10	trickle 138:3	72:21 73:2,6	69:16 80:12	verify 55:21 56:2
146:13	true 13:16 14:18	90:22 94:2	95:6 99:22	56:6,11
three-and-a-half	18:12 44:18	96:19 100:8	102:11 104:10	version 8:2
156:12	90:1,13 127:3	131:3 147:12	156:22	versions 102:19
three-quarters	139:14 143:7	155:3 158:8,9	USEPA 19:8 20:8	versus 4:5 106:11
117:7,13	149:1,2 150:7,11	159:24 160:16	22:23 23:2	106:23
tie-in 110:2	150:18 151:4,5	160:22 161:4	45:17 55:17,19	view 108:16
tied 59:16	151:16 152:7,20	type 139:15	55:20 64:7 65:2	viewing 57:21
time 14:11 35:15	154:16,23 158:8	types 51:13	65:16,22 83:18	visible 91:11
66:22 71:7 73:3	163:14 165:5	typically 20:10	94:6 96:6	163:1
73:11 77:9 80:7	168:6	56:5 94:1	119:11 120:14	visually 46:10
114:24 118:9,19	try 57:21 114:6	113:13 118:14	120:14 150:23	voice 155:19
118:19 119:8	134:8		151:3,6 152:5,13	voids 82:14
123:1 128:20	trying 39:7,24	U	153:5,10 166:13	volume 34:7 43:4
129:2 144:14,20	40:1,8 134:5	Uh-huh 126:11	uses 136:2 137:5	156:18
times 56:19 80:2	142:9,10	underground	usually 103:17	volumes 156:10
94:24	turn 5:19 6:9,16	120:20,22	118:9	W
TIPSORD 2:17	6:20 8:8,13 12:1	underneath 39:20	utilities 57:24	
today 7:7	14:2 17:7 25:15	39:20 40:10	152:6 153:3	want 13:5,19 17:7 31:24 39:15
top 6:23 30:19,22	30:9 31:4 33:23	93:15,18	utility 4:22 28:4	58:4 60:3 62:11
44:9 45:18,23	41:1 42:19	understand 21:20	38:14 40:4	58:4 60:3 62:11 66:10 77:4 78:5
51:17 52:24	43:15 44:4 46:1	27:7 40:20	56:23 57:8,12,22	79:9 81:12
81:8 103:8	46:21 47:8,12	107:20 110:5	90:24 105:9	83:20 95:21
114:17 115:14	52:11 57:7 64:4	152:12 158:24	129:6 148:6	104:2 116:24
120:20,21	67:1 83:17	Understandably	153:12	104:2 110:24
138:22 159:24	88:16 89:9	164:5	utilization 82:13	wanted 49:19
163:6	92:23 93:23	understanding	utilize 80:5	57:11 60:22
				57.11 00.22

October 29, 2020

Page 189

F				
65:22 153:10	108:24 111:15	149:17,19	Zoom 1:14	120-3 22:15 23:2
Washington 2:10	119:17 138:12	150:15,21,23		64:3,4,12,23,24
wasn't 57:5 65:9	Weaver 163:2,13	152:4,17 162:1	0	65:10 83:18
78:9 137:9	went 19:16 20:2	165:12	0 155:5,6	1230 1:14
water 26:4 32:10	20:13 39:13	worked 164:13	00 52:5 54:7,8	12th 141:8
36:9 39:1 58:5,8	74:9 106:1	workers 153:11	0393 9:21 17:17	13 6:13 83:24,24
58:10,18 59:12	124:7 125:3	working 22:24	25:2,7,13 31:1	144:3
59:18,22,24	weren't 78:14	78:14 97:10,15	32:22 58:23	130 3:9
60:13,18,19	west 2:10 31:1	153:12	59:14,20 63:5	14 73:20 84:1,1
104:23 105:3,15	55:8,13 74:12	Works 114:20	92:5,13 94:17	14-3 1:4 4:5
105:24 122:16	101:4 131:6	worth 155:4	105:7 106:19,23	140 14:9,24 15:15
122:18 123:6,8	145:19	wouldn't 10:9,10	107:7,9,11,17,24	15:21 41:2,6,9
132:7 133:5	western 127:14,20	15:23 118:18	108:6 120:10	41:12
waterline 25:23	133:20 155:8	129:10	123:3,8,19 124:2	143,265 26:16
123:3	wet 30:18	written 129:3	128:14,17 135:6	145 3:10
Waukegan 25:22	WIE 2:17	wrong 39:2 67:8	135:17 136:14	146 3:17
26:4,10 32:10	witness 3:1 4:14	102:23 119:12	146:3 152:3	148 3:11
36:9 39:1 58:5,7	7:4 49:10 57:19	102:29 119:12	06 42:23 47:9 48:9	140 3:11 149 3:12
58:10,18 59:12	59:7 60:8 67:17	136:8 137:23	98:23	15 44:11 73:21,22
59:22,24 60:3,13	69:10 70:11,17	146:14	06-25 47:12 48:8	84:2 99:22
61:12 65:18	75:16 78:9 88:9	wrote 7:13 89:4	48:16 98:24	140:11,12
104:23 105:3,15	106:6 109:9		99:11	156897 168:20
105:24 122:16	112:13 153:1	Χ		16 41:2,12 47:22
122:18 123:3,6,8	156:9 165:17	X 2:24 3:15 39:19	1	48:10
132:7,21 133:1,5	witnesses 66:23	x's 36:2	1 28:22 57:8 64:10	16.8 36:23 37:1
way 15:6 23:14,22	79:3 142:5	Xes 40:11,11	64:23 67:17	160,587 29:19
25:5 34:7 39:12	word 24:3,5	·	121:4 149:3,7	17 131:3
45:19 62:13	words 7:17	Y	1,476,454 36:16	17 131.5 177 46:19
94:6 108:19	work 6:12,18 7:18	yards 54:17	1,889 17:18,21	179 46:19
134:8 139:13	9:15 15:24	Yeah 108:14	18:12 121:14	18.8 123:16
161:8 166:11	16:15 27:21	years 78:10,10	1,899 18:1	1889 121:23
we'll 62:24 87:13	28:4,7 30:6,7,16	80:12,15 117:24	10 2:4 83:21	1809 121.23 18th 2:10
87:14,15	34:24 38:8,11	152:16	101:16 106:17	19 28:7
we're 4:1,6 5:4	50:22 55:15,15	yellow 96:24 97:1	10,000 150:1	19 28.7 190 54:17
33:4 38:6 42:3,6	55:18 61:2,10,24	97:21 100:7,23	10,866 9:16	1969 80:1
65:2 71:2,4,13	62:5,6,7,8 76:9	101:20 105:19	10/31/2022 168:21	1909 80.1 197 5:11 29:11,17
71:16 87:20	77:13,14 80:3	127:19 145:9,17	100 27:21 54:11	33:24 127:13
88:16 102:24	93:12 94:7	145:18,19	118:18 122:23	133:17,19
114:6 126:20	107:4 108:23	yesterday 6:6 7:3	1060 123:15	137:12
137:12 140:12	107.4 108.25	7:6 20:3 44:18	11 19:23 83:23	1970 78:1 79:1
137:12 140:12 140:12 140:12	117:24 119:10	49:20 50:13	12 41:2,12 83:23	80:1 109:5
140.13 137.21	125:5 128:11	72:20 73:18	12:40-ish 87:16	118:4 165:12
166:17	123:3 128:11	166:4	120 22:10,12 64:6	11 8:4 103:12 1971 78:9,15
we've 20:6,16	132:2 133:11,12 135:7,10 136:12		64:22 83:17	1971 78:9,15 199 123:15,18
56:19 103:4	138:23 149:6,7	Z	167:4	199 125:15,18 1998 46:7,9
50.17 105.4	130.23 149.0,7	zero 30:24	120-1 22:22	1770 40./,7
		I	l	I

October 29, 2020

Page 190

				Page 190
1999 47:20 48:21	167:4	205-7 5:22 6:13	96:20 100:6,20	229E-374 167:5
48:23 49:13	204-24 12:1,2	205-8 14:9,15	127:18 144:15	229F-377 167:6
99:17 117:22	129:20	15:19	145:7	23 46:14
1N 28:22	204-32 37:17,20	206 88:17 90:4	208-4 104:16	23.5 29:17,18
1S 28:22 29:9	37:23	106:16 126:4	208-9 96:13,14,16	24-40 85:11
98:11 119:1	204-38 24:7 57:8	146:18 167:4	96:22 104:24	240 149:11
120:23 121:2	57:17 121:5	206-11 128:5	122:17 144:15	245 35:10 38:24
128:24 129:4,7	149:4	206-11 120.5 206-12 108:10,14	209 167:4	39:19,23 40:9
129:10 134:3,10	204-39 149:15	123:11	21-B 113:20	138:6 167:13,14
134:11 152:2	204-40 72:22 73:1	206-13 126:19	21-D 113.20 21.7 26:24 27:7,13	25 48:17
153:6	109:8 154:6	146:23	213 167:4	25.12 18:2
1 <i>st</i> 166:14,24	156:22 157:9	206-14 126:21	213 107.4 214 167:4	25.12 18.2 25th 89:3 144:7
15t 100.14,24	158:1 159:10,22	131:16,21 132:5	217 3:17 166:15	25 (1189.5144.7 26 74:24 111:10
2	160:20 161:7	131:10,21 132:3	167:4	115:1
2 6:13 16:1 31:19	204-41 167:10	206-15 138:19	21A 73:16 76:3	262-5523 2:6
38:12 43:3	204-41 167:10 204-41A 50:14,17	206-1 5 138:19 206-4 90:6 91:7	111:10 112:8,24	262-5525 2:6 26A-1 76:4
48:16 64:23	72:17 74:8 79:9	206-5 92:23	115:1 167:3	26B 112:8,24
67:6	111:17 112:24	206-9 93:23 94:18	21A-23 110:19	20D 112.8,24 28 19:23
2,005 11:15,18,22			21A-23 110:19 21A-24 53:13	29 19:25 29th 1:1,16 4:5
12:8 13:11	115:20 158:1	207 67:9 95:13,19	21A-24 55:15 21A-26 53:16	29th 1:1,16 4:5 2S 120:23 121:2
129:15 130:1	159:23 160:4,21	126:4,4 130:23		25 120:25 121:2
2.1 90:10	161:9 162:19	207-05 11:11 207 12 05:16 20	74:24 76:5 81:9	3
2.3 93:24	167:9	207-13 95:16,20	86:10,13,17	3 8:10,11 9:15
2.5 108:13,14	204-45 101:22,23	207-15 59:1	110:11,18	10:6 13:18,20,21
2.5.21 147:3,8	102:1	207-16 123:22,23	111:16,20 115:3	25:21 26:20
20 47:8	205 15:17,18 59:5	207-17 8:13,15,17	115:7 118:7	27:8,22 30:3,6
2009 64:10	96:2 126:4	12:18,23 13:6	164:10,13,19	31:11 34:12,14
2010 65:4	127:9,10 132:14	24:14 130:13	165:1,8 167:8	35:18,20,22 36:6
2010 05.4 2012 166:14	132:15,18	207-18 14:1 17:7	21A-26A 111:16	37:3 39:8,9,10
2012 100.14 2014 6:13 61:13	205-11 5:5,8	18:9,14 20:17	167:7	39:16,17 41:15
2015 47:23 48:11	127:11	24:17 119:20	21A-72 52:11,16	43:3,9,23 44:12
2015 47.25 48.11 2015-16 137:3	205-12 129:13	121:18 123:20	21B 167:3	46:5,9,24 61:11
	205-14 132:18,19	207-19 30:9	21B- 153:17	64:23 67:3,13,14
2018 89:3 144:3,7 2019 89:16 141:8	205-15 31:8,24	207-20 31:5 33:23	21B-1 114:15	67:22 68:22
	133:8	207-29 67:9,10	153:17	
143:5,15,23	205-16-ish 33:11	68:23 84:11	21B-30 113:21	72:7 84:18,20
144:8,12	205-22 9:5 96:2	207-5 13:2	114:24 115:7	85:18,22 92:15
202 9:7 56:18	205-24 59:1,7	207-6 27:2,9	153:18,23	101:7,13,18,19
167:4	205-28 134:15	207-7 26:7 27:11	22 78:10	102:9 103:1,12
2020 1:1,16	205-29 135:19	28:16 29:14	221 167:5	103:22 104:7,14
166:24 168:16	205-36 61:3,15	207-8 34:19 37:3	225 167:5	105:12 106:15
203 46:2 71:23	205-43 67:4,7	37:9 38:6,21	227 167:5	107:24 108:6
167:4	205-45 72:6 102:3	208 89:10 96:12	229-B 41:9	119:16 124:4,4
203-4 46:21	104:13	136:18 146:18	229D-54 16:6	126:13 128:7
204 38:24 57:7	205-46 5:18,19	167:4	229E-335 166:15	131:22 132:4,5
146:18 149:3	6:6 14:4,14	208-11 96:13,14	167:5	135:20 136:2,5
i				

Page 191

				Page 191
136:13,20,23	5 16:10	100:21 108:12	7 51:22,24 52:5,8	90 126:5,6,10
130.13,20,23	5,470 33:5 137:4	108:23 124:15	54:3,5,7,23 55:1	90 120.3,0,10 91 3:17
137.18,20,23	5.3 43:18	124:18 125:2,4	55:7,12 61:9	9A 83:23
149:10 150:24	5.5 38:18	125:23 126:3,10	74:11,17 116:14	9N 28:22
151:4,7,9 152:9	5.50 74:17	127:1,7,14,20	116:15,17,19	9S 14:7,24 15:13
151:4,7,9 152:9	50 43:19,19,22,22	128:19 129:15	117:1,2 155:5,6	28:23 119:1
152:13,14,13,17	60:21 73:22	129:23 130:12	155:12	134:3,10,11
3-6 139:16	80:11 107:8,8	130:19 131:6	7-60 74:10	137.3,10,11
3.1-acres 31:10	156:17	132:1,4 133:10	7.0 51:15 54:13,15	
3.6 5:14 13:12,15	53 16:5	132:12,20,22	155:7,8	
30th 89:15 144:8	536 6:21 44:7	134:7,11 137:4	7.60 54:13 55:6	
31 61:13	5470 4:24 5:1	137:11,20,24	74:15,16	
312 2:6,11	33:15	139:16,17	72 12:24 13:4,10	
32 61:19	55 107:8	147:16 150:15	52:18 53:22	
33 61:19	57 42:19,22,24	151:1,4,7,10	130:11,16 131:9	
339 166:16 167:5	46:10 72:3	153:6,6 156:17	131:11	
3600 2:5	57-19 43:16	159:24 160:23	74 46:11	
365 167:6	57-287 44:21	161:9 162:3,5	74.2 38:1	
37,738 30:1	57-536 44:5 98:12	6.5 31:22 32:3	7500 17:11 18:1,8	
375 167:6	57-565 72:6	60 51:22,24 54:3,5	78 3:6	
38 149:11,13	582-and-a-halfish	54:23 55:1,12	79 3:7 167:3	
39 3:4 149:12	116:11	74:11	7S 73:23,24 79:10	
39.3 10:1	583 117:7	60-minute 87:15	81:13 109:21	
3rd 65:4 90:9	588 117:13	60.8 37:10	112:15,17,21	
3S 120:23 121:2	589 117:13	60602 2:11	116:5,5 117:16	
	5S 5:10 81:13	64 166:13 167:3	117:21 160:4	
4	109:21 126:14	64-3 120:11		
4 3:3 126:4 164:5	126:16,17 131:8	64-4 120:18	8	
164:7	160:2	65 46:16 167:3	8 22:16 55:7 62:19	
4-5 61:11		66 3:5	64:11 65:10,12	
4,271 9:22	6	66-99 6:4	83:21,22 156:15	
4.5s 29:10 127:15	6 5:3,10,16,24 6:7	661,565 26:20	80 38:4	
127:20 133:20	7:18 8:3 11:1,15	67 6:9,16 142:3	81 3:8	
419 133:13 134:1	11:21 12:10,14	167:3	814-2087 2:11	
42 61:19	13:18,20,22	67-1 6:16,17	838 28:23 29:17	
43 61:19	28:16 29:15,19	67-536 6:21 7:8	84 167:3	
48 46:24	29:22 30:4,7	7:10	8S 98:11 112:16	
4S 5:10 55:8,13	33:2,6,19 34:8	69 2:10	128:20,24 129:4	
81:13 120:23	34:12,14 38:7,13	699 3:17	129:5,8 152:2	
121:2 126:14,14	38:19,20 39:3,9	6S 81:13 109:21	153:7	
126:16,17 128:2	39:9,10,16,17	130:19,20 160:2		
129:11 131:6,8	46:5 50:18 54:7	160:8	9	
160:2	61:12 64:10	6X 158:5	9 22:17 65:11	
4th 168:16	74:17 85:24		130:16	
	86:2 100:10,15	7	9:00 1:16	
5			9:05 4:6	
	1			1